## Comment on "Limits on Dark Matter Using Ancient Mica"

The search for WIMP-recoil tracks in mica using atomic force microscopy (AFM) [1] promises important improvements on current exclusion limits. For this to be fulfilled, background sources that could mimic the WIMPrecoil signature must be rejected. In the case of naturally occurring  $\alpha$ -recoil tracks, the authors rely on the longer expected depth of their etch pits, since they result from the sum of 8 (6) successive and spatially connected recoils in the <sup>238</sup>U (<sup>232</sup>Th) chains. An isolated  $\alpha$  recoil would be essentially indistinguishable from a typical WIMP recoil: For instance, the  $\sim$ 70 keV <sup>234</sup> Th  $\alpha$  recoil has a range  $P \sim 290$  Å in mica while a potassium recoil induced by a 100 (500)  $\text{GeV}/c^2$  WIMP has a kinetic energy  $\sim 30$  (42) keV,  $P \sim 270$  (365) Å. The larger total stopping power  $S_t$  for the <sup>234</sup> Th  $\alpha$  recoil ( $S_t \sim 13.6$ vs  $\sim 3.8 \text{ GeV cm}^2/\text{g}$ ) guarantees a comparatively higher pit-revealing efficiency in the etching process and a larger resistance to thermal annealing. The probability that all the consecutive  $\alpha$ -recoil damage tracks retrace each other's trajectories imitating the WIMP signature is tiny. This has prompted the authors to claim that their method is at present not background limited.

I would like to suggest a possible weakness in this reasoning. The <sup>238</sup>U chain proceeds as <sup>238</sup>U  $\xrightarrow{\alpha}$  <sup>234</sup>Th  $\xrightarrow{\beta^-}$  $^{234}$ Pa  $\xrightarrow{\beta^-} ^{234}$ U  $\xrightarrow{\alpha} ^{230}$ Th ... with  $\alpha$ -emission half-lives  $T_{1/2}^{238} = 4.4 \times 10^9$  yr,  $T_{1/2}^{234} = 2.4 \times 10^5$  yr (the very fast  $\beta^-$  decays produce no observable recoil tracks). The long  $T_{1/2}^{234}$  results in some <sup>234</sup>Th recoils not being accompanied by a second one, thereby mimicking a WIMP recoil. These single  $\alpha$  recoils are not negligible in this search: For a mica age  $A \leq 10^9$  yr, one can approximate that the <sup>238</sup>U decay is taking place at a constant rate  $R_{238} \sim N\lambda_{238}$ , where  $\lambda_{238} = (\ln 2)/T_{1/2}^{238}$  and N is the number of  $^{238}$ U atoms in the sample. The number of  $^{234}$ U atoms (i.e., atoms in the sample. The humber of C atoms (i.e., the number of single  $\alpha$  recoils) in equilibrium ( $A \gg T_{1/2}^{234}$ ) is  $n \approx R_{238}/\lambda_{234} = N(T_{1/2}^{234}/T_{1/2}^{238}) = 5.4 \times 10^{-5}N$ . The authors estimate the concentration of U and Th to be  $a < 10^{-10}$  atom fraction,  $10^{-11}$  in the purest mica. This is  $N > 10^{-11} \times 9 \times 10^{22} \, ^{238}$ U atoms/cm<sup>3</sup>, or a lower limit of  $n > 5.4 \times 10^{-5} N = 4.9 \times 10^{7234} U$  atoms/cm<sup>3</sup>, n also being the density of latent "fake" WIMP tracks. The surface density of cleavage-crossing pits revealed after an etching time t of a cleaved surface is approximately given by [2]  $\rho = \frac{1}{2}nP\cos^2\theta_c$ , where  $\theta_c$  is the critical etching angle  $(\sin\theta_c = V_{\perp}/V_t)$ . For etching in 25° HF,  $V_t \approx 0.012S_n \ \mu m/h$  with the nuclear stopping power  $S_n$ in GeV cm<sup>2</sup>/g (here  $S_n = 12.4$ ) and  $V_{\perp} \approx 0.027 \ \mu \text{m/h}$ [3]. These velocities are conservative in view of the authors' updated values [1]. For t = 1 h, the surface density of cleavage-crossing shallow "fake" WIMP pits is

then  $\rho \gtrsim 70/\text{cm}^2$  for the purest mica. The small  $\theta_c$  for this  $\alpha$  recoil allows a large fraction of trajectories slant to the cleaved surface to be etched, forming pits in the  $\leq 100$  Å depth region ( $\geq 4\%$  falling in the summed etched depth 40–64 Å).

In view of this, the AFM mica search (having covered  $1/1240 \text{ cm}^2$ ) might soon run into this competitive background, not to be taken for a WIMP signal. If this is so, AFM mica searches will be at best just competitive with current underground experiments. A direct comparison of *n* with the volume density of stored WIMP tracks leads to the same conclusion. A technologically less involved option is to search for the large depth ( $\geq$ 500 Å) tail of the WIMP pit distribution [4], but this is subordinate to the importance of the  $\alpha$ -recoil distribution in that region.

This caveat is unfortunate, since the AFM mica technique could have been exploited for more than WIMP searches. Calculations similar to those in [4] show that recoils from stellar collapse neutrinos and <sup>8</sup>B solar neutrinos induce etchable tracks with  $\rho \geq 0.03/\text{cm}^2$  in the  $\leq 100$  Å depth region, at fluxes predicted by standard models of the galaxy and Sun. Competitive experimental limits on the stellar collapse rate in our Galaxy could have been obtained with O(1) cm<sup>2</sup> areas. Similarly, the large historical variations in the temperature of the solar core and hence in the <sup>8</sup>B neutrino flux that some solar models predict could have been ruled out.

However, there are other areas where AFM mica searches can yield new limits. It has been suggested that extremely dense "clumps" of cold dark matter might abound in our galaxy [5]. In some cases, the rapid crossing of these clumps through the solar system occurs every few tens of My, with possible effects on the biosphere [6]. Mica limits on this interesting possibility may soon be available.

Juan I. Collar

Department of Physics and Astronomy University of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina 29208

Received 20 June 1995

- PACS numbers: 95.35.+d, 14.80.Ly, 29.40.Ym, 61.72.Ff
- D.P. Snowden-Ifft, E.S. Freeman, and P.B. Price, Phys Rev. Lett. 74, 4133 (1995).
- [2] S.A. Durrani and R.K. Bull, *Solid State Nuclear Track Detection*, (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1987), p. 74.
- [3] P. B. Price and M. H. Salamon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1226 (1986).
- [4] J. I. Collar and F. T. Avignone III, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. B 95, 349 (1995).
- [5] J. Silk and A. Stebbins, Astrophys. J. 411, 439 (1993).
- [6] J. I. Collar, Phys. Lett. B (to be published).