

## Optical Pulse Compression in Fiber Bragg Gratings

N. G. R. Broderick,\* D. Taverner, D. J. Richardson, M. Ibsen, and R. I. Laming

*Optoelectronics Research Centre, University of Southampton, Southampton, SO17 1BJ, United Kingdom*

(Received 7 July 1997)

We report the first experimental demonstration of the optical pushbroom—a novel type of all-optical pulse compression. In the optical pushbroom high intensity pump pulses, tuned well away from the resonance of a Bragg grating, modify the transmission of a weak probe tuned near to the grating's photonic band gap. The clarity of the experimental results and their close agreement with numerical simulations highlight the tremendous potential of the fiber environment for the detailed study and practical application of nonlinear Bragg grating effects. [S0031-9007(97)04751-0]

PACS numbers: 42.65.Pc, 42.70.Qs, 42.81.-i

Recent experiments on nonlinear effects in Bragg gratings have concentrated on self-phase modulation (SPM) effects [1,2], i.e., the ability of a strong optical beam to instantaneously alter the local refractive index and thus affect its own propagation. In a Bragg grating SPM results in the transmission being bistable with one state (high power) having a transmission of unity while in the other (low power) the transmission is vanishingly small [3]. For strong optical pulses this behavior can result in all-optical switching. However a major drawback for observing nonlinear behavior is that the power requirements are severe, especially in optical fibers, due to the low nonlinearity of silica.

An alternative approach to observing nonlinear behavior in Bragg gratings is to use the cross-phase modulation (XPM) of a strong pump to alter the refractive index seen by a weak probe thus changing the detuning of the probe from the center of the photonic band gap. Although the power requirements are similar to those for self-switching, the advantage of XPM arises as the frequencies of the pump and probe can be widely dissimilar. This allows the pump frequency to be chosen so as to maximize the power available. The probe frequency is then determined by other considerations thus ensuring optimal switching.

The all-optical switching of a fiber Bragg grating (FBG) was first seen by LaRochelle *et al.* in 1990 [4] using a self-written grating centered at 514 nm. In their experiment the probe beam was centered on the grating, while the pump beam had a wavelength of 1064 nm. They observed an increase in transmission from 50% to 54% in the presence of the pump. LaRochelle's experiments remain to date the only work done on XPM in Bragg gratings.

Since LaRochelle's work considerable theoretical effect has gone into developing a detailed understanding of XPM in FBGs [5,6]. This work has focused on the "optical pushbroom" which is demonstrated here for the first time. Unlike the all-optical switching described above, which is a continuous wave effect, the optical pushbroom requires a strong pump *pulse* as it relies on the frequency shift of the probe induced by the intensity

gradient of the pump through XPM. As the optical pushbroom has been described in detail before [5] a short description here will suffice.

To understand the optical pushbroom it is necessary to first recall the linear properties of a Bragg grating [7]. A Bragg grating reflects strongly over a narrow frequency range centered at the Bragg frequency  $\omega_0$  and strongly affects the propagation of light near this region. Figure 1 shows the dispersion relationship for light at frequencies close to the band gap of a grating. Note that the group velocity, given by the slope of the dispersion relation, falls to zero at the band edge and is a strong function of the frequency. Far from the band gap the dispersion relationship approaches that of a uniform medium, indicated by the dashed line in Fig. 1. The curvature of the dispersion relation determines the group velocity dispersion (GVD) of the grating which is many orders of magnitude higher than that of standard optical fiber [7]. Linked to the reduced




FIG. 1. The dispersion relation for a uniform Bragg grating (solid line). The dashed line shows the dispersion relation for a uniform fiber. In our experiment the probe's initial frequency is given by the solid circle and its frequency shift is indicated by the arrow. The two open circles indicate possible locations for the pump beam, which is unaffected by the grating.

group velocity is the fact that the electric field inside the grating is significantly higher than the incident or transmitted fields, allowing a large amount of energy to be stored in the Bragg grating [8].

The optical pushbroom utilizes these properties by situating a cw probe close to the band gap where the group velocity is close to zero—one possible frequency is indicated by the solid circle in Fig. 1. When the pump is incident upon the grating, light at the back of the probe “sees” the pump first and via XPM its frequency is lowered. Thus at any instant there is a frequency chirp across the probe and this chirp combined with the GVD compresses the probe. Furthermore as the frequency of the probe changes it speeds up allowing the back of the probe to sit on the leading edge of the pump where it experiences the maximum XPM for the greatest possible length of time [6]. This process continues along the length of the grating with more and more of the probe’s energy being swept up onto the leading edge of the pump.

In transmission one would then expect to see a narrow peak containing a significant fraction of the energy stored in the grating followed by long dip while the cw field distribution in the grating is restored. These features can be clearly seen in Fig. 2 which shows the results of a numerical simulation of the optical pushbroom for system parameters matched to those of our experiment as detailed below. The solid line gives the probe’s intensity as a function of time while the dashed line indicates the pump’s profile—on a different vertical scale. The probe’s input intensity was normalized to unity and the transmitted intensity prior to the pump gives the linear




FIG. 2. Theoretical trace of the optical pushbroom. The solid line is the transmitted probe intensity, while the dashed line shows the pump profile. The inset is a blowup of the front spike in the transmission. The parameters chosen match those used in the actual experiment.

transmission for this frequency. The inset shows an expanded view of the spike in transmission which has a FWHM of approximately 50 ps.

The optical pushbroom illustrates the advantages of using Bragg gratings for nonlinear experiments. The large dispersion of a Bragg grating combined with the resonant field structure allows nonlinear effects to be seen in short lengths  $<10$  cm of optical fiber. Nonuniform Bragg gratings can also be written in fibers allowing large spatial variations in the dispersion over very short length scales. Theoretical work utilizing these facts have highlighted the possibility of seeing enhanced second harmonic generation [9] amongst other effects. It is perhaps not surprising that Bragg gratings have been described as an *ideal soliton environment* [10].

Our experimental setup is shown in Fig. 3. High-power pump pulses at 1550 nm are used to switch a low-power (1 mW), narrow-linewidth ( $<10$  MHz) probe that could be temperature tuned right across the grating’s band gap. The pump pulses, derived from a directly modulated distributed-feedback laser, were amplified to high power ( $>20$  kW) in an erbium doped fiber amplifier cascade based on large mode area erbium doped fiber and had a repetition frequency of 4 kHz. The pump pulse shape was asymmetric due to gain saturation effects within the amplifier chain and exhibited a 30 ps rise time and a 3 ns half-width (see Fig. 5). Note that, as the optical pushbroom relies on the intensity gradient of the pump, the strength of our interaction is stronger than that which would be achieved using a transform limited pulse of the same FWHM and energy. The spectral half-width of the pulses at the grating input was measured to be 1.2 GHz.

The pump and probe were polarization coupled into the FBG and were thus orthogonally polarized within the FBG. A half-wave plate was included within the system allowing us to orient the beams along the grating birefringence axes. Both the reflected and transmitted probe signals could be measured in our experimental system using a fiberized detection system based on a tunable, narrow-band ( $<1$  nm) optical filter with  $>80$  dB differential loss between pump and probe (sufficient to extinguish the high intensity pump signal), a low noise preamplifier, a fast optical detector,




FIG. 3. Schematic of the experimental setup. PBS: polarization beam splitter. BPF: bandpass filter with a width of  $<1$  nm. LA-EDFA: Large mode area erbium fiber amplifier. The polarizer (POL) is set to minimize the pump. See text for more details.

and sampling scope. The temporal resolution of our probe beam measurements was  $\approx 50$  ps.

The FBG was centered at 1535.93 nm and was 8 cm long with an apodized profile resulting in the suppression of the sidelobes. The grating had a peak reflectivity of 98% and a measured width of 32 pm as shown in Fig. 4. In Fig. 4 the horizontal scale gives the difference in nanometers from the center wavelength. The grating was mounted in a section of capillary tube and angle polished at both ends so as to eliminate reflections from the grating end faces and was appropriately coated to strip cladding modes.

In Fig. 5 the optical pushbroom can be seen in action for the first time; the line styles and the inset are the same as for Fig. 2. The probe's wavelength is indicated by the dashed vertical line in Fig. 4. Note that it lies on the long wavelength side of the band gap, where the linear transmission is close to 100%. The peak power of the pump within the FBG is set to 25 kW. The transmitted probe is clearly seen to have developed a sharp ( $\approx 70$  ps FWHM) spike in intensity, colocated in time with the peak of the pump pulse. The transmission then drops well below unity for a considerable length of time—note that even 10 ns after the arrival of the pump pulse the transmission has still not fully recovered. The parameters used in the numerical model in Fig. 2 match the actual experimental parameters, and as can be seen excellent agreement between the experimental and the numerical results is obtained.

We examined the dependence of the pushbroom on both the pump power and probe wavelength. Figure 6 shows some of these results. Compared to Fig. 5 we




FIG. 4. Measured reflection spectrum of the FBG used in the experiments. The wavelength resolution is 0.001 nm. The horizontal scale gives the wavelength separation in nanometers from the center wavelength of 1535.930 nm. The dashed vertical line indicates the frequency of the probe for the results shown in Fig. 5.

tuned the probe closer to the band gap where the linear transmission was 90% and varied the pump power. It can be clearly seen that as the pump power decreases so does the quality of the pushbroom. This corresponds well to what we expect theoretically. Note that in Fig. 6 the peak transmission is less than twice that of the linear response as compared to a factor of 5 in the optimized system.

Compared to earlier experiments on nonlinear effects in FBG [1,2,4] these results are particularly clear. The main reason for this is that our gratings were centered near 1550 nm which is one of the main telecommunications windows in optical fibers. This allowed us to make use of the various holographic techniques for writing good quality FBGs with arbitrary profiles developed for the communications industry. Similarly high quality fiberized components are readily available at these wavelengths making the optical pushbroom relatively simple to set up and observe. Lastly the latest generation of high-power fiber lasers operate in this region corresponding as it does to the gain peak of the erbium doped fiber amplifier. Our results thus illustrate the potential of the powerful combination of versatile, high-power, erbium fiber sources with convenient, high-performance communication componentry and FBG fabrication technology for the study of the nonlinear optics of Bragg gratings. Indeed these results are probably the clearest manifestation of nonlinear effects in a Bragg grating presented to date.

We have experimentally demonstrated the optical pushbroom effect for the first time. Using a strong pump beam we compressed a weak cw probe beam inside a Bragg




FIG. 5. Experimental trace of the pushbroom. The solid line shows the intensity of the probe beam normalized so that the transmitted power in the absence of the grating would be unity. The dashed line shows the transmitted pump beam. The inset shows an expanded view of the front peak.




FIG. 6. Experimental traces of the pushbroom with nonoptimal parameters. In these cases the linear transmission is only 90% and the different traces show the effect of reducing the pump power. The peak pump powers used are 25, 22, 14, 6, and 1 kW. The increasing peak heights in the inset correspond to the increasing peak pump powers.

grating, resulting in a narrow optical pulse at the output. Central to these results was the fact that in a Bragg grating the group velocity dispersion is many orders of magnitude higher than in an optical fiber, thus allowing nonlinear effects to be seen in short lengths of fiber. Furthermore

this effect could be seen in any photonic crystal with a Kerr nonlinearity. Photonic crystals are perhaps the ideal structures to see nonlinear effects since the photonic band structures, and hence the dispersion, can be designed in order to see a particular effect such as the optical pushbroom.

This work is funded in part by the EPSRC ROPA project BRAGG. Two of us (D.J.R. and R.I.L.) acknowledge the support of the Royal Society.

---

\*Electronic address: [ngb@orc.soton.ac.uk](mailto:ngb@orc.soton.ac.uk)

- [1] U. Mohideen *et al.*, *Opt. Lett.* **20**, 1674 (1995).
- [2] B. J. Eggleton *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **76**, 1627 (1996).
- [3] H. G. Winful, J. H. Marburger, and E. Garmire, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **35**, 379 (1979).
- [4] S. LaRochelle *et al.*, *Elect. Lett.* **26**, 1459 (1990).
- [5] C. M. de Sterke, *Opt. Lett.* **17**, 914 (1992).
- [6] M. J. Steel and C. M. de Sterke, *Phys. Rev. A* **49**, 5048 (1994).
- [7] C. M. de Sterke *et al.*, *Opt. Fiber Technol.* **2**, 253 (1996).
- [8] The energy stored in our apodized grating near resonance is at most 82% of what can be stored in a uniform grating with the same peak reflectivity and length. This is still 40% more than for a uniform medium. This energy storage is not essential to the optical pushbroom which works equally well with optical pulses [6] but rather enhances the effect.
- [9] M. J. Steel and C. M. de Sterke, *Appl. Opt.* **35**, 3211 (1995).
- [10] H. He and P. D. Drummond, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 4311 (1997).