

### Comment on "Method of Constrained Global Optimization"

In a recent Letter [1] Altschuler *et al.*, describe an optimization algorithm and illustrate its implementation with several examples, including the problem of finding electrostatic minimum energy configuration for  $N$  unit point charges constrained to lie on the surface of a sphere with unit radius. In the range  $2 \leq N \leq 65$  their results agree with those found previously [2]. They also present new results "... (which) we believe to be the minimum energies for  $66 \leq N \leq 100$ ..." However, for the particular values  $N = 69, 86,$  and  $87$  we have identified configurations with lower energies. Specifically, if  $E_1(N)$  and  $E_2(N)$  denote the lowest and next-to-lowest energy values of locally stable states found by computer searches, then

| $N$ | $E_1(N)$        | $E_2(N)$        |
|-----|-----------------|-----------------|
| 69  | 2064.533 483 23 | 2064.536 066 23 |
| 86  | 3258.211 605 71 | 3258.213 663 08 |
| 87  | 3337.000 750 02 | 3337.002 642.99 |

Comparing these results with the corresponding entries in Table I of Ref. [1], it is evident that their algorithm has converged to  $E_2$  rather than  $E_1$ . In the absence of rigorous analytical bounds we cannot exclude the existence of states with even lower energies.

Computer trials indicate that in the range  $70 \leq N \leq 112$ , the number of distinct configurations associated with each value of  $N$  grows exponentially, i.e.,  $M(N) \approx 0.382 \times \exp\{0.0497N\}$ . If this trend is sustained for larger values of  $N$ , identifying global minima among a large set of nearly degenerate states for complex systems of this type will pose formidable technical challenges.

T. Erber

Department of Physics and  
Department of Mathematics  
Illinois Institute of Technology  
Chicago, Illinois 60616

G. M. Hockney

Theoretical Physics Department  
Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory  
P.O. Box 500  
Batavia, Illinois 60510

Received 10 June 1994

PACS numbers: 02.60.Pn, 02.70.Lq, 41.20.Cv

- [1] E. L. Altschuler, T. J. Williams, E. R. Ratner, F. Dowla, and F. Wooten, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **72**, 2671–2674 (1994).  
[2] T. Erber and G. M. Hockney, *J. Phys. A* **24**, L1369–L1377 (1991).