Yrast Excitations around Doubly Magic ¹³²Sn from Fission Product γ -Ray Studies

C. T. Zhang, P. Bhattacharyya, P. J. Daly, R. Broda,* Z. W. Grabowski, and D. Nisius *Chemistry and Physics Departments, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907*

> I. Ahmad, T. Ishii,[†] M. P. Carpenter, and L. R. Morss *Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439*

W. R. Phillips, J. L. Durell, M. J. Leddy, A. G. Smith, W. Urban, and B. J. Varley Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Manchester, M13 9PL Manchester, United Kingdom

N. Schulz, E. Lubkiewicz, and M. Bentaleb

Centre de Recherches Nucleaires, Universite Louis Pasteur, F-67037 Strasbourg, France

J. Blomqvist

Department of Physics Frescati, Royal Institute of Technology, S-10405 Stockholm, Sweden (Received 5 August 1996)

Prompt γ -ray cascades in neutron-rich nuclei around doubly magic ¹³²Sn have been studied at Eurogam II using a ²⁴⁸Cm fission source. Yrast states to above 5.5 MeV in the two- and three-proton N = 82 isotones ¹³⁴Te and ¹³⁵I are reported. They are interpreted in terms of valence proton and particle-hole core excitations with the help of shell model calculations employing empirical nucleon-nucleon interactions from both ¹³²Sn and ²⁰⁸Pb regions. A serious inconsistency in the accepted masses of N = 82 isotones near ¹³²Sn is discovered but not resolved. [S0031-9007(96)01530-X]

PACS numbers: 23.20.Lv, 21.60.Cs, 25.85.Ca, 27.60.+j

The Z = 50, N = 82 nucleus ¹³²Sn is the most magic of all heavy nuclei, with pronounced shell closures for both protons and neutrons manifested by the absence of excited states below 4.0 MeV excitation energy [1]. However, ¹³²Sn and nearby nuclei are neutron-rich species lying well away from the valley of stability, and therefore not accessible for study by the usual experimental methods of reaction spectroscopy. What we know about these nuclei comes mainly from β^- decay studies of short-lived radionuclides produced in the fission of actinides; consequently, our knowledge about simple excitation modes, single particle energies, effective nucleon-nucleon interactions, and other basic properties in this region is far from complete.

The spectroscopy of ¹³²Sn and its neighbors should in many ways resemble that of the well studied region around Z = 82, $N = 126^{-208}$ Pb, where a substantial body of empirical nuclear structure information has accrued. The single particle energy gaps in the two cases are comparably large, and the orbitals above and below the gaps are similarly ordered [2]; indeed, every single particle state in the ¹³²Sn region has its counterpart in the vicinity of 208 Pb with the same radial quantum number *n*, and one unit larger in angular momenta ℓ and j. Several groups [2–5] have discussed the possibility of developing a "universal" theoretical description of shell model properties with some parameter variation in familiar and remote areas of the nuclidic chart, and they have stressed the desirability of detailed comparison between experimental data from the ²⁰⁸Pb and ¹³²Sn regions. Progress along these lines has been hampered by a scarcity of information about simple excitation modes in the ¹³²Sn region. The development of large multidetector γ -ray arrays with high analyzing power, which can separate the prompt γ -ray cascades within a single fission product nucleus (of moderate yield) from the bulk of prompt γ rays, has now opened new prospects for detailed studies of yrast excitations in ¹³²Sn and the few valence particle nuclei around it. The findings for only two of these nuclei are presented here, but similar results for many other products around ¹³²Sn will be forthcoming.

Measurements were performed at Eurogam II using a ²⁴⁸Cm source consisting of about 5 mg of curium oxide embedded in a pellet of potassium chloride. This source delivered $\sim 6.3 \times 10^4$ fissions/sec, with stopping of the fission fragments in ~ 1 ps and subsequent emission of almost all the deexcitation γ rays from nuclei at rest [6]. Eurogam II at the time consisted of 52 escape-suppressed spectrometers incorporating 124 Ge detector elements, here augmented by four LEPS spectrometers. A total of 2×10^9 threefold or higher-fold coincidence events were recorded. These data were sorted into two $\gamma \gamma \gamma$ cubic arrays covering γ -ray energy ranges to above 2 MeV, and into a two-dimensional $\gamma\gamma$ matrix with both axes extending above 4 MeV. The excellent quality and high selectivity of the triple coincidence γ -ray data made it possible to identify even weak transitions in the nuclei of interest, despite the heavy γ -ray background arising from the abundance of fission products.

A first inspection showed that these data included known γ -ray cascades in ¹³²Sn and its neighbors, as well as

many strong unidentified cascades, so we embarked on a detailed investigation of yrast excitations in the Z =50-54, N = 80-84 range of nuclei. Cross coincidences observed between γ rays from partner light and heavy fission fragments were often of critical importance in establishing isotopic assignments for previously unknown cascades; in other cases, some overlap with the γ rays known from β -decay studies provided vital first clues. Although the analysis is far from complete, substantial advances have already been made in the spectroscopy of many of the nuclei in the targeted range. In this Letter, we feature the results for the two and three valence proton N = 82 isotones ¹³⁴Te and ¹³⁵I which exhibit simple clearcut excitation modes, thus resembling ²¹⁰Po and ²¹¹At, their well studied N = 126 counterparts in the ²⁰⁸Pb region.

In the two-proton nucleus ¹³⁴Te, most members of the $\pi g_{7/2}^2$, $\pi g_{7/2} d_{5/2}$, and $\pi g_{7/2} h_{11/2}$ multiplets are known from ¹³⁴Sb β^- decay studies. Notably, Omtvedt *et al.* [7] recently elucidated the properties of a ¹³⁴Te ($\pi g_{7/2}h_{11/2}$) 9⁻ yrast level at 4014 keV, which is strongly populated following β decay of 10.4 s ¹³⁴Sb, and which deexcites mainly by an intense 2322 keV E3 transition to the $\pi g_{7/2}^2 6^+$ isomer at 1691 keV. The present fission product measurements identified *two* dominant high-energy γ rays feeding the 1691 keV 6⁺ state in ¹³⁴Te, one the 2322 keV $9^- \rightarrow 6^+$ transition mentioned above, the other a 2866 keV γ ray from a 4557 keV level that was populated weakly (if at all) following the ¹³⁴Sb β^- decay. Gating on this 2866 keV γ ray [Fig. 1(a)] revealed many new ¹³⁴Te γ rays, and the full $\gamma \gamma \gamma$ coincidence results firmly established the level sequence above 4557 keV shown to the left in the ¹³⁴Te scheme (Fig. 2), including an important 1608 keV transition connecting to the 4014 keV 9⁻ level. The data left uncertainty about the ordering of the high-lying 515 and 1040 keV transitions, and the sequence shown in Fig. 2 was chosen to match theoretical expectations. Since the only possible two-proton state with I > 9 is $(\pi h_{11/2}^2) 10^+$, expected in ¹³⁴Te above 7 MeV, the obvious conclusion is that these new states must involve excitation of the 132 Sn core. Later, we interpret them as $\pi g_{7/2}^2 \nu f_{7/2} h_{11/2}^{-1}$ states, with strong support from shell model calculations.

Nothing was known up to now about high-spin states in the N = 82 nucleus ¹³⁵I, but a ¹³⁵Te β^- -decay study [8] has located (11/2⁺) and (9/2⁺) levels at 1134 and 1184 keV, respectively, above the ¹³⁵I $\pi g_{7/2}$ ground state. In the present work, we started a search for other ¹³⁵I transitions by setting a single coincidence gate on 1134 keV γ rays [Fig. 1(b)]. Strong 288, 572, 690, 725, 1661, 1695, and 2247 keV coincident γ rays were identified, and by generating a series of double gated γ -ray spectra including these transitions, they were all confirmed as ¹³⁵I γ rays. Other peaks in Fig. 1(b) were found to arise from fission partner Tc nuclei or from extraneous contaminants. A compilation of many doubly gated γ -ray spectra, such as the one shown in Fig. 1(c), then established the ¹³⁵I level



FIG. 1. (a)–(c) Representative examples of key γ -ray coincidence spectra. Only ¹³⁴Te and ¹³⁵I γ rays are labeled with energies. The 297 keV ¹³⁴Te γ ray appears with diminished intensity in (a) because of the 164 ns 6⁺ isomer in that nucleus.

scheme presented in Fig. 2. Since no information about transition multipolarities was derived from the data, the spin-parity assignments and the interpretation of the ¹³⁵I levels below 4 MeV as $\pi g_{7/2}^3$, $\pi g_{7/2}^2 d_{5/2}$, and $\pi g_{7/2}^2 h_{11/2}$ states are based in part on the results of the shell model calculations described below. It is no surprise that the yrast excitations of ¹³⁵I are found to resemble closely those of the N = 126 three-proton nucleus ²¹¹At, which has low-lying states of $\pi h_{9/2}^3$, $\pi h_{9/2}^2 f_{7/2}$, and $\pi h_{9/2}^2 i_{13/2}$ character.

The energies of $\pi g_{7/2}^3$, $\pi g_{7/2}^2 d_{5/2}$, and $\pi g_{7/2}^2 h_{11/2}$ states in ¹³⁵I were calculated with nucleon-nucleon interactions taken directly from the ¹³⁴Te level spectrum (the few missing matrix elements could be estimated accurately). The results for ¹³⁵I agree satisfactorily with experiment (Table I), although the agreement is not quite as good as similar calculations for ²¹¹At excitations based on twoproton interactions from ²¹⁰Po. However, we have found that in both ¹³⁵I and ²¹¹At, the remaining discrepancies between calculated energies and experiment can be removed by allowing a moderate amount of configuration



FIG. 2. The yrast level spectra established for ¹³⁴Te and ¹³⁵I. Widths of the transition arrows are proportional to the observed γ -ray intensities, except for transitions below the 164 ns isomer in ¹³⁴Te. Configuration assignments in both nuclei are also shown.

mixing. Since a high-lying $(\pi h_{11/2}^3) 27/2^-$ state (close to 7 MeV) is the only expected three-proton excitation with I > 23/2, the sequence of levels above 4241 keV in ¹³⁵I must involve core excitations, and we naturally interpret them as $\pi g_{7/2}^3 \nu f_{7/2} h_{11/2}^{-1}$ states directly related to the core-excited states in ¹³⁴Te above 4.5 MeV. Particle-hole states of $\nu f_{7/2} h_{11/2}^{-1}$ character having $I^{\pi} = 2^+$ to 8^+ are known [1] in ¹³²Sn in the 4–5 MeV energy range; their energies (together with estimates for two missing multiplet members) provided some of the two-body interactions needed for calculating $\pi g_{7/2}^n \nu f_{7/2} h_{11/2}^{-1}$ states. In addition, $\pi g_{7/2} \nu h_{11/2}^{-1}$ and $\pi g_{7/2} \nu f_{7/2}$ interactions were

TABLE I. A comparison of ¹³⁵I yrast level energies below 4 MeV with those calculated for the specified three-proton states using empirical proton-proton interactions taken from ¹³⁴Te. Calculated energies are normalized to match the experimental value of 1422 keV for the aligned $(\pi g_{7/2}^3)$ 15/2⁺ state. As noted in text, our interpretation of the experimental levels is largely based on the energy comparison shown here.

Config.		E (keV)	
	I^{π}	Expt.	Calc.
	$7/2^{+}$	0	-26
$\pi g_{7/2}^3$	$9/2^{+}$	1184	1149
	$11/2^{+}$	1134	1089
	$15/2^{+}$	1422	1422
$\pi g_{7/2}^2 d_{5/2}$	$17/2^{+}$	1994	2089
	$19/2^{-}$	3655	3704
$\pi g_{7/2}^2 h_{11/2}$	$21/2^{-}$	3766	3753
	23/2-	3689	3687

also needed, but since ¹³²Sb and ¹³⁴Sb excitations are still poorly known, these matrix elements had to be estimated from the $\pi h_{9/2} \nu i_{13/2}^{-1}$ and $\pi h_{9/2} \nu g_{9/2}$ multiplets in ²⁰⁸Bi and ²¹⁰Bi, respectively, with scaling as $A^{-1/3}$ to take account of nuclear size variation [2]. Calculations of $\pi g_{7/2}^n \nu f_{7/2} h_{11/2}^{-1}$ energies were performed using the OXBASH shell model code, with no adjustment of input parameters to fit the data. The results are displayed in Fig. 3 with the calculated energies normalized to 6010 keV for the ¹³⁴Te (13⁺) level, and to 5576 keV for the ¹³⁵I (27/2⁺) level. The excellent overall agreement with experiment in both cases provides persuasive support for the proposed interpretations. It is apparent that, while lower spin $\pi g_{7/2}^n \nu f_{7/2} h_{11/2}^{-1}$ levels are available in the two N = 82 nuclei, they receive negligible population because the yrast (8⁺) and (19/2⁺) states both deexcite preferentially by favorable >2 MeV transitions.

The shell model calculations described above yielded relative excitation energies only, because the appropriate ground state nuclear masses were not included in the supplied input, for reasons that will become obvious. Mezilev *et al.* [4] recently revised the Audi-Wapstra 1993 masses [9] for nuclei around ¹³²Sn by precision β -decay end point determinations; updated mass excesses for the N = 82 isotones ¹³²Sn, ¹³³Sb, ¹³⁴Te, and ¹³⁵I are -76.620(29), -78.984(32), -82.399(34), and -83.787(23) MeV [4,9]. The present results enabled us to check the consistency of these N = 82 mass values by shell model reduction techniques [10]. The aligned $\pi g_{7/2}^3 15/2^+$ state in ¹³⁵I may be decomposed into simpler configurations with fewer valence particles, which correspond to known levels in ¹³⁴Te



FIG. 3. A comparison of observed level energies in ¹³⁴Te and ¹³⁵I with those calculated for $\pi g_{7/2}^n \nu f_{7/2} h_{11/2}^{-1}$ yrast states using empirical nucleon-nucleon interactions. The calculated energies are normalized to match the experimental (13⁺) 6010 keV level in ¹³⁴Te and (27/2⁺) 5576 keV level in ¹³⁵I. In both nuclei, the experimental levels are connected to guide the eye.

 $(4^+, 6^+)$, ¹³³Sb $(7/2^+)$, and ¹³²Sn (0^+) . As previously shown for similar decompositions [10,11], a mass "window" *W*, comprising a specific combination of N = 82 ground state masses, can thus be related to experimental energies by the equation,

$$W = M(^{132}\text{Sn}) - 3M(^{133}\text{Sb}) + 3M(^{134}\text{Te}) - M(^{135}\text{I})$$

= $E(15/2^+) - 3(\text{c.f.p.})^2 E(4^+, 6^+)$.

Here, the excitation energy $E(15/2^+)$ in ¹³⁵I is 1422 keV, and $E(4^+, 6^+)$ are energies of $\pi g_{7/2}^2$ states in ¹³⁴Te, weighted by appropriate coefficients of fractional parentage (c.f.p.). The result from spectroscopy is W =-3570 keV. This differs by almost 500 keV from the value W = -3080(150) keV obtained directly from the N = 82 masses given above. (In contrast, for the analogous mass window in the N = 126 isotones, the W value from decomposition of the ²¹¹At $\pi h_{9/2}^3 21/2^-$ state agrees within 5 keV with the one computed from Audi-Wapstra masses.) We are forced to the conclusion that one or more of the accepted N = 82 masses is inaccurate by considerably more than the estimated errors. The ¹³⁴Te and/or ¹³³Sb masses appear the most likely suspects since they are weighted heavily in the *W* expression: possibly the β -decay schemes adopted for these nuclei may not be entirely correct.

In summary, neutron-rich fission product nuclei around doubly magic ¹³²Sn have now become accessible for detailed study by prompt γ -ray measurements using multidetector arrays. Yrast excitations to above 5.5 MeV excitation energy in the two- and three-proton nuclei ¹³⁴Te and ¹³⁵I have been established and interpreted with the help of precise shell model calculations using empirical nucleon-nucleon interactions. These results open possibilities for exploring simple excitation modes in the ¹³²Sn region under conditions that are comparable with but not identical to those in the well-studied ²⁰⁸Pb region.

We thank Gene Henry for sending us 134 Sb β -decay data from his archives. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts No. DE-FG02-87ER40346 and No. W-31-109-ENG-38, by the Science and Engineering Council of the U.K. under Grant No. GRH 71161, and by Polish Scientific Committee Grant No. 1044-PO3-96-10. The authors are indebted for the use of 248 Cm to the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, U.S. Department of Energy through the transplutonium element production facilities at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

- *On leave from Institute of Nuclear Physics, PL-31342, Cracow, Poland.
- [†]On leave from JAERI, Tokai, Ibaraki, 319-11 Japan.
- [1] B. Fogelberg et al., Phys. Scr. **T56**, 79 (1995).
- [2] J. Blomqvist, in Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Nuclei Far From Stability, Helsingor, 1981 (CERN, Geneva, 1981), p. 536.
- [3] G.A. Leander et al., Phys. Rev. C 30, 416 (1984).
- [4] K.A. Mezilev et al., Phys. Scr. T56, 272 (1995).
- [5] K.I. Erokhina and V.I. Isakov, Yad. Fiz. 59, 621 (1996)[Phys. At. Nucl. 59, 589 (1996)].
- [6] A.G. Smith et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 2540 (1994).
- [7] J. P. Omtvedt et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 75, 3090 (1995).
- [8] M. Samri et al., Z. Phys. A 321, 255 (1985).
- [9] G. Audi and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. A565, 1 (1993).
- [10] For example, J. Blomqvist, P. Kleinheinz, and P. J. Daly, Z. Phys. A **312**, 27 (1983).
- [11] R. H. Mayer et al., Phys. Lett. B 336, 308 (1994).