## **Persistence Length for a PSSNa Polyion in Semidilute Solution as a Function of the Ionic Strength**

M. N. Spiteri, F. Boué, A. Lapp, and J. P. Cotton *LLB (CEA-CNRS), CE Saclay, F91191-Gif/Yvette Cedex, France* (Received 16 May 1996)

The persistence length of sodium sulfonated polystyrene (PSSNa) is measured by small angle neutron scattering in the semidilute regime for two polymer concentrations *c* as a function of the added salt concentration  $c_s$ . The results suggest that this persistence length  $l_p$  depends only on  $c + 2c_s$ . This questions the role of the counterion condensation at the nanometric scale. Moreover, a variation  $l_p \sim (c + 2c_s)^{-1/3}$  is found. *l<sub>p</sub>* could be simply related to the distance between ions, which is the relevant length for concentrated solutions. [S0031-9007(96)01895-9]

PACS numbers: 61.25.Hq, 36.20.Ey, 61.12.Ex

We study polyelectrolyte solution [1,2] of sodium sulfonated polystyrene (PSSNa), where each monomer carries a group which dissociates in water. The resulting charged groups on the chain tend to make the polyion more rigid, i.e., to increase the persistence length. This quantity is determined here in the most direct way in semidilute solutions from the intrachain form factor  $P(q)$ of a single chain. Two polymer concentrations *c* (the number of monomer per unit volume) are used, and salt (of concentration  $c_s$ ) is progressively added. Our aim is to measure the corresponding effect on the values of the chain persistence length.

A straightforward effect is the screening of electrostatic repulsions due to the free ions. Its range is the screening length  $\kappa^{-1}$ . Usually its reference value is that calculated by Debye and Hückel [3] for a nearly perfect gas of ions:

$$
\kappa_{\rm DH}{}^2 = 4\pi l_B I = 4\pi l_B c_f \,,\tag{1}
$$

where  $l_B$  is the Bjerrum length equal to 7.1 Å at room temperature and *I* is the ionic strength of the free monovalent ions (of concentration  $c_f$ ). Equation (1) is valid [4] for dilute solutions below a concentration  $\tilde{c}_f$ at which  $\kappa_{\text{DH}}^{-1}$  becomes equal to the mean distance between free ions:

$$
d(\tilde{c}_f) = 1/(\tilde{c}_f N_A)^{1/3} = (4\pi l_B N_A \tilde{c}_f)^{-1/2}, \qquad (2)
$$

where  $N_A$  is the Avogadro number.

In the polymer case,  $c_f$  may be strongly decreased by an effect of charge condensation on the polyion. The fraction of condensed ions is usually determined from osmotic pressure measurements of  $c_f$  at a macroscopic scale. It is of interest to see whether similar condensation effects are also observed on the persistence length value determined on the nanometric scale using SANS (small angle neutron scattering).

Several theoretical predictions of  $l_p$  have been made [2] in the semidilute regime. In the Odijk [5], Skolnick, and Fixman [6] (OSF) theory, considering a rigid chain and ignoring the others,  $l_p$  is assumed to vary like  $\kappa_{\text{DH}}^{-2}$ . Earlier approaches [7,8], where  $l_p$  varies like  $\kappa_{\text{DH}}^{-1}$  have been reconsidered recently. For solutions where  $l_p$  would be greater than the mesh size  $\xi$  ( $\xi \sim c^{-1/2}$ ),  $l_p$  should be reduced to  $\xi$  by deflection effects [9]. This corresponds to a strong coupling regime; it is even predicted that  $l_p$  should increase when salt is added, as deflection effects are screened. Experiments [10] with no added salt showed a crossover  $\kappa_{\text{DH}}^{-2}$ - $\kappa_{\text{DH}}^{-1}$  ( $c^{-1}$ - $c^{-1/2}$ ) in good agreement with the numerical result of Le Bret [11]. This is at variance with the variation of  $q^*(c)$ , the maximum position of the whole structure factor of the corresponding solutions which always varies [12] as  $c^{1/2}$ .

The measurements consist in determining  $P(q)$  by SANS using the zero average contrast method: A mixture of hydrogenated and deuterated PSSNa with the same length and the same concentration  $c/2$  is dispersed in a mixture of light and heavy water containing a  $D_2O$  volume fraction  $x = 0.71$ , so that the contrast length of the two kinds of chains relative to the solvent is opposite:  $k_H =$  $-k_D = k$ . Under these conditions [13] the absolute measured intensity  $i(q)$  is directly proportional to  $P(q)$ without any influence of the interchain scattering:

$$
i(q) = k^2 c N_w P(q), \qquad (3)
$$

where  $N_w$  is the weight average number of monomer per chains, and *c* is the number of monomer per unit volume.

The value of  $l_p$  is extracted by fitting the curves to the Sharp and Bloomfield [14] scattering form factor  $P(q)$  of a single finite wormlike chain of contour length *L*,

$$
P(q) = \frac{2[\exp(-x) + x - 1]}{x^2} + \left[\frac{4}{15} + \frac{7}{15x} - \left(\frac{11}{15} + \frac{7}{15x}\right) \exp(-x)\right] \frac{2l_p}{L},
$$
\n(4)

with  $x = \frac{Lq^2l_p}{3}$ . It is an approximation which is valid only for  $L > 10l_p$  in a *q* range  $ql_p < 2$ . For  $ql_p = 2$ , the values overlap [15] the curve rigorously obtained by des Cloizeaux [16] for chains of infinite length, which will be used for  $ql_p > 2$ .

Both PSSNa samples were prepared by sulfonating polystyrene following Vink's method [17], which leads to totally charged macroions. For the hydrogenated PSSNa, SEC-LS (size exclusion chromatography with on line light scattering) measurements give  $M_{wH} = 150000$ , and a polydispersity  $M_w/M_n = 1.12$ . For the deuterated one,  $M_{WD} = 170\,000$  and  $M_{W}/M_{n} = 1.20$ . The added salt used was NaBr. The solutions are semidilute since *c* is always greater than  $300c^*$  where  $c^*$  is the overlap concentration of the totally extended polymer. For each polyion concentration *c*, four salt concentrations were made. For  $c = 0.34$  *M* (mol/l),  $c_s$  was taken equal to 0, 0.34, 1.5, and 3 *M*. For  $c = 0.17$  *M*,  $c_s$  was 0, 0.85, 1.5, and 3 *M*. All samples were filtered through membranes of porosity  $0.2\mu$ , in order to eliminate aggregates.

The experiments were performed at the Orphée reactor (LLB, Saclay), using the spectrometers PACE and PAXY, with configurations allowing us to explore the *q* range  $[5 \times 10^{-3} - 4.2 \times 10^{-1} \text{ Å}^{-1}]$ . Absolute values of *i(q)* were obtained from the determination of the neutron flux in the incident beam [15]. The incoherent scattering was subtracted from the corresponding brine solution alone, since the incoherent background of polyelectrolytes is negligible in the mixture of H and D solvents.

We will now describe the procedure used to determine  $l_p$ : the eight curves  $i(q)$  allow us to determine  $N_w$ from  $i(q = 0)$  [cf. Eq. (3)]. The best value obtained,  $N_w = 850$ , is in good agreement with that of  $N_{wH} =$ 820 or  $N_{WD} = 890$  obtained by SEC-LS. Then  $i(0)$ is maintained constant and each of the eight curves is fitted with Eq. (3), giving eight couples of values of the parameters *L* and *lp*. We obtain a distribution of *L* values; most of them lie around  $L = 1750$ . This value is adopted since, in addition, the mean value  $N_n = 710$ obtained from SEC-LS measurement corresponds to a monomer length  $a = L/N_n = 2.46$  Å very close to that of a C-C bond 2.54 Å. Finally,  $i(0)$  and *L* are fixed and the eight  $l_p$  values are obtained. The consistency of the  $l_p$  values is confirmed from the representation  $Ll_pq^2P(q)$ versus *qlp* of des Cloizeaux, giving a universal curve for an infinite wormlike chain [16] (see Fig. 1). The agreement is very good since all the data superimpose in the range  $qR_g > 1$ , and the absolute values are close to the des Cloizeaux predictions. This leads us to be confident in the wormlike chain model and in the  $l_p$ values for all the salt concentrations  $c_s$  included in the range  $0-3$  *M*.



FIG. 1. Dimensionless representation  $Ll_pq^2P(q)$  of the form factor as a function of *qlp*, where *L* is the contour length of the polyion,  $l_p$  its persistence length, and  $q$  is the scattering vector. The eight curves correspond to the following value of the monomer concentration  $c = 0.34$  *M*, and the salt concentration ( $\diamondsuit$ )  $c_s = 0$  *M*, ( $\diamondsuit$ )  $c_s = 0.34$  *M*, ( $\times$ )  $c_s =$ 1.5 *M*, (+)  $c_s = 3$  *M*, and to  $c = 0.17$  *M* and (O)  $c_s = 0$  *M*, ( $\Box$ )  $c_s = 0.085 M$ , ( $\triangle$ )  $c_s = 1.5 M$ , ( $\nabla$ )  $c_s = 3 M$ . The full curve is the form factor of des Cloizeaux for an infinite wormlike chain [16].

The *lp* values are given in Table I. First, with no added salt,  $l_p$  decreases when  $c$  increases. This has already been observed [10,18]. Second, *lp* decreases with adding salt. Thus we are not in a strong coupling regime [9] (i.e., there is no screening of deflections leading to an increase of  $l_p$ ).

Let us now consider the variation of  $l_p$  as a function of the global free ion concentration. As the polyion is fully charged (one dissociable group per monomer, or about three per Bjerrum length), condensation could occur. From osmotic pressure measurements, the number of free ions  $c_f = fc + 2c_s$  is measured and gives values of *f*, the free counterion fraction. For the PSSNa, *f* is known [19] to be close to 0.2 in this concentration range. From SANS measurements, the striking result is that the same  $l_p$  is observed for different couples of *c* and  $c_s$ , giving the same  $c + 2c_s$  (see Table I). Now plotting all values of  $l_p$  versus  $fc + 2c_s$ , the best value of f for which all data lie on a single curve is  $f = 1$ . In this case our results are even consistent with two other sets of data obtained from earlier experiments [10,18] observing the effect of the variation of *c* with no salt added (see Fig. 2).

This would mean that in contrast to the osmotic pressure  $(f = 0.2)$ , SANS measurements are not sensitive to

TABLE I. Values of the persistence length  $l_p$  for different concentrations of polymer  $c$  and salt  $c_s$ . They can be compared to the bare persistence length of 9.2 Å [15] of the nonsulfonated polystyrene. Note that the couples of *lp* values similarly underlined are obtained for different couples of *c* and  $c_s$  values, providing that  $c + 2c_s$  remains constant.

$c_s(M)$			0.085	0.34	ن د	1.0		
c(M)	0.17	0.34	0.17	0.34	0.17	0.34	0.17	0.34
$2c_s$ $c +$	0.17	0.34	0.34	1.02	3.17	3.34	6.17	6.34
$l_p(A)$	$60 \pm 5$	$46 \pm 2$	$48 \pm 4$	$32 \pm 4$	$22 + 3$ .	$22 \pm 2$ .	$15 \pm 1$	$14 \pm 1$



FIG. 2. Persistence length  $l_p$  ( $\AA$ ) as a function of the concentration of counterions and salt ions  $c + 2cs(M)$ . (O)  $c =$ 0.34 *M*;  $(\nabla)$  *c* = 0.17 *M*;  $(\times)$  values extracted from Ref. [10];  $(+)$  values extracted from Ref. [18]. The straight line corresponds to a fit which gives a slope equal to  $-0.33 \pm 0.03$ . It does not take into account the points at the lowest and the largest values of the abscissa axis at either extremity.

counterion condensation. Apart from condensation, the activity of ions could also depart from the nominal concentration  $2c_s$  for very large salt concentrations (typically superior to  $0.1 \, M$  [20]). This does not modify anymore the  $c + 2c_s$  superposition of Fig. 2. This result leads us to two remarks. On one hand, if  $l_p$  depends directly on screening, all counterions and coions contribute to the screening length. On the other hand, repulsions along the polyion depend on its number of effective charges. Then the  $c + 2c_s$  dependence implies no partial neutralization of the polyion. Such a high number of charges would explain the large values of the observed persistence lengths, greater that the distance between ions (20 to 6 Å), which may be considered as the screening length (see below). Both effects suggest that ions are all located beyond a certain distance from the chain. This distance has to be short enough to remain imperceptible by osmotic pressure and conductivity coefficient measurements.

The data of Fig. 2 show that the persistence length  $l_p$ is found to vary like  $(c + 2c_s)^{-0.33\pm0.03}$ . The exponent is quite different from that of  $\frac{1}{2}$  usually predicted. This difference is not due to the use of the value  $f = 1$ since with a smaller value ( $f = 0.2$ ), we find a smaller exponent:  $l_p \sim (0.2c + 2c_s)^{-0.19 \pm 0.05}$ , with data much more dispersed in the log-log representation. We could obtain an exponent closer to  $\frac{1}{2}$  by assuming, as in the OSF theory, that the persistence length is the sum of the bare persistence length  $l_0$  (here [15]  $l_0 = 9.2$  Å) and the electrostatic one  $l_e$ . Under this condition, one finds  $l_p - l_0 \sim (c + 2c_s)^{-0.45 \pm 0.05}$ , thus an exponent near  $\frac{1}{2}$ . However, the OSF additivity assumption is established for intrinsically rigid chains, which is not the case for

PSSNa, well known for its low stiffness. We are therefore tempted to assume that  $l_p$  is directly proportional to  $\kappa^{-1}$  as soon as  $l_p$  is greater than  $l_0$ . This would then imply that  $\kappa^{-1}$  does not vary as  $(c + 2c_s)^{-1/2}$  but as  $(c + 2c_s)^{-1/3}$ . This is an expected behavior since the concentrations used here are really higher than the value  $\tilde{c}_f \sim 2 \times 10^{-3} M$  [see Eq. (2)] above which the expression of the Debye-Hückel screening length is no longer valid. Thus it is the distance between ions which is relevant. This is also consistent with  $f = 1$ .

The authors wish to thank Pierre Pfeuty for fruitful discussions and Patricia Lixxon (SCM, CE Saclay, France) for the SEC-LS measurements.

- [1] F. Oosawa, *Polyelectrolytes* (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1971).
- [2] J. F. Joanny and J. L. Barrat, in *Advances in Chemical Physics* (Wiley, New York, 1996), Vol. XCIV.
- [3] P. Debye and E. Hückel, Z. Physik **24**, 185 (1923).
- [4] L. Landau and E. Lifchitz, *Statistical Physics* (Mir, Moscow, 1967).
- [5] T. Odijk, J. Polym. Sci. Polym. Phys. Ed. **15**, 477 (1977).
- [6] J. Skolnick and M. Fixman, Macromolecules **10**, 944 (1977).
- [7] P. G. de Gennes, P. Pincus, R. M. Velasco, and F. Brochard, J. Phys. (Paris) **37**, 1461 (1976).
- [8] P. Pfeuty, J. Phys. (Paris) **39**, C2-149 (1978).
- [9] J. L. Barrat and J. F. Joanny, J. Phys. (Paris) II **4**, 1089 (1994).
- [10] M. Nierlich, F. Boué, A. Lapp, and R. Oberthür, Colloid Polym. Sic.**263**, 955 (1985).
- [11] M. Le Bret, J. Chem. Phys. **76**, 6243 (1982).
- [12] M. Nierlich, C.E. Williams, F. Boué, J.P. Cotton, M. Daoud, B. Farnoux, G. Jannink, C. Picot, M. Moan, C. Wolf, M. Rinaudo, and P. G. de Gennes, J. Phys. (Paris) **40**, 701 (1979).
- [13] F. Boué, J.P. Cotton, A. Lapp, and G. Jannink, J. Chem. Phys. **101**, 2562 (1994).
- [14] P. Sharp and V. A. Bloomfield, Biopolymers **6**, 1201 (1968).
- [15] A. Brûlet, F. Boué, and J. P. Cotton, J. Phys. (Paris) II **6**, 1 (1996).
- [16] J. des Cloizeaux, Macromolecules **6**, 403 (1973).
- [17] H. Vink, Makromol. Chem. **182**, 279 (1980).
- [18] M. Nierlich, F. Boué, A. Lapp, and R. Oberthür, J. Phys. (Paris) **46**, 649 (1985).
- [19] A. Katchalsky, Z. Alexandrowicz, and O. Kedem, in *Chemical Physics of Ionic Solutions,* edited by B. E. Conway and R. G. Barradas (Wiley, New York, 1966).
- [20] R. A. Robinson and R. H. Stokes, *Electrolyte Solutions* (Butterworths Scientific Publications, London, 1959).