Gaussian Fluctuation in Random Matrices

Ovidiu Costin and Joel L. Lebowitz

Department of Mathematics and Physics, Rutgers University,, New Brunswick, New Jersey 08903
(Received 18 November 1994)

Let $N(L)$ be the number of eigenvalues, in an interval of length L, of a matrix chosen at random from the Gaussian orthogonal, unitary, or symplectic ensembles of $\mathcal{N}$ by $\mathcal{N}$ matrices, in the limit $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$. We prove that $[N(L) - \langle N(L) \rangle]/\sqrt{\ln L}$ has a Gaussian distribution when $L \to \infty$. This theorem, which requires control of all the higher moments of the distribution, elucidates numerical and exact results on chaotic quantum systems and on the statistics of zeros of the Riemann zeta function.

PACS numbers: 05.45.+b, 03.65.-w

Ensembles of $\mathcal{N}$-dimensional Gaussian random matrices (GRM's) with invariances under the orthogonal, unitary, or symplectic groups correspond to the GOE, GUE, and GSE were introduced by Wigner and developed by Porter, Dyson, Mehta, and others [1,2]. Wigner's inspired surmise that the statistics of eigenvalues of these GRM can be used to model the statistical properties of the observed spectra of complex nuclei turned out to be exactly right. There is indeed good agreement between the observers high energy level spacings, pair correlations, and variance or Δ statistics and those calculated analytically from the GRM's in the limit $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$. Moreover, the GRM's have been found to be the very robust "renormalization group fixed points" of a large class of RM's [3] which play an important role in many areas of physics and mathematics [1–7].

In the present work we focus on the large L (long wavelength) behavior of the random variable $N(L)$ giving the number of eigenvalues of a GRM, chosen from any of the Gaussian ensembles, in an interval $(y, y + L)$: We always consider the $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$ limit when the distribution is translation invariant and use units in which the mean spacing is unity. It is well known that the variance of $N(L)$ grows like $\ln L$ as $L \to \infty$. We prove that all the moments of $\xi(L) \equiv [N(L) - L]/\sqrt{\ln L}$ approach for large L of a Gaussian distribution which implies (weak) convergence of $\xi(L)$ to a Gaussian random variable. We shall discuss later the connection of our result with the statistics of energy levels of quantum systems with generic chaotic classical Hamiltonians and of the zeros of the Riemann zeta function [4,5,7].

It is a remarkable fact that the distribution of energy levels of the G(O,U,S)E are given by the Gibbs canonical distribution of the positions of charged point particles on the line interacting via the (two dimensional) repulsive logarithmic Coulomb potential $v(r) = -\ln r$ at reciprocal temperatures $\beta = 1, 2, 4$, respectively [1,2]. The particles with positions x_i, $i = 1, \ldots, \mathcal{N}$, on the real line are confined by a harmonic potential. The total potential energy of the system is

$$V_G(x_1, \ldots, x_{\mathcal{N}}) = \frac{\pi^2}{4\mathcal{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{\mathcal{N}} x_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j}^{\mathcal{N}} \ln|x_i - x_j|. \quad (1)$$

In the corresponding circular ensembles of Dyson the x_i lie on a circle of length $\mathcal{N}$, and the energy is given by the second term on the right-hand (r.h.s.) of (1) with distance measured in the plane. The canonical Gibbs measures corresponding to Gaussian and circular ensembles become equivalent in the thermodynamic limit $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$, yielding the same k point, $k = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, correlation functions for all $\beta > 0$ [1,2,8].

These infinite volume correlation functions are known explicitly for the "solvable" cases $\beta = 1, 2, 4$ corresponding to the GRM. Defining as usual $n_j(x_1, \ldots, x_j)$ as the joint density for j-tuples, the corresponding Ursell functions $U_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$ [9] are given by

$$U_1(x_1) \equiv n_1(x_1) \equiv 1, \quad (2)$$

$$U_2(x_1, x_2) \equiv n_2(x_1, x_2) - n_1(x_1)n_1(x_2)$$
$$= n_2(x_1 - x_2) - 1,$$

$$U_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \equiv \sum_G (-1)^{m-1}(m - 1)! \prod_{j=1}^{m} n_{G_j}(\{x_{G_j}\}),$$

where G is a partition of the indices $(1, 2, \ldots, k)$ into m subgroups $G_1, \ldots, G_m$ and $\{x_{G_j}\}$ are the x_i with indices in G_j. [In the GRM literature, $Y_k = (-1)^{k-1}U_k$ is usually called the kth cluster function.] The integrals $\bar{U}_k$ of U_k over a k-dimensional cube having sides of length L are directly related to the cumulants $C_j(L), j = 1, \ldots, k$ of the random variable $N(L)$, the number of points (or eigenvalues) in an interval of length L, which we shall take for definiteness to be the interval $[-t, t]$, $L \equiv 2t$. Thus

$$\bar{U}_1 = \int_{-t}^{t} U_1(x_1) \, dx_1 = 2t = \langle N(L) \rangle = C_1(L), \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{U}_2 = \int_{-t}^{t} \int_{-t}^{t} dx_1 \, dx_2 \, U_2(x_1, x_2) \equiv \langle N(N - 1) \rangle - \langle N \rangle^2$$
$$= \langle (N - \langle N \rangle^2) \rangle - \langle N \rangle = C_2(L) - C_1(L),$$

$$\bar{U}_3 = C_3(L) - 3C_2(L) + 2C_1(L), \text{ etc.}$$

Using the generating function [9]

$$F(\mu) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(L)e^{n\mu}, \quad (4)$$

with $E_n(L)$ the probability of having exactly n particles in the interval L, we have

$$\ln F(\mu) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{C_n}{n!} \mu^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \bar{U}_n (e^\mu - 1)^n . \quad (5)$$

This gives

$$C_k = \left(\sum_{j=2}^{k-1} b_{k,j} C_j \right) + (-1)^k (k-1)! C_1 + \bar{U}_k , \quad (6)$$

where

$$b_{n,j} = b_{n-1,j-1} - (n-1)b_{n-1,j} , \quad 2 \le j \le n-1 ,$$
$$b_{n,n} = -1 , \qquad\qquad\qquad n \ge 2 ,$$

The U_k take on a particularly simple form for the GUE ($\beta = 2$) [1,2],

$$U_k(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = (-1)^{k-1} \sum_{\text{Perm}} \prod_{i=1}^{k} S(x_{i+1} - x_i) , \quad (7)$$

where $S(x) = (\pi x)^{-1} \sin \pi x$ and $x_{k+1} = x_1$, so the indices are to be thought of as being on a circle. Using (6) one

readily obtains [1,2]

$$C_2(L) = (\ln L)/\pi^2 + O(1) . \quad (8)$$

Note that for a system with short range interactions $C_2(L)$ would grow like L but the logarithmic interactions between the (charged) particles induce a sort of local crystalline order reducing the variance to $\log L$. It is this strong correlation which produces level repulsion between the eigenvalues and makes the large scale behavior of the fluctuations far from obvious. Defining now the normalized random variable

$$\eta(L) = (N(L) - L)/\sqrt{(1/\pi^2) \ln L} , \quad (9)$$

the kth cumulant of $\eta(L)$ will be $c_k \equiv C_k/[\log L/\pi^2]^{k/2}$. As is well known (cf [10]), $\eta(L)$ will approach a Gaussian random variable (with mean zero and unit variance) as $L \to \infty$, if and only if all c_k, $k \ge 3$, go to zero, i.e., if $C_k = o([\ln L/\pi^2]^{k/2})$. Using an induction argument based on the recurrence relation (6) and the equality (7), this corresponds to proving that

$$s_k(t) \equiv \int_{-t}^{t} \cdots \int_{-t}^{t} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \, S(x_2 - x_1) S(x_3 - x_2) \cdots S(x_1 - x_k) = 2t + o[(\ln t)^{k/2}], \qquad k \ge 3 . \quad (10)$$

We shall actually prove that $s_k(t) = 2t + O(\ln t)$ which implies that for $k \ge 3$ $C_k(L) = O(\ln L)$; in fact, we believe that for $k \ge 3$ $C_k(L)$ stays bounded as $L \to \infty$, as suggested by the explicit asymptotic evaluation of the integrals,

$$s_3 = 2t - \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \ln t + O(1) ,$$
$$s_4 = 2t - \frac{11}{6\pi^2} \ln t + O(1) , \quad (11)$$

which gives, using (6), that C_3 and C_4 are of $O(1)$.

To prove (10) we make use of the fact [1] that

$$s_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i^k(t) = \text{Tr} \mathbf{S}^k(t) , \quad (12)$$

where the $\lambda_i(t)$ are the eigenvalues of the integral operator $\mathbf{S}(t), (\mathbf{S}f)(x) = \int_{-t}^{t} dy \, S(x - y) f(y)$. It is known [1] (and can be easily proven) that the spectrum of $\mathbf{S}$ lies in [0,1]. We can now use an induction argument to prove that $s_k(t) = 2t + O(\ln t)$. This is so for $k = 1, 2$ (also for 3,4), and for $k \ge 2$ we have

$$\text{Tr} \mathbf{S}^{k+1} = \text{Tr} \mathbf{S}^k - \text{Tr}[\mathbf{S}^{k-1}(\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{S}^2)] . \quad (13)$$

The first term is of the desired form by the indication assumption while the terms in the parenthesis are positive operators, and $\| \mathbf{S}^{k-1} \| \le 1$ so it can be taken out of the product yielding $\text{Tr} \mathbf{S}^{k+1} = \text{Tr} \mathbf{S}^k + O(\ln t)$ and the proof is complete.

Our results extend to show that, if we divide up the real line into a union of intervals of length L, let $N_j(L)$ be the particle number in $[jL, (j+1)L$, and set $\eta_j(L) = [N_j(L) - L]/\sqrt{C_2(L)}$, $j \in Z$, then the $\{\eta_j(L)\}$ approach, as $L \to \infty$, jointly Gaussian random variables with mean zero and covariances $\langle \eta_j \eta_k \rangle = \delta_{j,k} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{j \pm 1, k}$.

To prove the results for the GOE, $\beta = 1$, we use an identity conjectured by Dyson and proved by Gunson [11] (we thank Dyson for pointing this out to us). According to this identity, superimposing two noninteracting Coulomb gases, say, blue and red, in the circular ensemble at reciprocal temperature $\beta = 1$ and then looking only at alternate particles, e.g., at all the odd (or even) ones, yields the distribution at $\beta = 2$. Considering now the number of particles in an interval of length L gives

$$N_{\text{total}}(L) = N_{\text{blue}}^{(1)}(L) + N_{\text{red}}^{(1)}(L) = N_{\text{odd}}^{(2)}(L) + N_{\text{even}}^{(2)}(L)$$
$$= 2N^{(2)}(L) + (0, \pm 1) , \quad (14)$$

where the superscripts (1,2) stand for the random variables obtained from the ensembles with $\beta = 1, 2$, and $N_{\text{blue}}, N_{\text{red}}$ are independent. This shows immediately that in the infinite $\mathcal{N}$ limit the variables $N^{(2)}(L)$ and $N^{(1)}(L)$ normalized by the square root of their variances have the same asymptotic behavior. Taking $\langle N_{\text{blue}}^{(1)}(L) \rangle = \langle N_{\text{red}}^{(1)}(L) \rangle = \langle N^{(1)}(L) \rangle = L$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\langle \{[N_{\text{blue}}^{(1)}(L) - L] + [N_{\text{red}}^{(1)}(L) - L]\}^2 \rangle = \langle [N^{(1)}(L) - L]^2 \rangle = 2\langle [N^{(1)}(L) - L]^2 \rangle \sim \frac{2 \ln L}{\pi^2} , \quad (15)$$

giving the well known variance of the GOE [1].

For the GSE $\beta = 4$ we use the equality between statistics of its eigenvalues and the odd eigenvalues of the GOE [1]. This again leads to Gaussian asymptotics with a variance given by $(1/2\pi^2) \log L + O(1)$. It seems very reasonable to expect and one can give strong heuristic arguments, based on the "long wavelength response" of "Coulomb" systems, that the Gaussian nature of the fluctuations, with variances $(2/\pi^2 \beta) \log L$, holds for all β. (We thank Jancovici for pointing this out to us; see also [8].)

Using more detailed information on the spectrum of S (see [12]), it follows that $s_k(t) = 2t - \pi^{-2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} j^{-1} \ln t + o(\ln t)$ which using (6) implies that $C_k = o(\ln t)$ for all $k \geq 3$. (We are indebted to Widom for this information.) Widom also noted that our proof does not make any use of the specific form of S. It only uses the property $\mathrm{spec}(S) \in [0, 1]$ and the fact that $\mathrm{Tr}(S - S^2) \to \infty$ (as $t \to \infty$). The conclusion therefore holds for a larger class of matrix models [3].

The local statistics of the eigenvalues ϵ_j, $j = 1, 2, \ldots, \infty$, $0 \leq \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \leq \cdots$ of a classically chaotic quantum Hamiltonian (CQH), such as the geodesic flow on a (nonarithmetic) surface of constant negative curvature or the Sinai billiard, appear to coincide at high energies with those obtained from the GRM [4]. More precisely, if we consider the energy levels of a generic CQH, suitably scaled so that the mean distance between levels is unity in an interval $(y, y + L)$ then their statistics obtained by letting y vary uniformly in some interval (T_1, T_2), will coincide, for $T_2 \to \infty$, with that obtained from one of the standard GRM ensembles when the matrix size tends to infinity. Our result then predicts a Gaussian distribution of the fluctuations in the number of levels $N(L)$ when $L \to \infty$. A numerical check of this for some CQH will require using energy levels in a (scaled) energy interval L with $1 \ll L \ll (T_2 - T_1)$, T_1 large.

It is also interesting, as emphasized by Berry and co-workers [4], to consider in addition to the local statistics of quantum levels also their global statistics. These correspond, in our context, to fluctuations in the number of levels in an interval (y, x) whose length $L(x) = x - y(x)$ is not fixed but grows with x as x varies in the interval (T_1, T_2) with $T_2 \to \infty$. This includes, in particular, the case $y = 0$, $L(x) = x$ corresponding to the fluctuations in the number of eigenvalues less than x. This quantity, normalized by the square root of its variance, was conjectured in [5] [where it is denoted by $N_{fl}(x)$] to have a Gaussian distribution as $x \to \infty$ for all CQH. If true this would be a general characterization of CQH and distinguish them from integrable systems where it was found rigorously that the global distribution is non-Gaussian [13]. Quite generally, it was shown by Berry [5] that when $L(x) > L_{\max}(x)$, the variance of $N(L(x))$ saturates for $L > L_{\max}$. Berry also found that $N_{fl}^2(x)$ (averaged over some interval containing many

eigenvalues but very small compared to x) grows for billiard systems like $\log x$.

As already noted, the distribution of eigenvalues in the GRM is translation invariant, when the matrix size $\mathcal{N}$ goes to infinity, so there is no analog of $L_{\max}$ in our considerations. One can, however, consider fluctuations in $N(L)$ for an interval $L(\mathcal{N})$ which contains a number of eigenvalues small compared to $\mathcal{N}$ but goes to infinity when $\mathcal{N} \to \infty$, e.g., in the circular ensemble we could have $L(N) \sim N^\gamma$, $\gamma < 1$ or even $\alpha \mathcal{N}$, $\alpha \ll 1$. For the Coulomb system with neutralizing background it is also possible to consider semi-infinite systems with various boundary conditions and/or nonuniform background. Some such systems have been considered in [8], and we believe that our results about Gaussian behavior would extend also to these systems which might model some of the saturation features of CQH.

We turn finally to the (nontrivial) zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$ which are, according to the Riemann hypothesis, for the form $z_n = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma_n$. As pointed out by Berry and co-workers [4], there are reasons to expect similarities between the statistics of the γ_n and of the energy levels of CQH. In fact Montgomery [14] proved that the pair correlation function of the γ_n agrees with that of the GUE, Eq. (7). Numerical calculation by Odlyzko [7] give striking evidence that the nearest neighbor level spacing distribution of the γ_n is, for large n, indeed the same as that obtained from the GUE. In a very interesting recent paper Rudnick and Sarnak [7] greatly extended the results of Montgomery by showing that the n-point correlation functions of these zeros converge on a large class of test functions to those of the GUE. Moreover, the normalized global fluctuations in these zeros corresponding to $N_{fl}(x)$ was shown by Selberg [15] to have a Gaussian distribution. The same arguments imply that the local fluctuation in their number in an interval $(y, y + L)$ averaged over (T_1, T_2) and properly scaled become Gaussian when $T_2 \to \infty$, followed by $L \to \infty$ (we are indebted to Sarnak [7] for explaining this to us). The results proved here thus fit completely with the picture of the statistics of Riemann zeros being in the same "universality class" as that of the GUE.

---

[1] For a collection of original articles and an overview, see *Statistical Theories of Spectra: Fluctuation,* edited by C. E. Porter (Academic, New York, 1965); M. L. Mehta, *Random Matrices* (Academic Press, New York, 1990), 2nd ed.

[2] F. J. Dyson, J. Math. Phys. **3**, 166 (1962); **3**, 1191 (1962); **3**, 1199 (1962); Commun. Math. Phys. **19**, 235 (1970); **47**, 171 (1976).

[3] E. Brezin and A. Zee, Nucl. Phys. **B402**, [FS] 613 (1993); L. Pastur, Lett. Math. Phys. **25**, 259 (1992); (to be published). See also H. Leff, J. Math. Phys. **5**, 756 (1964); **5**, 763 (1964); D. Fox and P. B. Kahn, Phys. Rev. **134**, B1151 (1964).

[4] M. V. Berry, Proc. R. Soc. London A **400**, 229 (1985); *Nonlinearity* **1**, 399 (1988); see also articles by Berry and others in *Proceedings of the Summer School Les Houches Session LII,* edited by M. J. Giannoni, A. Voros, and J. Zinn-Justin (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1991); O. Bohigas, M. J. Giannoni, and C. S. Schmit in *Quantum Chaos and Statistical Nuclear Physics,* edited by T. H. Zelegman and H. Nishioka, Lecture Notes in Physics (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986), Vol. 263; M. C. Gutzwiller, *Chaos in Classical and Quantum Mechanics,* (Springer, New York, 1990); H. Spohn, in *IMA Publication No. 9,* edited by G. Papanicolau (Springer, Berlin, 1987), p. 151.

[5] R. Aurich, J. Bolte, and F. Steiner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1356 (1994).

[6] M. Moshe, H. Neuberger, and B. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1497 (1994); E. Basor, C. A. Tracy, and H. Widom, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 5 (1992); G. Mahoux and M. L. Mehta, J. Phys. I (France) **3**, 697 (1993).

[7] A. M. Odlyzko, AT&T Report, 1989 (unpublished); Z. Rudnick and P. Sarnak, Princeton Report, 1994 (unpublished).

[8] P. J. Forester, Nucl. Phys. **B402**, 709 (1994); **416**, 377 (1994); B. Jancovici and P. J. Forester (to be published).

[9] J. L. Lebowitz and J. K. Percus, J. Math Phys. **4**, 248 (1963).

[10] Compare L. Breiman, *Probability* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1968).

[11] J. Gunson, J. Math. Phys **1**, 4 (1962); **1**, 752 (1962).

[12] H. J. Landau and H. Widom, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **77**, 469–481 (1980).

[13] P. Bleher, F. Dyson, and J. L. Lebowitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 3047 (1993).

[14] H. L. Montgomery, in *Proceedings of the Symposia in Pure Mathematics,* edited by Harold G. Diamond (AMS, Providence, 1975), Vol. 24, p. 181.

[15] A. Selberg, Arch. Math. Naturvid. **B48**, 89 (1946); *Collected Papers* (Springer, New York, 1991), Vol. II, p. 47.