Dopant and Temperature Induced Structural Phase Transitions in $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$

D. Haskel,[1] E. A. Stern,[1] D. G. Hinks,[2] A. W. Mitchell,[2] J. D. Jorgensen,[2] J. I. Budnick[3]
[1]*Physics Department, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195*
[2]*Materials Science Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439*
[3]*University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269*
(Received 1 September 1995)

The *local* structure about La atoms in oriented $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ samples is investigated by means of polarized x-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) measurements. Diffraction results indicate that the dopant and temperature induced structural transformations involve decreases, on the *average*, of the tilt angle of the CuO_6 octahedra to zero. The XAFS results show that *locally* the tilts do not disappear, the x induced phase transition has displacive and disorder components, and the T induced phase transition is purely of disorder character. It is also shown that the CuO_6 octahedra tilt locally only in the $\langle 100 \rangle$ direction.

PACS numbers: 61.10.Ht, 64.60.–i, 74.72.–h

Knowledge of the structure of high T_c superconductors is basic to a fundamental understanding of their pairing mechanism. Recently, diffraction and magnetic measurements of the structural and superconducting properties in high quality, single phase $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ crystals have been performed [1,2]. Diffraction, which measures the *average* structure, indicates that the dopant and temperature induced structural phase transitions from orthorhombic (*Cmca*, 64), LTO, to tetragonal ($I4/mmm$, 139), HTT, are mainly characterized by the tilt angle of the CuO_6 octahedra decreasing as the dopant concentration or the temperature is increased (Fig. 1). This results in nontilted octahedra and flat Cu-O planes in the HTT phase. Magnetic measurements confirmed that bulk superconductivity persists in the HTT phase, contrary to previous results [3] linking the structural phase boundary to the disappearance of superconductivity.

Since the superconducting coherence length in these high T_c cuprates is rather short ($\zeta \leq 30$ Å) [4], the *local* structure in these materials is of primary importance in determining the superconducting properties. Previous measurements of the pair distribution function (PDF) obtained from neutron diffraction data on this system [5,6] suggested differences in the tetragonal phase between the average and the local structures. Theories aiming at elucidating the mechanism of high T_c, which rely on the local structure differing from the average one, have been proposed (e.g., see [7]). It is important to give the most definitive answer possible by experiment and we endeavor to do so here by presenting results from x-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) measurements on oriented crystals, the premier technique for local structure determination. XAFS has the advantage of giving information on *partial* pair correlations involving the absorbing atom, whereas the PDF obtained from neutron diffraction involves pair correlations among *all* pairs, making its interpretation more difficult.

X-ray absorption techniques were previously used to study the $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ system [8–10], but no work addressed the structural phase transition as a function of Sr content. Boyce *et al.* [9] measured the Cu K-edge XAFS of an $x = 0.2$ sample from 4 to 300 K and found no change in the oxygen environment around the Cu atoms in this range of temperatures. However, as they pointed out, the distortions induced by the tilting of the distorted CuO_6 octahedra, if present locally, would not be observed in their analysis since the tilts are about the Cu site whose nearest neighbors distances remain almost unaltered.

On the other hand, the La/Sr local environment is the most sensitive to the distortions induced by the structural phase transition, with changes in the planar La-O(2) distances as big as 0.5 Å [1]. Hence, the La/Sr site is the



FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the LTO structure. In the *Cmca* representation, the vertical axis is the b axis and the a axis is perpendicular to the plane of the paper.

most suitable to investigate the structural phase transition. The La nearest neighbor environment in the LTO phase is composed of nine oxygens at six different distances [1], which, e.g., for the $x = 0.075$ sample at $T = 20$ K are in the range $[2.3, 3.0]$ Å. Oriented samples are essential for the number of parameters in the fit not to exceed the number of independent points in the XAFS data [11], as explained below. Because of the layered structure of these cuprates, by having the polarization vector of the x rays along the long axis of the unit cell ($\hat{b}$ in the *Cmca* scheme), the contribution to the XAFS of the nearly in-plane La-O(2) bonds is negligible [12] and then only three oxygen distances contribute to the XAFS [La-O(2) apical and two La-O(1) distances to the O(1) oxygens in the Cu-O planes; see Fig. 1]. One can then find the remaining in-plane La-O(2) distances by analyzing the XAFS for the in-plane polarization and setting the La-O(1) distances to the values found in the fitting for the polarization along the $\hat{b}$ axis.

Samples used in this study are from the same batch used in the neutron diffraction study of Radaelli *et al.* [1] and a detailed description of the sample preparation, superconducting properties, and their characterization as single phase can be found there. By using the same batch, discrepancies due to sample preparation are avoided when comparing local structure results to those obtained for the average structure. The sintered pellets were ground in a pestle and the resulting powder sieved to a typical 20 μm grain size. The samples were oriented by a method described previously [8] and the high degree of orientation was confirmed by x-ray diffraction to be better than 95%. La K-edge measurements were performed in transmission at beam line X-11A of the National Synchrotron Light Source, using a Si(311) double-crystal monochromator. The polarized XAFS spectra were measured by rotating the samples relative to the polarization vector of the synchrotron radiation.

The experimental XAFS, $\chi(k)$, is analyzed by use of the UWXAFS analysis package [13]. The FEFF6 theoretical calculation of the XAFS, which includes polarization effects [14], was performed for the average structure and adjustable structural parameters were added to the theory to account for possible deviations of the local structure from the average. The fitting of the theory to the data is done in r space, and the uncertainties determined from a reduced χ^2 using standard techniques of error analysis [15].

In our fittings, coordination numbers were set to the values dictated by the average structure and full oxygen occupancy was assumed, since even for the $x = 0.36$ sample the oxygen's vacancy concentration was found to be less than 1% [1]. Our preliminary analysis of Sr K-edge polarized XAFS in the same samples indicates that Sr substitutes for La. Thus, scattering paths involving La or Sr backscatterers were weighted with $2 - x$ or x, respectively. Nearly collinear multiple scattering paths contribute significantly to the XAFS above $r \approx 4.7$ Å and were included in the fits.

Figure 2 shows fit results to the $x = 0.075$ sample at $T = 20$ K for both polarizations. Typically, the noise in the data is about 5 times smaller than the difference between the fit and the data averaged over our fitting range. Figure 3 summarizes the results obtained for the La-O and for the in-plane La-La distances for the different samples at $T = 20$ K. Also shown are the values from the average structure [1]. As can be seen in Fig. 3, whereas the La-O and in-plane La-La distances merge into a single distance in the average tetragonal phase, XAFS shows that, locally, they remain split. The La-O and La-La distances agree within experimental uncertainties with their average values up to $x = 0.15$, consistent with a gradual reduction of the tilt angle of the CuO_6 octahedra as indicated by the diffraction studies [1,16]. However, as the phase boundary is approached ($x \approx 0.21$ at low temperature [1]), the magnitude of the tilt of the CuO_6 octahedra stops decreasing locally, as seen from the $x = 0.2$ and 0.36 samples. This shows that the local structure about the La retains orthorhombicity in the average tetragonal phase, as previously suggested by Egami *et al.* [5], and implies that the tilt angle of the CuO_6 octahedra does not vanish at the LTO → HTT phase boundary.

We also investigated the temperature induced LTO → HTT structural phase transition in the $x = 0.15$ sample (transition temperature $T \approx 200$ K), by analyzing La K-edge polarized XAFS data at 20, 40, 145, 210 and 285 K. The only changes observed in the La-O distances



FIG. 2. Fits to the $x = 0.075$ sample at $T = 20$ K for x rays polarized (a) along the long axis of the unit cell and (b) perpendicular to the long axis. The magnitude of the complex Fourier transform of $k^2\chi(k)$ is shown.



FIG. 3. (a) La-O(2), (b) La-O(1), and (c) in-plane La-La distances as a function of x as obtained from the XAFS analysis at $T = 20$ K. The neutron diffraction results 1 are also shown. Dashed lines are guides to the eye.

are increases in thermal disorder about their equilibrium values at $T = 20$ K. For the La-La distances a non-negligible thermal expansion is also observed. However, no evidence of the structural phase transition, as found by the diffraction studies, is detected in the local structure in this range of temperatures. From these results it can be inferred that the tilt angle of the CuO_6 octahedra does not change in the whole range of temperature studied here.

In order to regain the average tetragonal phase over the long range scale measured by diffraction techniques, the structure must be composed of local domains with orthorhombic symmetry in which the CuO_6 octahedra tilts are ordered, but the domains become disordered with one another as the tetragonal phase is approached. In a recent letter Billinge, Kwei, Takagi [17] reported results of PDF analysis of neutron diffraction data on the $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ system. They found that, as opposed to the predictions of the crystal structure models, the CuO_6 octahedra do not change their tilt direction at the LTT $\rightarrow$ LTO phase transition and that the long range structure can be obtained from coherent spatial superpositions of $\approx$10 Å sized local LTT variants. The size of the local variants was obtained in that case from the information on intermediate range order in the PDF. The XAFS signal in r space is strongly damped by the short photoelectron mean free path, which usually limits the information that can be extracted from an XAFS signal to short range order only (in the present case $r < 6$ Å) and then the size of the domains (or in other words the correlation length over which the CuO_6 octahedra tilts are ordered) cannot be obtained from the XAFS measurement.

One can speculate on the size of the domains by looking at the superconducting properties in the $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ system as the dopant content is increased. At low temperature, the LTO $\rightarrow$ HTT phase boundary is located at $x \approx 0.21$. However, there is no apparent effect of the structural phase boundary on T_c, including dT_c/dx [1], suggesting that the correlation length of tilts at low temperature and for $x \approx 0.21$ is bigger than the superconducting coherence length, of 30 Å for the $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ system [4].

It is also of interest to note that the local tilts stop changing at the x value where T_c is a maximum. This may indicate that, in addition to the carrier concentration, the tilt angle of the CuO_6 octahedra is of importance in determining T_c, as found for Nd-doped $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ [18].

Another point that needs to be addressed concerns the tilt *direction* of the CuO_6 octahedra. It has been proposed [5] that the tilts in the $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ system might be about $\langle 101 \rangle$ direction and disordered to give the average structure, instead of about $\langle 100 \rangle$ (*Cmca* notation) as originally proposed by Grande, Muller-Buscbaum, and Schweizer [19] and confirmed later in several diffraction studies.

Two main differences exist between these two tilt patterns: For the $\langle 101 \rangle$ tilts two of the O(1) oxygens in the basal plane of the CuO_6 octahedra remain in the plane, whereas the other two move above and below the plane splitting the La-O(1) distances in three different distances instead of the two occurring in the $\langle 100 \rangle$ tilts (see Fig. 1). For the magnitude of tilt angles at hand, however, this splitting is of about 0.04 Å (see Table I), which in our fittings cannot be distinguished from an increase in thermal disorder of about 0.0004 Å in the La-O(1) distances. The more significant difference, however, appears in the in-plane La-O(2) distances. For the $x = 0.075$ sample, e.g., by tilting about $\langle 101 \rangle$, the in-plane La-O(2) distances will be grouped in *two* sets of distances (with differences within each group not bigger than 0.04 Å, due to the orthorhombic splitting) while tilts about $\langle 100 \rangle$ result in *three* different sets of distances, as shown in Table I. After setting the distances and mean square displacement σ^2 for the La-O(1)

bonds to the values found in the $\hat{b}$ polarization, we fit the $\hat{a}\hat{c}$-polarized data with both tilt configurations. When the La-O(2) distances are *set* to the values consistent with the $\langle 101 \rangle$ tilts, the quality of fit is 100% worse than the one obtained by setting the La-O(2) distances to the $\langle 100 \rangle$ tilt configuration. In addition, a $\sigma^2 = 0.06(4)$ Å^2 is obtained for the La-O(2) distances for the $\langle 101 \rangle$ tilts. This σ^2 is at least 4 times bigger than the value obtained in the diffraction studies [1] [in the extreme case of completely anticorrelated motion of La and O(2) atoms], confirming that the wrong model is being used in the fitting. We then conclude that our data are not consistent with a $\langle 101 \rangle$ tilt configuration of the CuO_6 octahedra and that only the $\langle 100 \rangle$ tilts are present locally, in agreement with the results of the diffraction studies of the LTO phase [1,16,19].

The absence of $\langle 101 \rangle$ tilts is consistent with local density functional calculations [20] which indicate that $\langle 101 \rangle$ tilts, if present, would lower the electronic density of states at the Fermi level (for $x \approx 0.12$) reducing T_c dramatically, an effect which is observed in the closely related Ba-doped system (which does exhibit such tilts) but not in the $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ system.

In summary, La K-edge polarized XAFS of $La_{2-x}Sr_xCuO_4$ indicates that the Sr dopant and temperature induced LTO $\rightarrow$ HTT phase transitions are of different nature. The Sr induced transition exhibits a partial displacive character, at least up to $x = 0.15$, which is manifested through a decrease in the tilt angle of the CuO_6 octahedra. At higher Sr contents, the local tilts persist but start disordering over long range giving rise to the average HTT structure. The T induced phase transition does not show displacive character and is due only to disorder; the tilt angle remains the same in the whole temperature range studied here, including the HTT phase. In both cases the local structure of the HTT phase is characterized by the CuO_6 octahedra being tilted and the Cu-O planes being not flat. The absence of an effect of the Sr induced structural phase boundary at low T on the superconducting properties is probably related to the absence of significant changes in the local structure over scales comparable to the superconducting coherence length in this material, suggesting that the correlation length of LTO tilts in the superconducting HTT phase is somewhat greater than 30 Å. The tilt direction of the CuO_6 octahedra was found to be only in the $\langle 100 \rangle$ direction, in agreement with the diffraction studies.

TABLE I. Nearest neighbor La-O distances (degeneracies shown in parenthesis) for $\langle 100 \rangle$ and $\langle 101 \rangle$ tilt configurations for the $x = 0.075$ sample at 20 K.

Bond	$\langle 100 \rangle$	$\langle 101 \rangle$
La-O(1) (Å)	2.592 (2x)	2.542
	2.675 (2x)	2.632 (2x)
		2.725
La-O(2) (Å)	2.348	2.353
	2.541	2.550
	2.731 (2x)	2.574
	2.968	2.910
		2.950

We acknowledge the valuable help of F. Perez and M. Suenaga in orienting the samples and thank S. Heald, F. Dogan, and M. Balasubramanian for stimulating discussions. The work at the University of Washington was done under the auspices of DOE Grant No. DE FG06-90ER45425. The work at Argonne National Laboratory was supported by DOE Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38 and NSF Grant No. DMR 91-20000.

[1] P. G. Radaelli *et al.,* Phys. Rev. B **49**, 4163 (1994).
[2] T. Nagano *et al.,* Phys. Rev. B **48**, 9689 (1993).
[3] H. Takagi *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3777 (1992).
[4] M. Suzuki and M. Hikita, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **28**, 1368 (1989); S. Mitra *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **40**, 2674 (1989).
[5] T. Egami *et al.,*, Rev. Solid State Sci. **1**, 247 (1987).
[6] T. R. Sendyka *et al.,*, in *Lattice Effects in High-T_c Superconductors,* edited by Y. B. Yam, T. Egami, J. M. de Leon, and A. R. Bishop (World Scientific, Santa Fe, New Mexico, 1992), pp. 111–117.
[7] R. S. Markiewicz, Physica (Amsterdam) **207C**, 281 (1993).
[8] S. M. Heald, J. M. Tranquada, A. R. Moodenbaugh, and Y. Xu, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 761 (1988).
[9] J. B. Boyce *et al.* Phys. Rev. B **35**, 7203 (1987).
[10] J. M. Tranquada, S. M. Heald, A. R. Moodenbaugh, and M. Suenaga, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 7187 (1987); Z. Tan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 2715 (1990); M. J. Akhtar, Z. N. Akhtar, and C. R. Catlow, Physica (Amsterdam) **209C**, 373 (1993).
[11] E. A. Stern, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 9825 (1993).
[12] E. A. Stern and S. M. Heald, in *Handbook of Synchrotron Radiation,* edited by E. E. Koch (North-Holland, New York, 1983), Chap. 10, pp. 995–1014.
[13] E. A. Stern *et al.,* Physica (Amsterdam) **208B&209B**, 117 (1995). For more information on UWX-AFS programs, please contact E. Stern (e-mail: Stern@phys.washington.edu).
[14] S. I. Zabinsky *et al.,* Phys. Rev. B **52**, 2995 (1995).
[15] M. Newville *et al.,* Physica (Amsterdam) **208B&209B**, 154 (1995).
[16] M. Braden *et al.*, Physica (Amsterdam) **223C**, 396 (1994).
[17] S. Billinge, G. H. Kwei, and H. Takagi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 2282 (1994).
[18] B. Buchner *et al.,* Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1841 (1994).
[19] V. B. Grande, H. Muller-Buscbaum, and M. Schweizer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. **428**, 120 (1977).
[20] R. Cohen, W. E. Pickett, and H. Krakauer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 831 (1989).