

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

VOLUME 79

1 SEPTEMBER 1997

NUMBER 9

Localization of One-Photon States

Corin Adlard, E. R. Pike, and Sarben Sarkar

Department of Physics, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom

(Received 25 October 1996)

Single-photon states with arbitrarily fast asymptotic power-law falloff of energy density and photodetection rate are explicitly constructed. This goes beyond the recently discovered tenth-power law of the Hellwarth-Nouchi photon which itself superseded the long-standing seventh-power law of the Amrein photon. [S0031-9007(97)03953-7]

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k

Given any classical solution of the source-free Maxwell's equations it is possible to write down a corresponding quantum mechanical one-photon state

$$|\phi\rangle = \sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} f_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) a_{(\lambda)}^\dagger(\vec{k}) |0\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $|0\rangle$ represents the vacuum, $f_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k})$ is a c -number function, and $a_{(\lambda)}^\dagger(\vec{k})$ is the creation operator for a single photon state with momentum \vec{k} and helicity λ . This is a general wave-packet state. The transverse nature of the classical solution is reflected in the photon having only 2 (rather than 3) spin degrees of freedom (i.e., 2 helicities). In particular, we will show that

$$\sum_{\lambda} \vec{\epsilon}_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) f_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0 \omega_{\vec{k}}}{\hbar}} \vec{\tilde{A}}(\vec{k}), \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{\tilde{A}}(\vec{k})$ is the spatial Fourier transform of $\vec{A}(t, \vec{r})$, the classical solution for the vector potential, and $\vec{\epsilon}_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k})$ is the polarization vector associated with helicity λ and wave vector \vec{k} . It has been known for a long time that the configuration space representation of $|\phi\rangle$ cannot have delta-function support or support in a finite region of space [1,2]. This prompted Jauch and Piron [3] and Amrein [4] to make a formal modification of the imprimitivity formu-

lation of localizability of elementary particles to a generalized imprimitivity applicable to photons. It was believed (without proof) that such a construction gave the tightest isotropically localized single-photon state. Such a state can be shown to have an asymptotic $1/|\vec{r}|^7$ falloff of its energy density and photodetection rate [5]. The situation remained thus for about a decade until recently when a particular explicit solution of Maxwell's equation by Hellwarth and Nouchi [6] led to a one-photon state with an asymptotic falloff of $1/|\vec{r}|^{10}$ for the photodetection rate [7] at any finite time t . Consequently, it became clear that the state from the generalized imprimitivity construction was not the most isotropically localized possible. However, the question of the existence of a fundamental limit to the sharpness of the power-law falloff remained open. In this paper we will show that single-photon states with arbitrarily high powers of asymptotic falloff can be explicitly constructed.

The EDEPT solutions.—Following the same calculations as in the paper of Ziolkowski [8], we can obtain the (focused) EDEPT (electromagnetic directed-energy pulse-train) solutions for the classical vector potential, expressed in cylindrical coordinates, as the real and imaginary parts of

$$\vec{A}(\tau, \rho, z) = \frac{2\alpha\mu_0 g_0^\alpha \vec{e}_\theta \rho [g_1 + i(z - \tau)]^{\alpha-1}}{[r^2 - \tau^2 + i(g_2 - g_1)z - i(g_2 + g_1)\tau + g_1 g_2]^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (3)$$

where α is an integer, $r^2 = \rho^2 + z^2$, $\tau = ct$, and, in the notation of Ziolkowski, we have set $b = 0$, $\beta = g_0$, $z_0 = g_1$, and $a\beta = g_2$. As we see from (1) and (2) these solutions provide a convenient way of producing normalizable single-photon states with all the required transversality properties.

Note that the leading power in distance in the denominator of the above is $r^{2\alpha+2}$ and that in the numerator the leading power is only α at any finite time t . Thus there exist real or imaginary solutions for the vector potential which fall off asymptotically as $1/r^{\alpha+2}$ where α is odd for a real solution and even for an imaginary solution. The solution of Hellwarth and Nouchi is obtained from Eq. (1) with $\alpha = 1$. Since the electric and magnetic fields are the derivatives of the vector potential with respect to distance and time, these fields will also inevitably fall off asymptotically as $1/r^{\alpha+c}$. Here c is some small integer whose value depends on whether a real or imaginary solution is required. Indeed an explicit calculation shows that the electric and magnetic fields fall off asymptotically as a power which increases linearly with increasing α . These expressions are rather long, and so we do not give them here. Since we have not imposed an upper bound on α , other than it remain finite, we conclude that there is, in principle, no limit to the asymptotic rate of falloff of the electric or magnetic energy densities of these *classical* solutions of Maxwell's equations.

Arbitrarily localized one-photon states.—We shall now demonstrate that there exist one-photon states with the same electric and magnetic energy densities as the classical EDEPT solutions. First, the Hamiltonian density of a

free electromagnetic field in vacuum can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}(t, \vec{r}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \mathcal{N}[\vec{E}^2(t, \vec{r}) + c^2 \vec{B}^2(t, \vec{r})], \quad (4)$$

where $1/\epsilon_0\mu_0 = c^2$ and where \mathcal{N} represents normal operator ordering and \vec{E} and \vec{B} are free operator fields given, in the Coulomb gauge, by the usual relations

$$\vec{E} = -\frac{\partial \vec{A}}{\partial t} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\vec{B} = \vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}. \quad (6)$$

As usual, normal ordering is required to remove the divergent energy density of the vacuum. The vector potential (operator) may be written, in vacuum, as

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{A}(t, \vec{r}) &= \sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i(\omega_{\vec{k}}t - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r})} \\ &\times \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2\epsilon_0\omega_{\vec{k}}}} \vec{\epsilon}_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) a_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For the general one-photon state $|\phi\rangle$ the energy density is given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\text{qm}}(t, \vec{r}) &= \langle \phi | \mathcal{H}(t, \vec{r}) | \phi \rangle \\ &= \frac{\hbar}{2} \sum_{\lambda\lambda'} \int \frac{d^3k d^3k'}{(2\pi)^6} f_{(\lambda)}^*(\vec{k}) f_{(\lambda')}(\vec{k}') e^{i(\omega_{\vec{k}} - \omega_{\vec{k}'}t - i(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')\cdot\vec{r})} \sqrt{\omega_{\vec{k}}\omega_{\vec{k}'}} \\ &\times [\vec{\epsilon}_{(\lambda)}^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{\epsilon}_{(\lambda')}(\vec{k}') + \hat{k} \times \vec{\epsilon}_{(\lambda)}^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \hat{k}' \times \vec{\epsilon}_{(\lambda')}(\vec{k}')]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Furthermore, we require a single-photon state to be normalizable and so

$$\langle \phi | \phi \rangle = \sum_{\lambda} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} |f_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k})|^2 < \infty. \quad (9)$$

Now, since Ziolkowski uses a classical theory, we would like to find a one-photon state, $f_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k})$, such that it has the same energy density as the Hellwarth-Nouchi solutions. So we must find a suitable momentum-space expression for a classical energy density. The classical version of (4) is the energy density

$$u_{\text{cl}}(t, \vec{r}) = \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} [\vec{E}^2(t, \vec{r}) + c^2 \vec{B}^2(t, \vec{r})], \quad (10)$$

where \vec{E} and \vec{B} are now classical fields, so do not require normal ordering. Now, defining the Fourier transforms of the (real) electric field by

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{E}(t, \vec{r}) &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{E}(\vec{k}) e^{-i(\omega_{\vec{k}}t - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r})} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{E}^*(\vec{k}) e^{i(\omega_{\vec{k}}t - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r})}, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and similarly for the magnetic field, and using these expressions in (10), we find

$$\begin{aligned} u_{\text{cl}}(t, \vec{r}) &= \frac{\epsilon_0}{2} \int \frac{d^3k d^3k'}{(2\pi)^6} e^{i(\omega_{\vec{k}} - \omega_{\vec{k}'}t - i(\vec{k} - \vec{k}')\cdot\vec{r})} \\ &\times [\vec{E}^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{k}') + c^2 \vec{B}^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{B}(\vec{k}')]. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

On substituting the definition of the Fourier transform of $\vec{A}(t, \vec{r})$

$$\vec{A}(t, \vec{r}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \vec{A}(\vec{k}) e^{-i(\omega_{\vec{k}}t - \vec{k}\cdot\vec{r})} \quad (13)$$

into (5) and (6) we obtain

$$\vec{E}(\vec{k}) = i\omega_{\vec{k}} \vec{A}(\vec{k}) \quad (14)$$

and

$$c\vec{B}(\vec{k}) = i\omega_{\vec{k}} \hat{k} \times \vec{A}(\vec{k}), \quad (15)$$

where we have written $\vec{k} = |\vec{k}|\hat{k}$ and $\omega_{\vec{k}} = c|\vec{k}|$. So, substituting (14) and (15) into (12) we find

$$u_{\text{cl}}(t, \vec{r}) = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \int \frac{d^3k d^3k'}{(2\pi)^6} \omega_{\vec{k}} \omega_{\vec{k}'} e^{i(\omega_{\vec{k}} - \omega_{\vec{k}'})t - i(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \cdot \vec{r}} \\ \times [\vec{A}^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{k}') + \hat{k} \times \vec{A}^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \hat{k}' \times \vec{A}(\vec{k}')]. \quad (16)$$

On using the Coulomb gauge condition in (13) we find in momentum space

$$\vec{k} \cdot \vec{A}(\vec{k}) = 0, \quad (17)$$

that is, $\vec{A}(\vec{k})$ is always orthogonal to \vec{k} . Thus, we may expand $\vec{A}(\vec{k})$ in the basis of two orthonormal polarization

vectors $\vec{e}_{(\pm 1)}(\vec{k})$ such that

$$\vec{e}_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{e}_{(\lambda')}(\vec{k}) = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'} \quad (18)$$

and, of course,

$$\vec{e}_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) \cdot \hat{k} = 0. \quad (19)$$

So, we may write

$$\vec{A}(\vec{k}) = \sum_{\lambda=\pm 1} \tilde{A}_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) \vec{e}_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}). \quad (20)$$

Substituting this into (16) we find

$$u_{\text{cl}}(t, \vec{r}) = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{2} \sum_{\lambda\lambda'} \int \frac{d^3k d^3k'}{(2\pi)^6} \tilde{A}_{(\lambda)}^*(\vec{k}) \tilde{A}_{(\lambda')}(\vec{k}') \omega_{\vec{k}} \omega_{\vec{k}'} e^{i(\omega_{\vec{k}} - \omega_{\vec{k}'})t - i(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \cdot \vec{r}} \\ \times [\vec{e}_{(\lambda)}^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{e}_{(\lambda')}(\vec{k}') + \hat{k} \times \vec{e}_{(\lambda)}^*(\vec{k}) \cdot \hat{k}' \times \vec{e}_{(\lambda')}(\vec{k}')]. \quad (21)$$

Thus, comparing (8) and (21) we see that the classical and quantum electric and magnetic energy densities will be equal if the single-photon state is given in momentum space by

$$f_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}) = \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon_0 \omega_{\vec{k}}}{\hbar}} \tilde{A}_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k}). \quad (22)$$

It can be shown for EDEPT solutions that such $f_{(\lambda)}(\vec{k})$ are normalizable. Thus, we have shown that there indeed exist single-photon states which have an arbitrarily fast power-law falloff of their energy densities.

We shall now demonstrate that the detection rate for the localized one-photon states also fall off with an arbitrarily fast power law. The detection rate of a single-photon state $|\phi\rangle$ for an ideal, pointlike photon detector at \vec{r} may be shown to be proportional to

$$S^{ij} \langle \phi | E_i^{(-)}(t, \vec{r}) E_j^{(+)}(t, \vec{r}) | \phi \rangle, \quad (23)$$

where S^{ij} is the detector sensitivity; see, for example, Glauber [9]. Let us note from the paper of Ziolkowski that the electric field of the doughnut solutions is polarized such that its classical electric field is in the \vec{e}_θ direction. It may similarly be shown that the ‘‘photodetection amplitude’’ $\langle 0 | \vec{E}^{(+)}(t, \vec{r}) | \phi \rangle$ for the corresponding photon state $|\phi\rangle$ will be in this direction. Using this observation, we find that the detection rate is proportional to the electric energy density

$$\varepsilon_0 \langle \phi | \vec{E}^{(-)}(t, \vec{r}) \cdot \vec{E}^{(+)}(t, \vec{r}) | \phi \rangle. \quad (24)$$

Now, since the electric energy densities of our single-photon states are equal to those of the corresponding

EDEPT solutions, the single-photon detection rates are simply proportional to the classical electric energy densities, which fall off asymptotically with an arbitrarily fast power law. Hence, we have demonstrated that by choosing α the detection rates of these single-photon states can be made to have a power-law falloff which is arbitrarily rapid.

One of us (C.A.) was supported by a Junior Research Studentship from the School of Physical Sciences and Engineering of King’s College London.

-
- [1] E.R. Pike and S. Sarkar, *The Quantum Theory of Radiation* (Oxford University Press, London, 1995).
 - [2] T.D. Newton and E.P. Wigner, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **21**, 400 (1949); A.S. Wightman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **34**, 845 (1962).
 - [3] J.M. Jauch and C. Piron, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **40**, 559 (1967).
 - [4] W.O. Amrein, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **8**, 2684 (1969).
 - [5] E.R. Pike and S. Sarkar, *Phys. Rev. A* **35**, 926 (1987).
 - [6] R.W. Hellwarth and P. Nouchi, *Phys. Rev. E* **54**, 889–895 (1996).
 - [7] S. Sarkar and E.R. Pike, in *Proceedings of the Conference on Quantum Coherence and Interference in Fundamental and Applied Physics*, Crested Butte, 1994 (unpublished).
 - [8] R.W. Ziolkowski, *Phys. Rev. A* **39**, 2005 (1989).
 - [9] R. Glauber, in *Quantum Optics and Electronics*, edited by C. DeWitt, A. Blandin, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1964).