## Vacuum Structure and Spectrum of  $N = 2$  Supersymmetric  $SU(n)$  Gauge Theory

Philip C. Argyres\* and Alon E. Faraggi<sup>†</sup>

School of Natural Sciences, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, New Jersey 08540

(Received 16 November 1994)

We present an exact description of the metric on the moduli space of vacua and the spectrum of massive states for four dimensional  $N = 2$  supersymmetric SU(n) gauge theories. The moduli space of quantum vacua is identified with the moduli space of a special set of genus  $n - 1$  hyperelliptic Riemann surfaces.

PACS numbers: 11.15.—q, 11.30.Pb

Recently Seiberg and Witten [1] obtained exact expressions for the metric on moduli space and dyon spectrum of  $N = 2$  supersymmetric SU(2) gauge theory using a version of Olive-Montonen duality [2]. In this Letter we use this approach to obtain similar information for the  $N = 2$ supersymmetric  $SU(n)$  gauge theory.

The  $N = 2$  Yang-Mills theory involves a single chiral  $N = 2$  superfield in the adjoint which decomposes into an  $N = 1$  vector multiplet  $W_{\alpha}$  and chiral multiplet  $\Phi$ .  $W_{\alpha}$  includes the gauge field strength  $F_{\mu\nu}$  as well as the Weyl gaugino, while  $\Phi$  includes a Weyl fermion and a complex scalar  $\phi$ . Classically there is an  $(n -$ 1)-complex-dimensional moduli space of flat directions. Any vacuum expectation value (VEV) for  $\phi$  can be rotated by a gauge transformation to lie in the Cartan subalgebra of  $SU(n)$ , and generically breaks  $SU(n) \rightarrow$  $U(1)^{n-1}$ . Denote by  $\Phi_i$  and  $W_i$  the components of the chiral and vector superfields in the Cartan subalgebra with respect to the same basis. The low-energy effective action  $S \sim \text{Im}(\int d^2\theta \ d^2\overline{\theta} \ \Phi_D^i \ \overline{\Phi}_i^i + \frac{1}{2} \int d^2\theta \ \tau^{ij} W_i W_i)$  is derived from a single holomorphic function  $\mathcal{F}(\Phi_k)$  since  $\Phi_D^i = \partial \mathcal{F}/\partial \Phi_i$ , and  $\tau^{ij} = \partial^2 \mathcal{F}/\partial \Phi_i \partial \Phi_j$  [3]. The real and imaginary parts of the lowest component of  $\tau^{ij}$  are the effective  $\theta$  angles and coupling constants of the theory, respectively.

Normalize the  $W_i$  fields so that the charges of fields in the fundamental of  $SU(n)$  form a unit cubic lattice, implying that the allowed set of electric charges  $n_e^i$  are all the integers. Denoting the magnetic charges of any monopoles or dyons by  $2\pi n_{m,i}$ , the Dirac quantization condition requires the  $n_{m,i}$  to lie in the dual lattice, implying that the  $n_{m,i}$  are also integers. Then the effective action is left invariant by duality transformations [1,4] which acts on the fields by  $\Phi \to M \cdot \Phi$ ,  $W \to M \cdot W$ for  $\mathbf{M} \in \text{Sp}(2n-2, \mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\mathbf{\Phi} = (\Phi_D^i, \Phi_i)$ ,  $^t\mathbf{W} =$  $(W_D^i, W_i)$ , and  $W_D^i$  are the dual U(1) field strengths. The structure of the  $N = 2$  supersymmetry algebra implies [5] dyons of magnetic and electric charge  $\mathbf{r} = (n_{m,i}, n_e^i)$  have mass  $M = \sqrt{2}$ <sup>(t</sup>**a** · **n**), where <sup>t</sup>**a** = ( $a<sup>i</sup><sub>D</sub>$ ,  $a<sub>i</sub>$ ) are the VEVs of the scalar component of the chiral superfield and its dual:  $a_i = \langle \phi_i \rangle$  and  $a_D^i = \langle \phi_D^i \rangle$ .

As discussed in Ref. [1], analyticity of the superpotential  $f$ , positivity of the Kähler metric Im $\tau$ , and the form

of the superpotential at weak coupling imply that there must be singularities in the moduli space around which the theory has nontrivial monodromies in  $Sp(2n - 2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Classical moduli space.—We adopt the convention that upper case indices  $I, J, K, \ldots$  run from 1 to *n* and lower case indices  $i, j, k, \ldots$  run from 1 to  $n - 1$ . Use a basis  $\{H^1, E^U_{\pm} (I > J)\}$  for the generators of the U(n) Lie algebra where the  $n \times n$  matrices  $[H^I]_{AB} = \delta_A^I \delta_B^I$  span the Cartan subalgebra. Then the  $SU(n)$  vector superfield  $W =$  $W_I H^I + W_{II}^{\pm} E_{+}^{IJ}$  will satisfy the tracelessness condition  $\sum_{i} W_{i} = 0$ . We everywhere substitute for  $W_{n}$  in terms of the  $W_i$ 's using the tracelessness constraint, thus choosing the  $W_i$ 's as a basis of the Cartan subalgebra of  $SU(n)$  and ensuring that the charges of fields in the fundamental of  $SU(n)$  are integers.

Rotate  $\langle \phi \rangle$  into the Cartan subalgebra of SU(n):  $\langle \phi \rangle$  =  $a_I H<sup>I</sup>$ , where the  $a_I$  satisfy the tracelessness constraint  $\sum_{i} a_i = 0$ . If we denote the space of independent complex  $a_i$ 's by  $\mathcal{T}_n$ , then the classical moduli space is  $\mathcal{M}_n =$  $T_n/S_n$  where  $S_n$  is the Weyl group of SU(n) which acts by permuting the  $a_l$ 's. The Higgs mechanism gives the  $W_{IJ}^{\pm}$ boson masses proportional to  $|a_1 - a_1|$ . The Weyl group  $S_n$  does not act freely on  $\mathcal{T}_n$ : a submanifold of partial symmetry breaking to  $SU(m)$  is fixed by  $S_m \subset S_n$ , since *m* of the  $a_i$ 's are equal there. Classically  $\mathcal{M}_n$  has singularities along these submanifolds since extra  $W_{II}^{\pm}$  bosons become massless there. A global  $U(1)_R$  symmetry of the  $SU(n)$  theory is broken down to  $\mathbb{Z}_{4n}$  by anomalies. Since the scalar field  $\phi$  has charge 2 under this symmetry, only a  $\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$  acts nontrivially on  $\mathcal{M}_n$ .

A basis of gauge-invariant coordinates covering  $\mathcal{M}_n$  at weak coupling are given by  $u_{\alpha} = \langle \text{Tr}(\phi^{\alpha}) \rangle = \sum_{I} a_{I}^{\alpha}$ , for  $\alpha = 2, \ldots, n$ . A more convenient set of gauge-invariant coordinates is given classically by the elementary symmetric polynomials in the  $a<sub>I</sub>$ 's,

$$
s_{\alpha} \equiv (-)^{\alpha} \sum_{I_1 < \dots < I_{\alpha}} a_{I_1} \cdots a_{I_{\alpha}}, \quad \alpha = 1, \dots, n \, . \tag{1}
$$

These symmetric coordinates can be expressed as polynomials in terms of the  $u_{\alpha}$ 's generated by Newton's forfollowing in terms of the  $u_{\alpha}$  s generated by Newton s for-<br>nula  $rs_r + \sum_{\alpha=0}^{r} s_{r-\alpha} u_{\alpha} = 0$ , for  $r = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ , where sometries  $s_0 = 1$ ,  $u_0 = 0$ , and  $s_1 = u_1 = 0$  by the tracelessness has  $s_0 = 1$ ,  $u_0 = 0$ , and  $s_1 = u_1 = 0$  by the tracelessness constraint.

0031-9007/95/74(20)/3931(4)\$06.00 © 1995 The American Physical Society 3931

The SU(n) curve.—The couplings  $\tau$  transform under  $Sp(2n - 2, Z)$  and Im $\tau$  must be positive definite for the theory to be unitary. The period matrix of a genus  $n - 1$  Riemann surface has precisely these properties, so it is natural to guess [1] that the moduli space of the  $SU(n)$  theory be embedded in the moduli space of the Riemann surface. A simple set of Riemann surfaces are the hyperelliptic ones [6], described by the complex curve  $y^2 = \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n} (x - e_{\ell})$ , which is the double-sheeted cover of the Riemann sphere branched at  $2n$  points  $e_{\ell}$ . The  $SU(n)$  curve should also have a  $\mathbb{Z}_{2n}$  symmetry, reflecting the U(1) $_R$  symmetry broken by instantons in the SU(n) theory. This symmetry fits naturally with the hyperelliptic surfaces if we assign  $R$  charge 1 to  $x$  and  $n$  to  $y$ .

We now assume, following [7], that the coefficients of the polynomial in x defining the  $SU(n)$  curve are themselves polynomials in the gauge-invariant coordinates  $s_\alpha$ (or  $u_{\alpha}$ ) and  $\Lambda_n^{2n}$ , where  $\Lambda_n$  is the renormalization scale of the SU(*n*) theory. The power of  $\Lambda_n^{2n}$  ensures that it has the quantum numbers of a one-instanton amplitude.

In the weak coupling limit there are nontrivial monodromies around the regions of moduli space where extra gauge symmetries are restored, corresponding to the submanifolds where a pair or more of the  $a<sub>I</sub>$  take the same values. So, as  $\Lambda_n \to 0$ , the SU(*n*) curve should be singular along these submanifolds. A curve is singular whenever a pair or more of its branch points  $e_{\ell}$  coincide. A polynomial in  $x$  which has the required property is  $F(x) = \prod_{l=1}^{n} (x - a_l)$ . As we will shortly see, there is also a monodromy of the  $SU(n)$  theory at weak coupling which does not correspond to any coincidence of the  $a_1$ 's. Thus, in the weak coupling limit the  $SU(n)$  curve should be singular for all values of the  $a<sub>I</sub>$ 's. This can be achieved by simply squaring the polynomial  $F(x)$ , so that all its zeros are doubled. Also, it then has the right degree in  $x$ to desribe a hyperelliptic curve. There is then only one way to add in instanton contributions (terms dependent on  $\Lambda_n$ ) consistent with our assignment of the R charges:  $y^2 = F^2(x) - \Lambda_n^{2n}$ . The coefficients of the polynomial  $F(x)$  are precisely the elementary symmetric functions  $s_{\alpha}$  of the  $a_i$ 's (1). We make the assumption that the  $s_{\alpha}$  remain good global coordinates on the SU(*n*) moduli space even at strong coupling. Then the proposed  $SU(n)$ curve is

$$
y^2 = \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^n s_\alpha x^{n-\alpha}\right)^2 - \Lambda_n^{2n}.\tag{2}
$$

The remainder of this Letter describes various consistency checks of this curve. For brevity's sake, we check only properties that depend on the conjugacy class of the monodromies in  $Sp(2n - 2, \mathbb{Z})$ .

Weak coupling monodromies.—The first check we perform is to show that (2) has all the right monodromies at weak coupling.  $SU(n)$  is strongly broken down to SU(n – 1), when  $a_i \sim a$  and  $a_n \sim (1 - n)a$ , where  $|a| \gg \Lambda_n$ , and the usual renormalization group

matching implies  $\Lambda_n^{2n} \sim a^2 \Lambda_{n-1}^{2(n-1)}$ . Shifting x to x + a matching implies  $\Lambda_n^{2n} \sim a^2 \Lambda_{n-1}$ . Shifting x to  $x + a$ <br>and taking the limit  $a \to \infty$  while leaving  $\Lambda_{n-1}$  fixed sends two branch points to infinity. Rescaling y by  $(x + na)^{-1}$ , we recover the curve (2) again, but now for  $SU(n - 1)$ instead of  $SU(n)$ . Thus the  $SU(n)$  curve at weak coupling automatically contains all  $SU(n - 1)$  monodromies, allowing us to proceed by induction in  $n$ .

The SU(2) curve can be shown to be equivalent to the  $SU(2)$  curve found in [7] by a fractional linear transformation on the  $x$  variable, since the automorphisms of the Riemann sphere allow us to fix three of the branch points arbitrarily by an  $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$  transformation. Along an SU(2) direction at weak coupling the SU(3) curve degenerates to the SU(2) curve, and so gives the correct monodromies. The SU(3) curve has another singularity at weak coupling corresponding to the limit where all the  $a_1$ 's scale together by some large factor. If the special SU(3) monodromy around this singularity agrees with the answer calculated from perturbation theory, then all the weak coupling monodromies of SU(3) will have been checked, and the induction can proceed to SU(4), etc. In general, we will need to compute just one special monodromy for each  $SU(n)$  curve.

A convenient curve along which to measure this monodromy is

$$
a_I(t) = \omega^{I+t} a, \quad 0 \le t \le 1,
$$
 (3)

where  $|a| \gg \Lambda_n$  and  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/n}$ . This path traverses a large circle in the  $s_n$  complex plane along which all the other  $s_\alpha$ 's vanish. In this plane the  $SU(n)$  curve (2) factorizes for  $|s_n| \gg \Lambda_n^n$  as  $y^2 = \prod_j (x - \omega^j s_n^{1/n} [1 +$  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\mathbf{x}_n|^2}{\mathbf{x}_n^2}$  ( $\mathbf{x} = \omega^J s_n^{1/n} [1 - s_n^{-1} \Lambda_n^n]$ ). The branch points are arranged in  $n$  pairs with a pair at each  $n$ th root of unity times  $s_n^{1/n}$ . As  $s_n \to e^{2\pi i} s_n$ , these pairs are rotated into one another in a counter-clockwise sense, and each pair also revolves once about its common center in a clockwise sense.

Choose cuts and a standard basis for the independent cycles on the  $SU(n)$  surface as shown for  $SU(3)$  in Fig. 1. Thus,  $\gamma_i$  are independent nonintersecting cycles, similarly for  $\gamma_D^i$ , and their intersection form is  $(\gamma_D^i, \gamma_i) = \delta_i^i$ . Note



FIG. 1. Contours for a basis of cycles for the SU(3) curve. The thick wavy lines represent the cuts, solid contours are on the first sheet, and dotted ones are on the second.

that  $\gamma_n$  is not independent of the  $\gamma_i$ 's: a simple contour deformation shows that  $\sum_l \gamma_l = 0$ .

As  $s_n \to e^{2\pi i} s_n$  the  $\gamma_i$  are dragged along with the cuts so that  $\gamma_i \rightarrow \gamma_{i+1} \equiv P_i^j \gamma_i$ , where  $P_i^j = \delta_{i+1}^j - \delta_{i+1}^n$ is a representation of the  $\pi = (1, \ldots, n)$  permutation. It then follows from the defining properties of symplectic then rollows from the defining properties or symplectic matrices that the monodromy  $\gamma \to M \cdot \gamma$  in Sp(2n – 2, Z) of  $^t\gamma = (\gamma_D^t, \gamma_i)$  can be written as

$$
\mathbf{M} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \mathbf{N} \\ \mathbf{0} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} {}^{t}\mathbf{P}^{-1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{P} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{4}
$$

where  $P$  is the permutation matrix found above, and  $N$  is some symmetric matrix which we wish to determine. If  $NP = {}^{t}P^{-1}N$ , the two matrices in Eq. (4) commute, and  $M^n = \begin{pmatrix} 1^n & N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  since  $P^n = 1$ . But  $M^n$  is easy to compute  $\mathbf{M}^n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  since  $\mathbf{P}^n = 1$ . But  $\mathbf{M}^n$  is easy to compute:<br>as  $s_n \to e^{2\pi i n} s_n$ , the  $\gamma_i$  cycles are simply dragged back to themselves and similarly for the  $\gamma_D^i$  cycles except that their ends get wound  $n$  times (in a clockwise sense) around each cut that they pass through. As illustrated in Fig. 2, each such winding can be deformed to give two of the associated  $\gamma_i$ 's. Keeping track of the signs, one finds  $\gamma_D^i \rightarrow \gamma_D^j - 2n(\gamma_i - \gamma_n) \equiv \gamma_D^i + nN^{ij}\gamma_j$ , where  $N^{ij} =$  $-2(\delta^{ij} + 1)$ . Since this matrix satisfies  $NP = {}^{t}P^{-1}N$ , it follows that it is, in fact, the matrix  $N$  of Eq. (4).

Special monodromies in perturbation theory.--By asymptotic freedom,  $SU(n)$  is weakly coupled if it is broken at a large scale so that all the  $|a_I - a_J| \gg \Lambda_n$ . Writing the effective action as  $S \sim \int \tau^U W_I W_J$ , the one-loop result for the running of the couplings is  $\tilde{\tau}^{IJ} =$  $(i/\pi)$  ( $\delta^{IJ} \sum_K \ln a_{IK} - \ln a_{IJ}$ ), where  $a_{IJ} \equiv a_I - a_J$ . The tracelessness constraint  $\sum_l W_l = 0$  implies  $\tau^{ij} = \tilde{\tau}^{i}$ .  $\tilde{\tau}^{in} - \tilde{\tau}^{nj} + \tilde{\tau}^{nn}$ . It follows from the definition of  $\tau^{ij}$ that  $a_D^i = \tau^{ij} a_i$  (only in perturbation theory).

In general, there is a nontrivial monodromy in the  $a_D^i$  along any path in  $\mathcal{M}_n$  at weak coupling whose lift to  $\mathcal{T}_n$  connects a point with its image under the action of a nontrivial permutation  $\pi \in S_n$ . The different possible choices of permutation  $\pi$  reflect the pattern of symmetry breaking of  $SU(n)$  at high energies, except for  $\pi = (1, \ldots, n)$ , which does not correspond to any special symmetry breaking pattern. The associated monodromy is the one special to  $SU(n)$ .

Along the path (3) realizing this monodromy, the  $a_i$ 's transform as  $a_i \rightarrow P_i^{\dagger} a_j$ , where **P** is the same permutation found above from the curve. The logarithms in the one loop expression for  $\tau^{ij}$  contribute a shift in its monodromy,  $\tau^{ij} \rightarrow \tau^{ij} + N^{ij}$ , where N is easily computed to be equal to the matrix N found above from the curve. The  $a_D^i$  then transform as  $a_D^i \rightarrow \tau^{ij} P_j^k a_k + N^{ij} P_j^k a_k$ . From the defining properties of symplectic matrices it follows



FIG. 2. A contour wound once around a cut is deformed into the sum of three contours.

hat  $\tau P = P^{-1} \tau$ , and so the monodromy of the scalar VEVs '**a** =  $(a<sub>b</sub><sup>i</sup>, a<sub>i</sub>)$  indeed agrees with the monodromy (4) computed from the  $SU(n)$  curve. This completes our check that the monodromies of the curve (2) agree with all the monodromies of the  $SU(n)$  theory at weak coupling.

Metric on moduli space and dyon spectrum.-The identification of the metric and spectrum —that is to say,  $a_i$  and  $a'_b$  as functions of the moduli  $s_\alpha$  –closely parallels the discussion of Ref. [I]. Choosing <sup>a</sup> basis of cycles  $(\gamma_D^i, \gamma_i)$  of the SU(*n*) curve with the canonical intersection form  $(\gamma_D^i, \gamma_i) = \delta_i^i$ , we identify  $a_i$  and  $a_D^i$  as sections of a flat  $Sp(2n - 2, \mathbb{Z})$  bundle over moduli space given by

$$
a_i = \oint_{\gamma_i} \lambda, \quad a_D^i = \oint_{\gamma_D^i} \lambda, \tag{5}
$$

where  $\lambda$  is some meromorphic one-form on the curve with no residues. There is a  $(2n - 2)$ -dimensional space of such forms spanned by the  $n - 1$  holomorphic one-forms  $A_i = (x^{i-1}/y) dx$ , and the  $n-1$  meromorphic one-forms  $x^n \lambda_i$ . The one-form  $\lambda$  defining our solution can be written as a linear combination of these basis one-forms (with coefficients that can depend on the  $s_\alpha$  and  $\Lambda_n$ ) up to a possible total derivative.

Since the period matrix of the Riemann surface defined by the  $SU(n)$  curve has a positive definite imaginary part, transforms in the same way as  $\tau^{ij}$  under Sp(2n – 2, Z), and has the same monodromies as  $\tau^{ij}$  does, it follows that they should be identified. Since the period matrix and the couplings are defined by  $\tau^{ij}(\phi_{\gamma_i}\lambda_\alpha) = \phi_{\gamma'_i}\lambda_\alpha$  and  $\tau^{ij}(\partial a_j/\partial s_\alpha) = (\partial a^i_D/\partial s_\alpha)$ , respectively, it follows that  $\partial a_i/\partial s_\alpha = \oint_{\gamma_i} \lambda_\alpha$  and  $\partial a'_D/\partial s_\alpha = \oint_{\gamma_D} \lambda_\alpha$  for some basis  $\lambda_{\alpha}$  of holomorphic one-forms. Equation (5) and these identifications imply a set of differential equations for  $\lambda$ , which can be solved to find  $[8]$ 

$$
\lambda \propto \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^n (n-\alpha) s_\alpha x^{n-\alpha}\right) \frac{dx}{y},\tag{6}
$$

since  $\partial \lambda/\partial s_{\alpha} = -x^{n-\alpha} (dx)/y + d(x^{n+1-\alpha}/y)$ . The overall constant normalization of  $\lambda$  can be determined only by making a choice of basis cycles and matching to perturbation theory.

Strong coupling monodromies.—The singularities of the curve (2) occur where a pair or more of the branch points coincide, and correspond to a dyon in the spectrum becoming massless. Near these points in moduli space the low-energy U(1) that couples to the massless dyon flows to zero coupling. Thus, there will be a dual description of the physics near the singularities which is weakly coupled, and so can be used to check these limits of the curve (2) as well.

Consider the case where  $m$  dyons become massless at a point P in  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . The low-energy theory is by definition local, so all *m* massless dyons must be mutually local, implying their charge vectors  $\mathbf{n}^a$  are symplectically orthogonal:  $\ln^a \cdot I \cdot n^b = 0$  for all  $a, b = 1, ..., m$ , where I is the symplectic form  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . This can only be satisfied for

 $m \leq n - 1$  linearly independent vectors since there exists a symplectic transformation to dual fields where each dyon is described as an electron charged with respect to only one dual low-energy  $U(1)$ . In this dual description  $m$  independent electrons are becoming massless near  $P$ . These *m* electrons are massless along intersecting hypersurfaces given by the vanishing of  $m$  dual scalar VEVs:  $\tilde{a}_a = 0.$ 

Along these hypersurfaces the effective action is singular, leading to nontrivial monodromies for paths looping around them. The one-loop effective couplings near P are  $\tilde{\tau}^{ij} = (-i/2\pi)\delta^{ij}(\tilde{n}_e^i)^2 \ln(\tilde{n}_e^i a_i)$ , where  $\tilde{n}_e^i$  denotes the charge of the *i*th electron, implying the monodromy  $M_i$ around the  $\tilde{a}_i = 0$  hypersurface to be

$$
\mathbf{M}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & (\tilde{n}_e^i)^2 \mathbf{e}_{ii} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix},\tag{7}
$$

where  $e_{ii}$  is a matrix of zeros except for a 1 in the *i*th position along the diagonal. A strong coupling test of the curve (2) is that its monodromies around intersecting singular submanifolds all be conjugate to the above  $M_i$ monodromies corresponding to mutually local dyons.

The singular submanifolds of the  $SU(3)$  curve are given by the vanishing of the discriminant  $\Delta$  of the polynomial (2) defining the curve. Possible intersection points of the singular submanifold  $\Delta = 0$  are at its singular points. There are five such points: the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ -symmetric triplet of points  $4s_2^3 = -27\Lambda^6$  and  $s_3 = 0$ , and the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  double  $s_2 = 0$  and  $s_3^2 = \Lambda^6$ . The triplet corresponds to true intersection points. At the  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  points, however,  $\Delta = 0$ describes a branch point of a single submanifold, instead of the intersection point of two submanifolds.

We compute the monodromies around the intersecting singular submanifolds at a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  point by first expanding the SU(3) curve in local coordinates around one such point, where it is found that two pairs of branch points coincide. Choose a basis of  $\gamma_i$  cycles to encircle each pair of branch points, and the  $\gamma_D^i$ 's in the canonical way. The resulting monodromies computed by dragging and deforming contours are then found to be of the form (7) with  $\tilde{n}_e^1 = \tilde{n}_e^2 = 1$ . This confirms that there are indeed two different mutually local dyons becoming massless along the two intersecting submanifolds at the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  points. Furthermore, their charges are consistent with the semiclassically stable dyon charges in the SU(2) limit. This suggests that, as in the SU(2) case, the spectrum of stable dyon charges remains the semiclassical one all the way down to these strong-coupling singularities.

As a final check of the SU(3) curve, we note that the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ intersection points imply the known  $N = 1$  SU(3) vacuum structure. Following Ref. [1], add to the microscopic  $N = 2$  theory a mass term for the  $N = 1$  chiral superfield  $\Phi$  which breaks  $N = 2$  to  $N = 1$ . Going to the dual (weakly coupled) description of the physics near a point in the moduli space of the  $SU(n)$  theory where  $n - 1$ dyons are massless, and minimizing the nonperturbative [9] effective superpotential shows that the  $N = 2$  flat directions are lifted and only the point where all  $n - 1$ dyons are massless remains an  $N = 1$  vacuum. The three  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  singularity intersection points of the SU(3) curve found above are just such points, and happily they correspond to the three  $N = 1$  SU(3) vacua related by a spontaneously broken  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Finding the strong coupling singularities for the  $SU(n)$  curve becomes increasingly difficult for higher n.

It is a pleasure to thank P. Berglund, R. Dick, J. March-Russell, E. Witten, and, especially, R. Plesser, N. Seiberg, and A. Shapere for many helpful discussions and comments. The work of P.C.A. is supported by NSF Grant No. PHY92-45317 and by the Ambrose Monell Foundation. The work of A.E.F. is supported by DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-90ER40542.

Note added.—Related results for the SU(3) theory appeared [10] after this work was completed.

- \*Electronic address: argyres@guinness. ias. edu
- $E$ lectronic address: faraggi@sns.ias.edu
- [1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B426**, 19 (1994).
- [2] C. Montonen and D. Olive, Phys. Lett. 72B, 117 (1977).
- [3] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. B 206, 75 (1988).
- [4] J. Cardy and E. Rabinovici, Nucl. Phys. **B205**, 1 (1982); J. Cardy, ibid. **B205**, 17 (1982).
- [5] E. Witten and D. Olive, Phys. Lett. **78B**, 97 (1978).
- [6] See, for example, H. M. Farkas and I. Kra, Riemann Surfaces (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980).
- [7] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, Nucl Phys. B431, 484 (1994).
- [8] R. Plesser (private communication).
- [9] N. Seiberg, Phys. Lett. 31SB, 469 (1993).
- [10] A. Klemm, W. Lerche, S. Theisen, and S. Yankielowicz, Phys. Lett. B 344, 169 (1995).