## Noise-Enhanced Multistability in Coupled Oscillator Systems

Seunghwan Kim,\* Seon Hee Park, and Chang Su Ryu

Research Department, Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute, P.O. Box 106, Yusong-gu, Taejon 305-600, Korea (Received 7 October 1996)

We study nonequilibrium phenomena in a globally coupled oscillator system with a third harmonic pinning force in the presence of an additive noise and a fluctuating interaction. The system shows a subcritical saddle-node bifurcation from an asymmetric state to a symmetric state at a critical noise intensity leading to multistable states. The fluctuating interaction increases the critical noise intensity and thus enhances the multistability drastically. We show phase diagrams and discuss the nature of the phase transition. [S0031-9007(97)02587-8]

PACS numbers: 05.45.+b, 02.50.Ey, 05.40.+j, 05.70.Fh

Noise effect on a dynamical system has been studied extensively in the context of equilibrium and nonequilibrium phenomena. The study of phase transition, originally limited to equilibrium systems, was extended to nonequilibrium systems [1]. While an additive noise provides equilibrium phenomena such as a disordering effect and a symmetry-breaking transition, a multiplicative noise coupled to the state of the system induces nonequilibrium phenomena such as a change of the stability of the system. The multiplicative noise remains the focus of current research [1-3]. The question of the interplay between multiplicative and additive noises in the systems has also been raised continuously [3,4]. While second-order transition induced by the multiplicative noise has been studied [1,5], its effect on first-order transition remains to be investigated.

In this paper we study the effect of the multiplicative noise on the multistability investigating the nonequilibrium phenomena of the globally coupled oscillator systems with a third harmonic pinning force subject to a fluctuating interaction and an additive noise. It is shown that the additive noise and the fluctuating interaction induces a subcritical saddle-node bifurcation from an asymmetric state to a symmetric state at a critical noise intensity leading to multistable states. The fluctuating coupling increases the critical noise intensity and thus enhances the multistability drastically. We show phase diagrams and discuss the nature of the phase transition.

In the presence of additive and multiplicative noises, a model of N coupled oscillators with a third harmonic pinning force under study is expressed by the Langevin equation

$$\frac{d\phi_i}{dt} = -b\sin(3\phi_i) - \frac{K}{N}[1 + \sigma_M\eta_i(t)]$$
$$\times \sum_{j=1}^N \sin(\phi_i - \phi_j) + \sigma_A\xi_i(t), \qquad (1)$$

where  $\phi_i$ , i = 1, 2, ..., N, is the phase of the *i*th oscillator. On the right-hand side of Eq. (1) the first term is a third harmonic pinning force, and the second term describes global coupling which depends on the phase difference of two oscillators with fluctuating interaction.  $\xi_i(t)$  and  $\eta_i(t)$  are independent Gaussian white noises characterized by

$$\begin{split} \langle \xi_i(t) \rangle &= \langle \eta_i(t) \rangle = \langle \xi_i(t) \eta_j(t') \rangle = 0, \\ \langle \xi_i(t) \xi_j(t') \rangle &= \langle \eta_i(t) \eta_j(t') \rangle = 2\delta_{ij}\delta(t-t'), \end{split}$$

and  $\sigma_A$  and  $\sigma_M$  measure the intensities of the additive noise and fluctuating interaction, respectively. Throughout this paper we set K = 1 using a suitable time unit.

Equation (1) is invariant under the global finite translation

$$\phi_i \to \phi_i + \frac{2\pi}{3} \tag{2}$$

for all  $\phi_i$ 's and under the global inversion  $\phi_i \rightarrow -\phi_i$  for all  $\phi_i$ 's. In the absence of the noises the system has three stable fixed points synchronized perfectly at 0,  $2\pi/3$ , and  $4\pi/3$ , respectively. The stable fixed points are related by the symmetry operation (2). For small additive noise, the system fluctuates near the fixed points implying that in the large-N limit, the phase space divides into three ergodic components related by the symmetry operation (2) and that the system remains in a ergodic component given initially leading to the asymmetric state. The ergodic components merge into an ergodic whole phase space for large additive noise restoring the symmetry and thus leading to a phase transition. Numerical simulations show that the global finite translation symmetry is broken at small additive noise intensity. The global inversion symmetry, however, persists regardless of the additive and multiplicative noise intensities with the initial condition which belongs to the ergodic component including the fixed point  $\phi_i = 0$  for all *i*. For the other initial conditions the system has the symmetry of the global inversion following the global translation.

The macroscopic behavior of the system can be described by the probability distribution  $P(\{\phi_i\}, t)$  of  $\phi_i$ 's at time t, whose evolution is governed by the Fokker-Planck equation [6]. In the large-N limit, after integrating over N - 1 phases and changing sums to integrals the stochastic differential equation (1) yields the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left\{ \left[ -b\sin(3\phi) - \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi' \sin(\phi - \phi')n(\phi', t) + \sigma_{M}^{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi' \sin(\phi - \phi')n(\phi', t) \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi'' \cos(\phi - \phi'')n(\phi'', t) \right] P(\phi, t) \right\} + \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \phi^{2}} \left\{ \left[ \sigma_{A}^{2} + \sigma_{M}^{2} \left( \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\phi' \sin(\phi - \phi')n(\phi', t) \right)^{2} \right] P(\phi, t) \right\},$$
(3)

with the probability distribution  $P(\phi, t)$  of  $\phi_i$  at time t in Stratonovich interpretation. In Eq. (3)  $n(\phi, t)$ , the normalized number density of the oscillators with phase  $\phi$  at time t, is given by  $n(\phi, t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta(\phi_i(t) - \phi)/N$ . Since Eq. (3) has a closed form in  $\phi$  with integrations of  $n(\phi', t)$  multiplied by  $\sin \phi'$  and  $\cos \phi'$  over  $\phi', \phi_i$ 's are statistically independent, and thus,  $n(\phi, t)$  may be identified with  $P(\phi, t)$ . In this paper we analyze the steady state probability distribution  $P(\phi)$  achieved as  $t \to \infty$ .

Since Eq. (3) is invariant under the global inversion we can assume  $P(\phi)$  as an even function, i.e.,  $P(-\phi) = P(\phi)$  restricting the system within the ergodic component including the state  $\phi_i = 0$  for all *i*. This symmetry is confirmed by extensive numerical simulations. One can obtain  $P(\phi)$ 's of the other ergodic components by the global translation operation (2). With this assumption the stationary probability distribution is written as

$$P(\phi) = Z^{-1} \exp(-\gamma \cos \phi) (1 + A \cos \phi)^{\beta - 1/2} \times (1 - A \cos \phi)^{-\beta - 1/2},$$
(4)

where

$$\beta = \frac{(\Delta + 3b)\sigma_M^2 \Delta^2 + 4b\sigma_A^2}{2\sigma_M^2 \Delta^2 \sqrt{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_M^2 \Delta^2}},$$
  

$$\gamma = \frac{4b}{\sigma_M^2 \Delta^2},$$
  

$$A = \frac{\sigma_M \Delta}{\sqrt{\sigma_A^2 + \sigma_M^2 \Delta^2}},$$

with a normalization constant Z given by  $\int_0^{2\pi} P(\phi) d\phi = 1$  and a self-consistent equation

$$\Delta = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos \phi P(\phi) d\phi \equiv f(\Delta).$$
 (5)

When  $\Delta = 0$ , Eq. (4) has the translational symmetry (2) leading to  $P(\phi) = \exp[b\cos(3\phi)/3\sigma_A^2]/Z$ , and nonzero  $\Delta$  gives the symmetry-breaking states related by the translation operation (2). Thus,  $\Delta$  plays a role of an order parameter for the translational symmetry. Figure 1 shows  $P(\phi)$  for the symmetric and the asymmetric states. For small  $\Delta$  expanding  $P(\phi)$  as a power series of  $\Delta$  we obtain the self-consistent equation (5) as

$$\Delta = \frac{\Delta}{2\sigma_A^2} + \frac{5C_1 + (5bC_0 + bC_2 + 5C_1\sigma_A^2)\sigma_M^2}{40\sigma_A^2 C_0} \times \Delta^2 + O(\Delta^3),$$
(6)

with  $C_{\mu} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \cos(\mu \phi) \exp(b \cos \phi / 3\sigma_A^2) d\phi$ . While for small  $\sigma_A$  Eq. (5) gives a solution of nonzero  $\Delta$ , for large  $\sigma_A$  it has only a solution  $\Delta = 0$ . This implies that there is a transition from a symmetric ( $\Delta = 0$ ) state to a symmetry-breaking ( $\Delta \neq 0$ ) state at a critical noise intensity  $\sigma_{Ac}$ . If the transition is continuous, then the critical noise intensity is given by  $\sigma_{Ac} = 1/\sqrt{2}$  [5]. The convexity of Eq. (6) for small  $\Delta$ , however, gives the possibility of first-order transition.

Figure 2 shows  $\Delta - f(\Delta)$  zeros of which are solutions of self-consistent equation (5). When  $\sigma_M = 0$  and b = 1, Fig. 2(a) shows the discontinuous transition. For  $\sigma_A < \sigma_{Ac0} = 1/\sqrt{2}$ , there are two zeros of  $\Delta - f(\Delta)$ ; one is zero and the other is nonzero. Since the slope of  $\Delta - f(\Delta)$  at  $\Delta = 0$  is negative,  $\Delta = 0$  is an unstable solution of Eq. (5). Thus when  $\sigma_A < \sigma_{Ac0}$ , the system is on a symmetry-breaking ( $\Delta \neq 0$ ) state. For  $\sigma_{Ac0} < \sigma_A < \sigma_{Ac1} \equiv 0.7111$  there are three zeros of  $\Delta - f(\Delta)$ with stable symmetric ( $\Delta = 0$ ) and symmetry-breaking ( $\Delta \neq 0$ ) states implying multistability. For  $\sigma_A > \sigma_{Ac1}$ there is only a solution  $\Delta = 0$  representing a symmetric state. The effect of fluctuating interaction on the system



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of  $P(\phi)$  for the symmetric ( $\Delta = 0$ ) state and the asymmetry ( $\Delta > 0$ ) state.



FIG. 2. Plots of  $\Delta - f(\Delta)$  versus  $\Delta$  (a) for various values of  $\sigma_A$  with  $\sigma_M = 0$  and b = 1, and (b) for various values of  $\sigma_M$  with  $\sigma_A = 0.8$  and b = 1.

is shown in Fig. 2(b) at  $\sigma_A = 0.8$  and b = 1. While for  $\sigma_M < \sigma_{Mc} \equiv 2.9$ ,  $\Delta - f(\Delta)$  has only a solution  $\Delta = 0$  representing a symmetric state, for  $\sigma_M > \sigma_{Mc}$  it has three solutions, two stable and one unstable, implying the multistability of symmetric and symmetry-breaking states.

Figure 3(a) shows the solutions of the self-consistent equation (5) as a function of  $\sigma_A$  for various values of  $\sigma_M$ . For small  $\sigma_A$  there are two solutions  $\Delta = 0$  and  $\Delta_s$  [solid line in Fig. 3(a)]. While  $\Delta = 0$  is an unstable solution,  $\Delta_s \neq 0$  is a stable solution. As  $\sigma_A$  increases up to  $\sigma_{Ac0}$  the stabilities of the solutions persist reducing  $\Delta_s$ . At  $\sigma_A = \sigma_{Ac0}$ , a saddle-node bifurcation occurs changing the stability of  $\Delta = 0$  from an unstable state to a stable state and producing an unstable nonzero solution  $\Delta_u$  [dashed line in Fig. 3(a)] at  $\Delta = 0$ . As  $\sigma_A$  increases further up to  $\sigma_{Ac1}$ ,  $\Delta_u$  increases and  $\Delta_s$  decreases.  $\Delta_u$  and  $\Delta_s$  meet together at  $\sigma_A = \sigma_{Ac1}$  leading to an inverse saddle-node bifurcation. For  $\sigma_A > \sigma_{Ac1}$  there is only a stable solution  $\Delta = 0$  implying a symmetric state.

Figure 3(b) shows the solutions of self-consistent equation (5) as a function of  $\sigma_M$  for various values of  $\sigma_A$ . For  $\sigma_A < \sigma_{Ac0}$  [ $\sigma_A = 0.705$  in Fig. 3(b)], there are two solu-



FIG. 3. Plots of  $\Delta$  obtained from the self-consistent equation (5) (a) versus  $\sigma_A$  for various values of  $\sigma_M$  with b = 1, and (b) versus  $\sigma_M$  for various values of  $\sigma_A$  with b = 1. Solid and dashed lines represent stable and unstable solutions, respectively.  $\diamond$ 's indicate  $\Delta$  in steady state obtained from the numerical simulation for the system of size  $N = 10^4$  at  $\sigma_A = 0.8$  and b = 1.

tions  $\Delta = 0$  and  $\Delta_s$  [solid line in Fig. 3(b)] for all values of  $\sigma_M$ ; while  $\Delta = 0$  is an unstable solution,  $\Delta_s \neq 0$  is a stable solution. When  $\sigma_A > \sigma_{Ac0}$ , for small  $\sigma_M$  there is only a stable solution  $\Delta = 0$ . At  $\sigma_M = \sigma_{Mc}$  the stability of  $\Delta = 0$  changes from an unstable state to a stable state and the saddle-node bifurcation occurs at finite  $\Delta$  producing a stable and an unstable solutions,  $\Delta_s$  [solid line in Fig. 3(b)] and  $\Delta_u$  [dashed line in Fig. 3(b)], respectively. As  $\sigma_M$  increases above  $\sigma_{Mc}$  up to some value of  $\sigma_M$ ,  $\sigma_{M0}$ ,  $\Delta_s$  increases and  $\Delta_u$  decreases. At  $\sigma_M = \sigma_{M0} \Delta_s$  has a maximum value, and as  $\sigma_M$  increases further  $\Delta_s$  also decreases. In Fig. 3(b) we also show the numerical simulation result consistent with the analytical one at  $\sigma_A = 0.8$ .

Figure 4 shows phase diagrams in the  $\sigma_M$ - $\sigma_A$  plane for various values of *b*. For all  $\sigma_M$ , there are two transition points  $\sigma_{Ac0}$  and  $\sigma_{Ac1}$  at which the transitions from the symmetry-breaking phase (*BS*) to the multistable phase (*MS*) and from the multistable phase to the symmetric phase (*S*), respectively, occur. While in the *S* phase with



FIG. 4. Phase diagrams in the  $\sigma_M$ - $\sigma_A$  plane for various values of *b*. *S*, *BS*, and *MS* represent symmetric, symmetry breaking, and multistable phases, respectively.

 $\Delta = 0$  the phase space is ergodic as a whole, in the *BS* phase with  $\Delta \neq 0$  the phase space divides into the three ergodic components related by the translation operation (2). In the *MS* phase there are four ergodic components, one symmetric component with  $\Delta = 0$  and three asymmetric components with  $\Delta \neq 0$ . The asymmetric components are also related by the translation operation (2). Thus, in the *MS* phase symmetric and asymmetric components coexist.

The transitions are subcritical saddle-node bifurcations. As  $\sigma_M$  increases,  $\sigma_{Ac1}$  increases with constant  $\sigma_{Ac0}$  expanding the multistable phase. While when  $\sigma_M = 0$  the multistable region is  $\sigma_A \in (0.707, 0.711)$ , when  $\sigma_M = 10$  it is  $\sigma_A \in (0.707, 1.017)$  expanded 77.5 times. The fluctuating interaction enhances the multistable region drastically. In Fig. 5 we show the jump of stable solution  $\Delta$  of Eq. (5) at the transition point  $\sigma_{Ac1}$ . At  $\sigma_M = 0$  the jump is finite implying the discontinuous transition. As  $\sigma_M$  increases up to some value of  $\sigma_M$ ,  $\sigma_{Mp}$  the jump increases, and as  $\sigma_M$  increases further it decreases showing a peak and implying the maximum discontinuity at  $\sigma_{Mp}$ .

In conclusion, we have investigated the nonequilibrium phase transition of globally coupled oscillators with a third harmonic pinning force in the presence of additive and multiplicative noises. It has been shown that the noises induce a subcritical saddle-node bifurcation from an asymmetric state to a symmetric state at a critical noise intensities leading to the multistability. The multistability



FIG. 5. Plots of jump values of  $\Delta$  at transition points versus  $\sigma_M$  for various values of *b*.

has been enhanced drastically by the multiplicative noise. Discontinuity of the transition is maximized at finite multiplicative noise intensity. This noise-enhanced multistability comes from the role of the multiplicative noise that it reduces the fluctuation intensity,  $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sin(\phi_i - \phi_j) = \Delta \sin \phi_i$  in the Langevin equation (1). Since  $\Delta = 0$  in the symmetric state and  $\phi_i = 0$  for all *i* in the synchronized (symmetry-breaking) state, the fluctuation intensity is zero in both states. Thus the multiplicative noise tends to stabilize both symmetric and synchronized states enhancing the multistability.

This work has been supported by the Ministry of Information and Communication, Korea. We are grateful to Dr. E. H. Lee for his support on this research.

\*Electronic address: skim@logos.etri.re.kr

- C. Van den Broeck, J. M. R. Parrondo, J. Armero, and A. Hernández-Machado, Phys. Rev. E 49, 2639 (1994);
   C. Van den Broeck, J. M. R. Parrondo, and R. Toral, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 3395 (1994).
- [2] S. H. Park and S. Kim, Phys. Rev. E 53, 3425 (1996).
- [3] S. Kim, S. H. Park, and C. S. Ryu, ETRI J. 18, 147 (1996);
   S. Kim, S. H. Park, C. R. Doering, and C. S. Ryu, Phys. Lett. A (to be published).
- [4] A. Fulinski and T. Telejko, Phys. Lett. A 152, 11 (1991).
- [5] S. Kim, S. H. Park, and C. S. Ryu, Phys. Rev. E 54, 6042 (1996).
- [6] H. Risken, *The Fokker-Planck Equation* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1988).