## Non-Fermi-Liquid Behavior in Transport in (TMTSF)<sub>2</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>

G. M. Danner\* and P. M. Chaikin

Department of Physics, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544

(Received 16 August 1995)

It has recently been suggested that the highly anisotropic organic conductor  $(\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6$  is marginally a three-dimensional Fermi liquid which can be destabilized by a small field in the intermediate conducting **b** direction,  $H_b$ . This field would cause the interplane transport to become incoherent. We examine the 3D Fermi surface and find it coherent for  $H_b = 0$  and incoherent or nonexistent for small  $H_b$ . At similar  $H_b$  the magnetoresistances become power laws in the field applied perpendicular to the conducting planes ( $\rho_a \propto H_{\perp}^{1/2}$  and  $\rho_c \propto H_{\perp}^{3/2}$ ). These are 2D, non-Fermi-liquid properties.

PACS numbers: 75.30.Fv, 72.15.Gd, 74.70.Kn

The Bechgaard salts,  $(TMTSF)_2X$  (where TMTSF is tetramethyltetraselenefulvaline [1]), are highly anisotropic organic conductors with a rich phase diagram exhibiting spin density wave (SDW), metallic, superconducting, and field induced SDW or quantum Hall phases at low temperatures. The transitions between these phases depend on pressure, temperature, magnetic field, and the anion of the salt (labeled X above). Here we concentrate on the "normal" metallic phase which exists in the PF<sub>6</sub> salt at pressures exceeding  $\sim 6$  kbar and above the critical field for superconductivity ( $H \sim 0.2$  T along the c axis) and below the field induced SDW (FISDW) threshold field  $(H \sim 4 \text{ T along } \mathbf{c})$ . The crystal has highly conducting chains along the a direction which couple in the b direction to form conducting planes. The weakest coupling is perpendicular to the planes along the c direction. The bandwidths along the crystal axes are  $4t_a:4t_b:4t_c \approx 1$ : 0.1:0.003 eV. The Fermi surfaces consist of slightly warped parallel sheets at  $k_x \sim \pm k_F$ . For rotation of the magnetic field in the  $\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c}^*$  plane (perpendicular to the highly conducting a axis) Lebed predicted resonances in physical properties at particular angles [2]. At these angles the electron motion across the Fermi sheets has a rational ratio of the frequencies for crossing the Brillouin zone in the  $b^*$  and  $c^*$  directions. These "magic angle" resonances have been observed in the magnetoresistance of the ClO<sub>4</sub> and PF<sub>6</sub> salts [3-7]. While a semiclassical explanation may be appropriate for the behavior seen in ClO<sub>4</sub>, an alternative explanation has been proposed for  $PF_6$ . The magnetoresistance in  $PF_6$  is unusual in a classical context and the dips at these magic angles are sharper and more striking than in ClO<sub>4</sub>. Strong, Clarke, and Anderson [8,9] suggest that there is coherent hopping between the conducting planes only at the magic angles. Slight angular deviations lead to an "incoherence" transition with the 3D Fermi liquid becoming a decoupled set of 2D non-Fermiliquids. In this Letter we perform an experimental test of this model.

According to the Strong, Clarke, and Anderson model, two-dimensional sheets of  $(TMTSF)_2PF_6$  would act as

non-Fermi-liquids resulting from electron interactions. However, the interplane coupling,  $t_c$ , is marginally large enough to couple the sheets and lead to a 3D Fermi liquid. Introducing a small field in the **b** direction,  $H_b$ , dephases the interplane tunneling leading to an effective  $t_c$  which is below the threshold for Fermi-liquid behavior. The result should be the reestablishment of decoupled, 2D, non-Fermi-liquid behavior. In this 2D system the transport properties should depend solely on the component of the magnetic field perpendicular to the planes,  $H_{\perp}$ . Experiments on the PF<sub>6</sub> salt show that  $\rho_{xx}$  and  $\rho_{zz}$  vary as  $H_{\perp}^{1/2}$  and  $H_{\perp}^{3/2}$ , respectively. These peculiar power laws are indicative of nonclassical, non-Fermi-liquid behavior.

We recently developed a technique to measure the Fermi surface of quasi-one-dimensional metals and have applied this technique to measure  $(TMTSF)_2ClO_4$  [10]. We probe the c axis magnetoresistance during an ac rotation (perpendicular to the magic angle rotation direction described above). There is a sharp structure observed in the angular dependence of  $\rho_{zz}$ , particularly near  $H \parallel \mathbf{a}$ . The effects are quantitatively well described by a simple averaging of the velocity along c by the quasiclassical orbits resulting from the magnetic field. These experiments provide the best measurements for the band parameters in this salt. Here we attempt the same experiment for the  $PF_6$  salt with  $H_b$  zero and nonzero. According to the coherence-incoherence model, for an ac rotation with  $H_b = 0$  there should be coherent transport along **c** and, therefore, a Fermi surface, and we should observe the  $\rho_{77}$ resonances near  $H \parallel \mathbf{a}$ . There should be a small, nonuniversal, non-power-law dependence observed for the resistance as a function of the magnitude and direction of the applied field. With  $H_b$  above some small threshold, there should be no coherent motion along c, no observable Fermi surface along c, and the ac resonance should disappear. We should then find a universal power law for  $\rho_{zz}(H_{\perp})$ . This is indeed what we observe.

The experiments were performed in a micropressure bomb, whose dimensions were 0.76 cm diam  $\times$  1.27 cm long [11]. This beryllium-copper pressure clamp can attain

4690

© 1995 The American Physical Society

pressures of 15 kbar and is designed to be placed on a "flipper" probe. This probe has a gear driven rotation mechanism with the rotation axis perpendicular to the probe's long axis. It has the ability for  $360^\circ$ + degrees of rotation with an accuracy of  $0.05^\circ$ . The sample flipper is immersed in <sup>3</sup>He in a cryostat capable of cooling to 0.5 K. The clamp is centered in a 9 T, split-bore, superconducting magnet. The combination of a rotation of the probe by a goniometer (accuracy  $0.0025^\circ$ ) and flipper rotation allows complete  $4\pi$  orientation of the sample relative to the magnetic field. The sample alignment along the **a** axis is greatly aided by the fact that the superconducting critical field is highest for  $H \parallel \mathbf{a}$ . The **b** axis is also easily located from the fact that the magnetoresistance is smallest (and cusplike vs angle) for  $H \parallel \mathbf{b}$ .

In the bottom trace of Fig. 1 we show the **c** axis resistance for PF<sub>6</sub> as a function of angle as the sample is rotated about **b**\* near **a** at 9.8 kbar, 0.57 K, and 4T. The inset shows a similar plot for anion ordered ClO<sub>4</sub>. The behavior of the "background" is opposite in the two salts. ClO<sub>4</sub> has a maximum near  $H \parallel \mathbf{a}$  and no magnetoresistance (below the threshold field for the FISDW transition) for  $H \parallel \mathbf{c}$ . This is the classically expected behavior—no magnetoresistance when the probing current and magnetic field are parallel. With current along **c** in PF<sub>6</sub>, the magnetoresistance has a *minimum* for H perpendicular to the current and a *maximum* when the field and current are parallel. This behavior suggests that even with  $H_b = 0$ , PF<sub>6</sub> is not a conventional metal. Despite the strong difference in the "background" behavior, the  $H_b = 0$  data for both salts show structure near  $H \parallel \mathbf{a}$ . To eliminate the effects of the background and make the peak structure more apparent, we compare  $d^2 \ln(\rho_{zz})/d\theta^2$  for both materials in Fig. 2. The  $\theta$  axis for the ClO<sub>4</sub> data has been scaled for ease of comparison (see [12]). We now see that the structures in the **ac** resonances align remarkably well. The structures are larger (~2 times) in the 7.5 T field trace (second from bottom), but are still clearly visible at the same angular positions in the  $H_{ac} = 4$  T trace for PF<sub>6</sub> (second from top). From this we conclude that the **c** axis motion is coherent and there is a Fermi surface that can be accurately measured in PF<sub>6</sub> for  $H_b = 0$ . We obtain a value of  $4t_b = 0.13$  eV for PF<sub>6</sub> at a pressure of 9.8 kbar.

In Figs. 1 and 2 we have also shown the data for  $H_b \neq 0$  in PF<sub>6</sub>. Remarkably, at  $H_b = 0.2$  T the structure associated with the ac resonances has completely vanished. Since these resonances originate from coherent quasiclassical trajectories on the Fermi surface of electrons in a field, their absence indicates that either the coherence or the Fermi surface or both are no longer present. We can calculate the **ac** resonances quantitatively, and the results show that the behavior for a small  $H_b$  is a slight shift in the positions and amplitudes of the structures but that they remain essentially unchanged. We have actually performed such rotations with  $H_b \neq 0$  on ClO<sub>4</sub> [13], and the results agree with the simulations: The positions of the peaks shift slightly, but there is no large decrease in amplitude of the resonance for small  $H_b$ . The effects in PF<sub>6</sub> cannot be explained in a classical Fermi liquid context, and it would appear that some nonclassical effect such as incoherence must provide the answer.



FIG. 1. **c** axis resistance  $(\rho_{zz})$  for  $(TMTSF)_2 PF_6$  at 9.8 kbar, 0.57 K, and  $H_{ac} = 4$  T as a function of angle between the applied field and the crystal **a** axis in the **ac** plane. Data are presented for four rotations with different values of the field along the **b** axis (offset for clarity). The inset shows the resonances observed for similar **ac** the higher  $H_b$  are from "magic angle" minima of the Lebed type and are marked by their p/q value:  $\bigcirc = -1$ ,  $\triangle = -2$ ,  $\diamondsuit = 1$ , and  $\bigcirc = 2$ .



FIG. 2. Second derivative of the **c** axis resistance with respect to  $\theta_{ac}$  from Fig. 1. Included for comparison is a similar derivative for (TMTSF)<sub>2</sub>ClO<sub>4</sub> where the horizontal axis has been rescaled [12] for ease of comparison. With  $H_b = 0$  the angular features in PF<sub>6</sub> match those for ClO<sub>4</sub> demonstrating for  $H_b = 0$  that PF<sub>6</sub> has a Fermi surface extended along  $k_z$ . For  $H_b = 0.2$  T, though, the features have disappeared implying that PF<sub>6</sub> has become a non-Fermi-liquid. The vertical scales have been adjusted to make the features of similar size: from top to bottom,  $\times 2$ ,  $\times 2$ ,  $\times 1$ ,  $\div 20$ .

At higher values of  $H_b$  we see from Fig. 1 that the "background" angular dependence of  $\rho_{zz}$  has changed and that there is a new set of minima that appears. These are the Lebed magic angle  $\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c}^*$  dips discussed above. The reason that they appear near  $\theta = 0$  in this rotation is that when we perform an **ac** rotation with a fixed  $H_h$ the projection of the field in the  $\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c}^*$  plane changes and produces a highly distorted pseudo  $\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c}^*$  rotation. For a given  $H_b/H_{ac} = \tau$  we can find the angle of the field projection in the **bc** plane,  $\theta_{bc}$ , in terms of the rotation angle of Figs. 1–3,  $\theta_{ac}$ , according to  $\tan \theta_{bc} = \tau / \sin \theta_{ac}$ . We know the positions of the magic angles in the  $\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c}^*$ plane, and we therefore expect dips at the positions indicated by symbols on the plots in Fig. 1 for a given value of  $H_b$ . The rotation is being done in the **ac** plane, but a small (1° or so) misalignment results in a large shift in the observed minima positions. This is most likely responsible for the small disagreement between the symbols and the observed dips. The magic angle effects are clear for the larger values of  $H_b$  and are not present at lower  $H_b$ . In fact, the magic angle effects and the **ac** resonances never coexist. This supports the suggestion that the origin of the magic angle effects in PF<sub>6</sub> is from non-Fermi-liquid behavior; the coherent c axis motion indicated by the ac resonances is destroyed before the magic angle effects are observed.

The second part of our test of the incoherence transition is whether the field dependence of the magnetoresistance changes over to a power law in  $H_{\perp}$  as  $H_b$  is turned on (and interplane coupling turns off). In Fig. 3 we show  $\ln(\rho_{zz})$  vs  $\ln(H_{\perp})$  for the different values of  $H_b$ from the data in Fig. 1. We see that for  $H_b = 0$  the magnetoresistance increases with field but does not follow



FIG. 3. Log-log plot of the *c*-axis resistance for  $(TMTSF)_2PF_6$  as a function of  $H_{\perp}$  for several values of  $H_b$  (from Fig. 1). As  $H_b$  increases past  $\approx 0.5$  T the resistance at high  $H_{\perp}$  follows a power law with exponent 3/2. Included are data from a  $\mathbf{b}^*\mathbf{c}^*$  rotation plotted on the same scales which also show the 3/2 power law. Traces have been offset for clarity.

a power law in this field region. Recall that the classical behavior that one should expect for the magnetoresistance should involve some function of  $H^2$  which decreases with angle as the field and current become parallel. Here we see that even the  $H_b = 0$  behavior is anomalous and nonclassical. As  $H_b$  is increased we see that  $\rho_{zz}$  tends to the  $H_{\perp}^{3/2}$  dependence found previously for  $\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c}^*$  rotations. The fact that  $H_{\perp}^{3/2}$  is the correct scaling is seen by the comparison of an actual  $\mathbf{b}^* \mathbf{c}^*$  rotation in this figure, where again we have plotted  $\ln(\rho_{zz})$  vs  $\ln(H_{\perp})$ . This reemphasizes that above a threshold value of  $H_b$  the magnetoresistance only depends on  $H_{\perp}$ , and PF<sub>6</sub> behaves as a non-Fermi-liquid.

Why is there such a drastic difference in behavior between the two Bechgaard salts ClO<sub>4</sub> and PF<sub>6</sub>? The former seems to be a reasonable stable Fermi liquid [14].  $PF_6$  is only marginally a Fermi liquid and is easily transformed into a non-Fermi-liquid by a small field. The differences between these salts are most often attributed to the anion ordering transition which occurs in the ClO<sub>4</sub> salt at 24 K. PF<sub>6</sub> has a single set of Fermi surface sheets which are centered on  $\pm \pi/2a$ , and has a single, half-filled band (more correctly, the band is quarter filled and dimerized along a). We expect that electron-electron interactions will have the largest effects on a half-filled band. The anion ordering transition in ClO<sub>4</sub> doubles the unit cell in the **b** direction with the result that  $ClO_4$ has two sets of Fermi surfaces (two bands) neither of which is centered at half filling. The presence of a field along c may also one dimensionalize the Fermi surface and lead to a strongly correlated, one-dimensional, halffilled band in PF<sub>6</sub>. This may explain the unusually large magnetoresistance for fields along  $\mathbf{c} (H_b = 0)$  [14].

We have conducted an experimental test of the model of Strong, Clarke, and Anderson in the quasione-dimensional conductor  $(TMTSF)_2PF_6$ . Our results indicate that below a threshold field along the **b** direction  $PF_6$  is marginally a Fermi liquid with a Fermi surface extended in the  $k_z$  direction. Comparison of the results seen for field rotating in the **ac** plane in PF<sub>6</sub> to those in ClO<sub>4</sub> support this conclusion. For fields above ~0.2 T along **b**, however, the **ac** resonance is destroyed and PF<sub>6</sub> behaves as like a 2D, non-Fermi-liquid with the magnetoresistance following  $H_{\perp}^{3/2}$ .

This research supported by NSF DMR92-16155 and Princeton NSF-MRSEC.

\*Present address: University of Colorado at Boulder, Physics Department, Condensed Matter Laboratory, Campus Box 390, Boulder, CO, 80309. Electronic address: dannerg@spot.colorado.edu

- For a review, see T. Isiguro and K. Yamaji, *Organic Superconductors* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990); for more recent references, see G. Danner *et al.*, Physica (Amsterdam) **201B**, 442 (1994); Synth. Met. **70**, 731 (1995).
- [2] A.G. Lebed, JETP Lett. 43, 174 (1986).

- [3] W. Kang, S.T. Hannahs, and P.M. Chaikin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2827 (1992).
- [4] T. Osada et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1525 (1991).
- [5] M. J. Naughton et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3712 (1991).
- [6] G. M. Danner, W. Kang, and P. M. Chaikin, Physica (Amsterdam) 201B, 442 (1994).
- [7] K. Behnia, M. Ribault, and C. Lenoir, Europhys. Lett. 25, 285 (1994).
- [8] David G. Clarke, S. P. Strong, and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3218 (1994).
- [9] S. P. Strong, David G. Clarke, and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 73, 1007 (1994).
- [10] G. M. Danner and P. M. Chaikin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 3714 (1994).
- [11] G. M. Danner and P. M. Chaikin, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 66, 3951 (1995).
- [12] Since the angular positions of the structures depend dominantly on  $t_b/v_F$ , we have adjusted the angles for the

ClO<sub>4</sub> trace to coincide with those of PF<sub>6</sub> using the formula  $\theta_{\text{new}} = \tan^{-1}[(t_b^{\text{ClO}_4}/t_b^{\text{PF}_6})\tan\theta_{\text{old}}]$ . From the unadjusted data we have measured  $t_b = 0.033$  eV for PF<sub>6</sub> at 9.8 kbar and 0.012 eV for ClO<sub>4</sub> at 0 kbar, more accurate but in accord with the accepted values of  $t_b^{\text{PF}_6} \approx 0.025$  eV and  $t_b^{\text{ClO}_4} \approx t_b^{\text{PF}_6}/2$ .

- [13] G. M. Danner, S. K. McKernan, U. M. Scheven, P. M. Chaikin, and S. T. Hannahs, in the Proceedings of the Conference on Physical Phenomena at High Magnetic Fields, Tallahassee, FL, May 1995 (to be published).
- [14] There has been a recent suggestion [15] that  $ClO_4$  develops a charge gap in high field along c. This field one dimensionalizes the electron motion in the conducting planes. We suspect that this description may be more appropriate for PF<sub>6</sub> after a small  $H_b$  has been applied than for  $ClO_4$ .
- [15] K. Behnia et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 5272 (1995).