

**Wei *et al.* Reply:** In the preceding comment [1] on our work about the quantum phase of an induced dipole in a magnetic field [2], Hagen applied the cononical formalism to the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}M\mathbf{v}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B})^2, \quad (1)$$

to get the relevant Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}(M + \alpha B^2)^{-1}(\mathbf{p} + \alpha\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B})^2 - \frac{1}{2}\alpha\mathbf{E}^2. \quad (2)$$

He then pointed out the fact that the radial wave function

$$\left[ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} r \frac{2(M + \alpha B^2)\mathbf{E}}{\hbar^2} - \frac{m^2 + 2m\alpha k B/\hbar - M\alpha k^2/\hbar^2}{r^2} \right] f_m(r) = 0 \quad (3)$$

does not allow quantum mechanically well-defined solutions when the numerator of the  $1/r^2$  term is negative. In the case of  $m = 0$  and nonvanishing electric field the numerator is indeed negative.

Based on such a fact, Hagen claimed that our proposed experiment cannot be carried out regardless of the strength of the external electric and magnetic field.

In our opinion, Hagen's argument is purely theoretical, some important practical aspects are ignored. The difficulty of a negative  $1/r^2$  potential comes from the assumption of an infinitely thin charged wire. Actually, the wire has a finite radius  $r_0$ , atoms never get into the region of  $r < r_0$ . Further, we will show that atoms can never get too close to the wire to cause a collision. In a practical atomic beam interferometer, atoms in the two beams have a large velocity of  $10^2$  to  $10^3$  m s<sup>-1</sup>, the quantum number  $m$  in Eq. (3) should be very large.

From a very simple consideration of classical mechanics, the attractive force on the atom by the charged wire is

$$|\mathbf{F}_{\text{attr}}| = |\nabla(\frac{1}{2}\alpha\mathbf{E}^2)| \approx \alpha E^2/r. \quad (4)$$

The centrifugal force of an atom circling the wire with the kinetic energy  $Mv^2$  should be

$$|\mathbf{F}_{\text{cent}}| = Mv^2/r. \quad (5)$$

With these parameters in Ref. [2],  $\alpha \approx 10^{-39}$  F m<sup>2</sup>,  $r_0 \approx 1$  mm,  $k \approx 10^4$  V,  $E \approx 10^7$  V/m, we have  $\alpha\mathbf{E}^2 \approx (10^{-39} \text{ F m}^2) \times (10^{14} \text{ V}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}) = 10^{-25}$  J, while  $Mv^2$  is typically the thermal kinetic energy of the atoms from the oven with a temperature  $T > 300$  K,  $Mv^2 \approx k_B T > 10^{-21}$  J [3–5]. Therefore,

$$|\mathbf{F}_{\text{cent}}| \gg |\mathbf{F}_{\text{attr}}|.$$

The collision between the atoms and the wire is well avoided.

Haiqing Wei,<sup>1</sup> Xiuqing Wei,<sup>2</sup> and Rushan Han<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, McGill University  
Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2T8

<sup>2</sup>Clinical Department

The Sun Yat-Sen University of Medical Sciences  
Guangzhou 510089, People's Republic of China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, Peking University  
Beijing 100871, People's Republic of China

Received 22 January 1996

[S0031-9007(96)00868-X]

PACS numbers: 03.65.Bz, 03.75.Be, 67.40.-w,

- [1] C. R. Hagen, preceding Comment, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1656 (1996).
- [2] H. Wei, R. Han, and X. Wei, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 2071 (1995).
- [3] T. Pfau *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3427 (1993).
- [4] T. Pfau, C. S. Adams, and J. Mlynek, Europhys. Lett. **21**, 439 (1993).
- [5] For an overview on atom interferometry, see the special issue on *Optics and Interferometry with Atoms*, Appl. Phys. B **54** (1992).