## METHOD FOR MEASURING THE DECAY WIDTH OF THE $\omega$ MESON\*

J. J. Sakurai<sup>†</sup>

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California (Received March 15, 1962)

We propose a method for measuring the (partial) width  $\Gamma$  of the decay

$$\omega^{0} \rightarrow \pi^{+} + \pi^{-} + \pi^{0}, \qquad (1)$$

using the fact that the cross section for

$$\pi^- + \pi^0 \rightarrow \omega^0 + \pi^- \tag{2}$$

is related to  $\Gamma$  in a fairly model-independent way. The cross section for (2) turns out to be of the order of a millibarn for a width  $\Gamma$  of a few hundred kev at about 200 Mev (c.m.) above the threshold. The well-known Chew-Low extrapolation method<sup>1</sup> may be used to deduce the desired cross section for (2) from a study of the reaction

$$\pi^{-} + p \rightarrow \pi^{-} + \omega^{0} + p. \tag{3}$$

We discuss the processes (1) and (2) under two extreme dynamical assumptions. First we assume that the  $\omega$ -3 $\pi$  vertex is zero-ranged in the sense that it is given by the centrifugal barrier alone as follows:

$$(f_{\omega 3\pi}/m^3) \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu}^{(\omega)} k_{\nu}^{(+)} k_{\lambda}^{(-)} k_{\sigma}^{(0)}, \qquad (4)$$

where  $k_{\nu}^{(+)}$ ,  $k_{\lambda}^{(-)}$ , and  $k_{\sigma}^{(0)}$  refer to the pion four-momenta, and  $\epsilon_{\mu}^{(\omega)}$  stands for the polarization vector of the  $\omega$  meson. The factor  $m^3$  is inserted to make the coupling constant  $f_{\omega 3\pi}$  dimensionless. The decay width  $\Gamma$  can be calculated to be<sup>2</sup>

$$\Gamma = \left(\frac{f_{\omega 3\pi}}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{(m_{\omega} - 3m_{\pi})^4 m_{\pi}^2 m_{\omega} U(m_{\omega})}{2^6 \times 3^3 \times 3^{1/2} \pi m^6}\right), \quad (5)$$

where  $U(m_{\omega})$  is a relativistic correction factor which approaches unity as  $m_{\omega} \rightarrow 3m_{\pi}$ . For  $m_{\omega}$ = 787 Mev, we find numerically that  $U \approx 1.6$ . The differential cross section and the total cross section for (2) are given by

$$d\sigma/d\Omega = (f_{\omega 3\pi}^2/4\pi)p_i p_f^3 \sin^2\theta/16\pi m^6, \qquad (6)$$

$$\sigma = (f_{\omega 3\pi}^{2}/4\pi)p_{i}p_{f}^{3}/6m^{6}, \qquad (7)$$

where  $p_i$  ( $p_f$ ) is the momentum of one of the incident (outgoing) particles in the center-of-mass system. For a  $\Gamma$  of 400 kev, we obtain a cross section of 4.0 mb at a total c.m. energy of 1.1 Bev (173 Mev above the  $\omega\pi$  threshold). For a to-

tal c.m. energy  $\gtrsim 1.2$  Bev, the predicted cross section with  $\Gamma = 400$  kev becomes comparable to the *p*-wave unitarity limit for inelastic processes,  $3\pi\lambda^2$ , so that the zero-range model must break down.

The most general expression for the  $\omega$ -3 $\pi$  vertex with all particles on the mass shell is of the form

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma}\epsilon_{\mu} {}^{(\omega)}_{\nu} k_{\nu} {}^{(+)}_{\lambda} k_{\sigma} {}^{(-)}_{\sigma} F(x,y),$$

where x and y are two independent invariant scalars that can be constructed from the external four-momenta. In the zero-range model considered in the preceding paragraph, F(x, y) has been taken to be constant. In contrast, Gell-Mann, Sharp, and Wagner<sup>3</sup> suggest a model of  $\omega$  decay in which the dispersion representation for F(x, y)is assumed to be dominated by  $\rho$ -meson intermediate states. We call this the  $\rho$ -dominance model since we can visualize the decay interaction as proceeding via

$$\omega \to \rho + \pi, \tag{8}$$

followed by

$$\rho \to 2\pi. \tag{9}$$

Factors that enter in a diagram at the vertices (8) and (9) are, respectively,

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{\omega\rho\pi}/m \end{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu}^{(\omega)} k_{\nu}^{(\omega)} \epsilon_{\lambda}^{(\rho)} k_{\sigma}^{(\rho)}$$
(10)

and

$$f_{\rho\pi\pi} \epsilon_{\mu}^{(\rho)} \left( k_{\mu}^{(\pi 1)} - k_{\mu}^{(\pi 2)} \right).$$
(11)

We then have<sup>3</sup>

$$\Gamma = \left(\frac{f_{\omega\rho\pi}}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{f_{\rho\pi\pi}}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{m_{\omega}m_{\pi}^{2}(m_{\omega}-3m_{\pi})^{4}W(m_{\omega})}{12\times 3^{3/2}(m_{\rho}^{2}-4m_{\pi}^{2})^{2}m^{2}}\right),$$
(12)

where  $W(m_{\omega})$  is a relativistic correction factor which has been numerically estimated to be about 3.6 at  $m_{\omega} = 787$  Mev. The constant  $f_{\rho\pi\pi}^2/4\pi$  is about 2 for a  $\rho$  width of 100 Mev; the only remaining constant,  $f_{\omega\rho\pi}^2/(4\pi m^2)$ , can be estimated from the  $\pi^0$  lifetime à la Gell-Mann and Zachariasen<sup>4</sup> if the  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  are coupled universally to the conserved hypercharge current and the isospin current<sup>5</sup> with the coupling constants  $f_{\rho}$  and  $f_{\omega}$ , or, equivalently, if  $\omega$  and  $\rho$  dominate the dispersion integrals for the isoscalar and isovector charge form factors for every strongly interacting particle. The coupling constant for  $\pi^0$  decay, defined as in Eq. (10), can then be written as

$$f_{\pi\gamma\gamma} = e^2 f_{\omega\rho\pi} / f_{\rho} f_{\omega} \,. \tag{13}$$

From these considerations and unitary symmetry<sup>6</sup> (which requires  $f_{\rho}^2/4\pi = \frac{4}{3}f_{\omega}^2/4\pi$ ), Gell-Mann, Sharp, and Wagner<sup>3</sup> estimate  $\Gamma \sim 400$  kev.

Along similar lines we can discuss the  $\omega$  production process (2) by keeping only one- $\rho$ -meson states that appear in the *s*, *t*, and *u* channels. In this  $\rho$ -dominance model, the production cross section directly measures the product  $f_{\omega\rho\pi}f_{\rho\pi\pi}$ , hence  $\Gamma$ . The differential cross section is given by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \left(\frac{f_{\rho\pi\pi}}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{f_{\omega\rho\pi}}{4\pi}\right) \left(\frac{p_i p_f^3 \sin^2\theta}{m^2}\right) \times \left[\frac{1}{s - m_\rho^2} + \frac{1}{t - m_\rho^2} + \frac{1}{u - m_\rho^2}\right]^2, \quad (14)$$

where<sup>7</sup>

$$s = 4E_{\pi i}^{2},$$
  

$$t = 2m_{\pi}^{2} - 2E_{\pi i}E_{\pi f} + 2p_{i}p_{f}\cos\theta,$$
  

$$u = 2m_{\pi}^{2} - 2E_{\pi i}E_{\pi f} - 2p_{i}p_{f}\cos\theta,$$
  

$$E_{\pi i} = (p_{i}^{2} + m_{\pi}^{2})^{1/2}, \quad E_{\pi f} = (p_{f}^{2} + m_{\pi}^{2})^{1/2}.$$

The expression (14) can be integrated analytically to give the total cross section as a function of energies. The numerical results are shown in Fig. 1 where the solid curve represents the  $\omega$  production cross section expected for  $\Gamma = 400$  kev. Also shown is  $3\pi \lambda^2$  (dashed curve).

We may remark that for a given value of  $\Gamma$ , the  $\rho$ -dominance model predicts a cross section four times smaller than the zero-range model at  $s^{1/2}$  = 1.1 Bev. If we are to choose between the two models, the  $\rho$ -dominance model is probably the more reasonable. In any case, it is gratifying that at least the order of magnitude of  $\Gamma$  can be determined from the production cross section in a model-independent way.



FIG. 1. The solid curve represents the cross section for  $\pi^- + \pi^0 \rightarrow \omega + \pi^-$  for  $\Gamma = 400$  kev as predicted by the  $\rho$ -dominance model. The dashed curve represents the *p*-wave unitarity limit for inelastic processes,  $3\pi \chi^2$ .

It is hardly necessary to emphasize that the well-known Chew-Low method<sup>1</sup> can be used to extract the desired cross section for (2) from a study of the reaction (3). If the  $\omega$  width is really of the order of 400 kev or greater, experiments along this line seem feasible in a hydrogen bubble chamber with a  $\pi^-$  beam of  $p_{lab} \sim 2-3$  Bev/c.<sup>8</sup>

It is a pleasure to thank Professor Murray Gell-Mann for his hospitality extended to the author at the California Institute of Technology.

<sup>4</sup>M. Gell-Mann and F. Zachariasen, Phys. Rev. <u>124</u>,

<sup>\*</sup>Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Permanent address: Enrico Fermi Institute for Nuclear Studies and Department of Physics, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>G. F. Chew and F. E. Low, Phys. Rev. <u>113</u>, 1640 (1959).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Equation (5) does not agree with Eqs. (3) and (4) of G. Feinberg [Phys. Rev. Letters <u>8</u>, 151 (1962)]. Our expression does agree with the  $m_{\rho} \rightarrow \infty$  limit of Eq. (1) of reference 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>M. Gell-Mann, D. Sharp, and W. D. Wagner, Phys. Rev. Letters <u>8</u>, 261 (1962). Thanks are due to the authors of this reference for many helpful discussions.

953 (1961).

<sup>5</sup>J. J. Sakurai, Ann. Phys. (New York) <u>11</u>, 1 (1960). <sup>6</sup>M. Gell-Mann, California Institute of Technology Synchrotron Laboratory Report CTSL-20, 1961 (unpublished); and Phys. Rev. <u>125</u>, 1067 (1962). See also Y. Ne'eman, Nuclear Phys. <u>26</u>, 222 (1961). If the  $\rho$ is coupled to twice the isospin current, and the  $\omega$  to  $\sqrt{3}$ times the hypercharge current, then the couplings of  $\rho$  and  $\omega$  become "universal" in the unitary symmetry limit. Note that our  $f_{\rho}$  is equal to  $2\gamma_{\rho}$  of Gell-Mann. "Recall also the well-known relation  $s + t + u = 3m_{\pi}^{2}$ 

+ $m_{\mu}^2$ .

<sup>8</sup>The reaction (3) is currently being studied by the Wisconsin and the Berkeley hydrogen bubble-chamber groups. This work has been stimulated in part by their experimental programs.

## ERRATUM

DECAY MODES AND WIDTH OF THE  $\eta$  MESON. Pierre L. Bastien, J. Peter Berge, Orin I. Dahl, Massimiliano Ferro-Luzzi, Donald H. Miller, Joseph J. Murray, Arthur H. Rosenfeld, and Mason B. Watson [Phys. Rev. Letters <u>8</u>, 114 (1962)].

On page 116, ninth line of text,  $\Gamma(\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0)$  should read  $\Gamma(\text{all modes})$ .

In line 16 of the legend of Fig. 1,  $\sigma(K^-p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0 \pi^0)$  should read  $\sigma(K^-n \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^0 \pi^-)$ .

In the ninth line of the legend of Fig. 2,  $K^- p \rightarrow \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$  should be replaced by  $K^- d \rightarrow p \Lambda \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^-$ .