Impurities and Quasi-One-Dimensional Transport in a d-Wave Superconductor

A. V. Balatsky, λ^1 * A. Rosengren, ² and B. L. Altshule

¹Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545

² Superconductivity Technology Center, Los Alamos National Laboratory, New Mexico 87545

and Department of Theoretical Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, S-10044, Stockholm, Sweden[†]

 3 Department of Physics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

(Received ¹ November 1993)

Impurity scattering in the unitary limit produces low-energy quasiparticles with an anisotropic spectrum in a two-dimensional d-wave superconductor. We describe a new quasi-one-dimensional limit of the quasiparticle scattering, which might occur in a superconductor with short coherence length and with *finite* impurity potential range. The dc conductivity in a d -wave superconductor is predicted to be proportional to the normal state scattering time and is impurity dependent. The quasi-one-dimensional regime will occur in high- T_c superconductors above critical impurity concentration. We argue that the impurities produce weak orthogonal localization of the quasiparticles.

PACS numbers: 74.25.Fy, 71.55.Jv, 74.20.Mn

The symmetry of the pairing state in high- T_c superconductors was addressed in some recent experiments on the T^3 dependence of NMR [1], the phase shift by π . in the flux dependence of the Josephson current $[2]$, the linear temperature dependence of the penetration depth $\lambda(T)$ in single crystals [3], and the strong anisotropy of the energy gap in angular resolved photoemission [4]. All of them support $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry of the gap. Theoretically the d -wave pairing state is predicted in spin-fluctuation exchange models [5,6] as well as in models with strong correlations [7].

It is well known that scalar impurities are pair breakers in d-wave and any other nontrivial pairing state superconductor [8—10]. They produce a finite lifetime of the quasiparticles in the nodes of the gap, a finite density of states at low energy, and a *finite* low frequency conductivity at low temperatures, ignoring localization effects. For the special case of a two-dimensional superconductor with a d-wave gap, a straightforward calculation yields the surprising result that the dc conductivity $\sigma(\omega \rightarrow 0)$ is a "universal" number [10], independent of the lifetime of the quasiparticle (but dependent on the anisotropy ratio of the velocities of the quasiparticle in the node of the gap) [11]. However, experiments on microwave absorption in Y-Ba-Cu-0 (YBCO) crystals with Zn impurities $[12]$ show a *linear* temperature dependence of the conductivity and an *impurity-dependent* low-temperature conductivity. On the other hand, the measured conductivity [12] appears to be sample dependent and is about an order of magnitude higher than the predicted value [10]. One has to wait for settled experimental results to compare them with theoretical predictions [13].

The purpose of this Letter is to address the role of strongly scattering disorder with finite potential range on the dc conductivity at low temperatures in a short coherence length superconductor. (i) We will show that there is a new quasi-one-dimensional regime for the dc

conductivity in superconductors with a short coherence length ξ , comparable to the range of the impurity potential λ . The quasiparticle contribution to the dc conductivity is governed by the self-energy $\Sigma(\omega \rightarrow 0) = -i\gamma$ and by the phase space available for low-energy quasiparticles. The quasiparticle dispersion is strongly anisotropic in the vicinity of the nodes in a d -wave superconductor that has $E_k = \sqrt{v_1^2 k_1^2 + v_F^2 k_3^2}$ and $v_1/v_F \sim \Delta_0/\epsilon_F$ (see Fig. 1). We find that the overall contribution to the conductivity depends on the ratio of the energy of the quasiparticle to the scattering rate $v_1 \lambda^{-1}/\gamma = \Delta_0/\gamma(p_F \lambda)^{-1}$, and we get

FIG. 1. Graphical presentation of the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ state, where $\Delta(k) = \Delta_0(\cos k_x a - \cos k_y a)$. For clarity the gap function is only drawn in the neighborhood of one node \vec{k}_0 . For calculational purposes a coordinate system (k_1, k_3) with the origin at k_0 is used instead of (k_x, k_y) with the origin at the center of the Brillouin zone Γ . They are related by $k_1 = (k_x - k_y)/\sqrt{2}$, $k_3 =$ $(k_x + k_y)/\sqrt{2} - |k|$. The FS denotes the Fermi surface of the tight-binding band $\xi(k) = -t(\cos k_x a + \cos k_y a) - \mu$. After linearization around k_0 we find $\Delta(k) = v_1 k_1$, $\xi(k) = v_F k_3$, where $v_1 = -\sqrt{2}\Delta_0 a \sin(k_{0x} a)$ and $v_F = -\sqrt{2}ta \sin(k_{0x} a)$. The momentum sums performed will be cut off at $|k| = 2/\lambda$, where λ is the range of the impurity potential.

at $T = 0$

$$
\sigma(\omega \to 0) = \frac{e^2}{2\pi\hbar} \frac{2}{\pi^2} \frac{v_F}{v_1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\gamma}{2v_1\lambda^{-1}} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}.
$$
 (1)
For $v_1\lambda^{-1}/\gamma \le 1$ the quasiparticle dynamics is essentially

quasi-one-dimensional and the conductivity depends on the impurity concentration. Our model predicts that the dc conductivity at low temperature should be proportional to the scattering time in the normal state and is smaller than the "universal" limit [10] by a factor $v_1 \lambda^{-1} / \gamma \leq 1$. This limit might occur in high- T_c superconductors, for which we estimate $\lambda/a \sim 1-3$ and $\Delta_0/\epsilon_F \sim 10^{-1}$. In the limit $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ Eq. (1) gives the universal dc conductivity, found in [10]. (ii) We argue that the origin of the strong potential scattering due to impurities in the high- T_c superconductors is the highly correlated antiferromagnetic nature of the normal state. The range of the impurity potential might be of the order of ξ_{AFM} and thus comparable to the superconducting coherence length ξ . Under these assumptions retaining a *finite* range of the impurity potential is required. (iii) We also discuss the localization of quasiparticles close to the nodes in a d -wave superconductor with scalar impurities. Scalar disorder leads to a weak orthogonal localization of quasiparticle states [10,11]. All of the results, presented here, are valid for any superconductor with nodes in 2D with a Dirac spectrum of quasiparticles.

Consider scalar impurities that give rise to the randomly distributed strong scatterers in 2D with a finite range λ : $\langle U(\mathbf{r})U(0)\rangle = (\eta/\lambda^2\pi) \exp(-r^2/\lambda^2) \frac{\lambda^2}{\lambda^2} \eta \delta(\mathbf{r})$ and dispersion n . The assumption of strong potential scattering off the impurity sites is well accepted for heavy fermion systems, where the Kondo effect plays an important role and thus any scalar impurity might produce the "Kondo hole" with s-wave scattering phase shift δ_0 close to $\pi/2$. The same assumption for the high-T_c superconductors seems to be justified by the experiments on Zn impurities in YBCO, which produce gapless superconductivity at 3% doping level and strongly change the NMR linewidth of ${}^{63}Cu$ [14]. A possible model describing the Zn impurities in high- T_c was proposed recently [15]. We therefore assume that scalar impurities are strong scatterers in these superconductors.

The second assumption of a *finite* range of the impurity potential is motivated by the observation that the high- T_c superconductors have a substantial antiferromagnetic coherence length $\xi_{\text{AFM}} \sim 3a$ at the transition temperature. Thus a scalar impurity will produce distortions in the magnetic correlations on the range of the ξ_{AFM} . On the other hand, the superconducting coherence length $\xi \sim 20$ Å is comparable to this scale, and thus the range of the potential is finite on the scale relevant for superconductivity. This point should be contrasted to the case of heavy-fermion superconductors, where the coherence length is $\sim 10^2$ Å, and, therefore, any potential impurity will have its range substantially shorter than the

coherence length. In that case it is reasonable to use instead the assumption that the impurity has effectively zero range. We will retain λ finite below. As we will show, this leads to the new parameter $v_1 \lambda^{-1} / \gamma$ and a dc conductivity that is dependent on this parameter.

The Bogoliubov Hamiltonian for quasiparticles in a d wave 2D superconductor is

$$
H = \int d\mathbf{r} \, \Psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{r}) \left[\xi(\mathbf{r}) \tau_3 + \Delta(\mathbf{r}) \tau_1 + U(\mathbf{r}) \tau_3 \right] \Psi(\mathbf{r}), \tag{2}
$$

where $\Psi = (c_1, c_1^{\dagger})$ is the Nambu spinor, τ_i are the Pauli matrices, $\xi(k) = -t(\cos k_x a + \cos k_y a) - \mu$ is the energy, counted from the Fermi surface, $\Delta(k) = \Delta_0(\cos k_x a - \cos k_y a)$ is the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ energy gap, and $U(r)$ is the impurity potential. For the lowenergy states, we linearize the Hamiltonian in the vicinity of the node close to the $(\pi/2, \pi/2)$ point. We find $\Delta(k) = v_1k_1, \xi(k) = v_Fk_3$ in the new coordinates, defined in Fig. ¹ [10]. The resulting Dirac-like Hamiltonian takes the form

$$
H = \int d\mathbf{r} \, \Psi^{\dagger} \big[v_F \hat{k}_3 + U(r) \big] \tau_3 + v_1 \hat{k}_1 \tau_1 \Psi. \tag{3}
$$

The self-consistent Green functions are given by

$$
G = [i\tilde{\omega}_n + \xi(\mathbf{k})]/D, \quad F = -\Delta(\mathbf{k})/D, \qquad (4)
$$

with $D = \tilde{\omega}_n^2 + \xi^2(k) + \Delta^2(k)$, $i\tilde{\omega}_n = i\omega_n - \Sigma(i\omega_n)$, and we ignore the self-energy contribution to the anomalous Green function from impurity scattering, a contribution that vanishes upon angular integration [16]. For strong potential scattering impurities the normal self-energy is given by [17,18]

$$
\Sigma(i\omega_n) = \Gamma g_0(i\omega_n) / [c^2 - g_0^2(i\omega_n)], \qquad (5)
$$

where $\Gamma = n_i / \pi N_0$ is the scattering rate in the normal phase, $c = \cot \delta_0$, with momentum-dependent phase shift $\delta_0(\mathbf{q}) = \pi/2$, $|\mathbf{q}| < 2\lambda^{-1}$, $g_0(i\omega_n) = 4(\pi N_0)^{-1} \times$ $\sum_{k} G(k, i\omega_n)$. The factor of 4 in front of the last sum reflects the number of nodes in the gap, n_i is the impurity concentration, and N_0 is the density of states at the Fermi surface. The prime in \sum' stands for the momentus sum up to the cutoff $|k| < 2\lambda^{-1}$, which is implemented as a "hard" cutoff. This momentum cutoff follows immediately from the derivation of the self-energy with a finite range of the potential; see, for example, [18]. We find from the solution of the Dyson equation that $\Sigma(i\omega_n)$ is also momentum dependent with characteristic range λ^{-1} , which will be taken into account in the conductivity calculation.

The Born scattering limit is recovered from Eq. (5) for $c^2 \gg g_0(i\omega_n)$. We are interested in the case of unitary scattering, for which we take the s-wave phase shift $\delta_0 = \pi/2$, $c = 0$. In this limit the solution of the Eq. (5) for $\Sigma(\omega \to 0) = -i\gamma$ is

$$
\gamma^2 = \frac{\Gamma \pi N_0}{4} \left[\sum_{k}^{\prime} (\gamma^2 + (v_1 k_1)^2 + (v_F k_3)^2)^{-1} \right]^{-1}.
$$
 (6)

The sum over momentum in this equation is logarithmically divergent at the upper limit, which is taken as min(λ^{-1} , Δ_0/v_1) for the k_1 integral; the k_3 integral is al-
ways cut off by Δ_0/v_F , since $v_F\lambda^{-1} \sim \epsilon_F \gg \gamma$, Δ_0 . We find for $I = \sum_{k}^{I} [\gamma^2 + (v_1k_1)^2 + (v_Fk_3)^2]^{-1}$

$$
I = \ln(b + \sqrt{1 + b^2})/2\pi v_1 v_F, \qquad (7)
$$

where $b = \min(2v_1\lambda^{-1}, \Delta_0)/\gamma$. *I* has two asymptotics:

(1) $I = (1/2\pi v_1 v_F)[\ln(\Delta_0/\gamma) + O(\gamma/\Delta_0)],$ for $v_1 \lambda^{-1} / \gamma \gg 1$. This limit corresponds to isotropic strong scattering with no momentum cutoff as $\lambda^{-1} \longrightarrow \infty$. It has been investigated previously [10,18,19]. The impurity self-energy is shown to be $\tilde{\gamma} = \Delta_0 \sqrt{\pi \Gamma/\Delta_0 \ln(\Delta_0/\Gamma)}$ We will not further discuss this case.

(2) $I = \lambda^{-1}/(\pi v_F) \gamma + (1/2\pi v_1 v_F)O((2v_1 \lambda^{-1}/\gamma)^3),$ for $v_1 \lambda^{-1} / \gamma \leq 1$. In this case the lifetime is given by

$$
\gamma = \frac{\Gamma \pi}{8} p_F \lambda \,. \tag{8}
$$

To check the self-consistency of the assumption $v_1 \lambda^{-1} / \gamma \le 1$ we use Eq. (8) to get $\Gamma(p_F \lambda)^2 \ge 8/\pi \Delta_0 \sim 8T_c$. For an estimated $\lambda \sim 2a$ the condition for the quasi-one-dimensional regime of quasiparticle scattering is

$$
\Gamma \ge 8 \times 10^{-2} \Delta_0 \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-1} T_c \approx 20 \text{ K}.
$$
 (9)

This estimate is to be compared to the typical scattering rate in clean samples of YBCO $\Gamma/T_c \sim 5 \times 10^{-2}$. Furthermore, in the impure samples with *quadratic* temperature dependence of the penetration depth the estimates are $\Gamma/T_c \sim 0.1-1$ [20]. At low temperatures $T < \gamma$ with *invers* $\gamma(p_F \lambda) \ge \Delta_0 \ge \gamma$ the superconductor is in the *quasi-one-* state dimensional regime and remains relatively clean for, say, $p_F \lambda \sim 6.$

The applicability of the quasi-one-dimensional vs the isotropic regime is governed by the ratio of the respectiv scattering rates $\gamma/\tilde{\gamma} \sim \sqrt{\Gamma/\Delta_0} p_F \lambda \approx n_{\rm imp}^{1/2}$. We conclude that the pure and the lightly doped d -wave superconductor with small n_{imp} is always in the *isotropic* scattering regime. The concentration of impurities required to produce *quasi-one-dimensional* scattering depends on Δ_0 and the range of the potential λ : for Zn doped YBCO $n_{\text{imp}} \sim$ 5% and for impure $La_{1.86}Sr_{0.14}Cu_2O_4$ $n_{\text{imp}} \sim 0.16\%$ are required [21].

To explain this effective change of dimensionality we note that the transverse momentum in the sum in case
(2) is limited by $k_1 < 2/\lambda$ and that the quasiparticle dispersion on such a small scale is irrelevant, compared to γ . The transverse scattering does not contribute effectively to the conductivity; that is why we call this case a quasi-one-dimensional limit. The existence of this limit is the result of the *finite* impurity range λ [22]. In this limit the scattering rate in the superconducting state is of the same order as the normal state scattering rate $\gamma \sim 2\Gamma \sim 40$ K for $p_F \lambda \sim 6$. The finite density of states $N(\omega \rightarrow 0)/N_0 = \Gamma/\Delta_0 \sim n_{\text{imp}}$, linear in the impurity concentration, is generated in case (2) as well.

We now turn to quasiparticle conductivity. We shall use the lowest order bubble diagram with self-consistent Green functions with no vertex corrections [10]. Taking into account the vertex corrections, which are small for dominant s-wave scattering, will change the numerical factor in the expression for conductivity. However, our main result, i.e., the functional dependence on the scattering rate and impurity concentration, will remain

unchanged. For dc conductivity we get
\n
$$
\sigma(\omega \to 0) = \frac{e^2}{\hbar} \frac{4v_F^2}{\pi^2} \sum_{k}^{\prime} \int d\epsilon \left[-\partial_{\epsilon} n(\epsilon) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[|G''(\mathbf{k}, \epsilon)|^2 + |F''(\mathbf{k}, \epsilon)|^2 \right], \quad (10)
$$

where, linearizing the quasiparticle spectrum in the vicinity of the nodes (see Fig. 1), $G''(k, \omega = 0) = \gamma/[\gamma^2 +$ $(v_1k_1)^2 + (v_Fk_3)^2$, $F''(k, \omega = 0) = 0$. The momentum integral in Eq. (10) yields the final formula Eq. (1) for $T = 0$ with $O(T^2)$ corrections. This formula holds for any model of disorder as long as $v_1 \lambda^{-1}/\gamma \lesssim 1$.

For the particular case of disorder considered in Eq. (8), the conductivity is

$$
\sigma(\omega \to 0) = \frac{e^2}{\pi \hbar} \frac{16}{\pi^3} \frac{\hbar}{m \lambda^2 \Gamma}.
$$
 (11)

It is smaller than the normal state conductivity $\sigma(\omega \to 0)_{\text{normal}} = (e^2/\pi\hbar)\epsilon_F/\Gamma$ due to the small factor $\hbar/m\lambda^2 \epsilon_F \le 1$ with $\lambda > a$. The conductivity is also impurity dependent, $\sigma \sim \Gamma^{-1} \sim n_{\rm imp}^{-1}$. This model predict that the dc conductivity at low temperatures should be inversely proportional to the scattering rate in the normal state and to the impurity concentration [23].

Within this model the temperature dependence of $\sigma \sim$ T at low temperatures remains unexplained, since we obtain $T²$ corrections at low enough temperature (see also [19]). If it is an intrinsic effect, it indicates an important physical effect, missing in this simple model.

We shall comment on quasiparticle localization in the d -wave superconductor (see also [10]). Originally the problem of weak localization of quasiparticles was considered for s-wave superconductivity [24].

Here we will show that the linearized Dirac-Bogoliubov equation in the presence of the impurity potential preserves time reversal (\hat{T}) symmetry, and thus the weak localization in this state belongs to the orthogonal universality class [10,11]. The linearized Bogoliubov equation (3) takes the form of a Dirac equation with the scalar impurity potential $U(r)$ playing the role of the gauge potential. Although it appears that this Hamiltonian violates T , this is not the case. Time reversal for electron operators is defined as $\hat{T}c_{\alpha} = \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}c_{\beta}^{\dagger}$, α , $\beta = \uparrow \downarrow$, where $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ is the

antisymmetric tensor. Straightforward calculation yields for time inversion of the Nambu spinor $\Psi = (c_1, c_1^{\mathsf{T}})$

 $\hat{T}\Psi = i\tau_2\Psi$, $\hat{T}\Psi^{\dagger} = \Psi^{\dagger}(-i)\tau_2$. (12) The Dirac-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian transforms under \hat{T} as follows: $H = \Psi^{\dagger} \{ [v_F \hat{k}_3 + U(r)] \tau_3 + v_1 \hat{k}_1 \tau_1 \} \times$ $\Psi \stackrel{\hat{T}}{\longrightarrow} \Psi^{\dagger}(-i\tau_2)\{[\nu_F\hat{k}_3 + U(r)]\tau_3 + \nu_1\hat{k}_1\tau_1\}(i\tau_2)\Psi$, and $H \xrightarrow{\hat{T}} (-1) H.$ (13)

Thus the Dirac-Bogoliubov Hamiltonian transforms under time reversal exactly as the energy, which changes sign under \hat{T} , and thus time reversal symmetry is preserved. This fact also follows from the observation that the original Bogoliubov Hamiltonian equation (2) for a d-wave superconductor preserves time reversal in the presence of scalar impurities. After linearization this symmetry should be preserved as well. Thus quasiparticle localization in the impure d -wave superconductor belongs to the orthogonal class of universality. Detailed analysis of this problem will be given elsewhere.

In conclusion, we find a new, *quasi-one-dimensional*, regime in the superconducting scattering rate γ and in the conductivity σ for strong impurity scattering. The highly anisotropic dispersion of the quasiparticle spectrum $v_1/v_F \sim \Delta_0/\epsilon_F$ and finite range of the impurity potential are crucial for this effect to take place. We argue that the finite range λ of the impurity potential is the result of the strong antiferromagnetic correlations $\xi_{\text{AFM}} \sim 3a$ in the normal phase. This effect might occur in any superconductors with a linear quasiparticle spectrum in the nodes of the gap. We considered $d_{x^2-y^2}$ symmetry of the gap and find that for a reasonable scattering rate in the normal phase $\Gamma/T_c > 0.2$, the *quasi*one-dimensional regime should occur at some critical impurity concentration where conductivity at low tem-
peratures $\sigma \sim \Gamma^{-1} \sim n_{\text{imp}}^{-1}$ is impurity *dependent* and is inversely proportional to the normal state scattering rate. We also show that even in the presence of scalar impurities, time reversal symmetry in the d -wave superconductor is preserved and argue that it leads to weak quasiparticle localization in the orthogonal universality class.

We are grateful to E. Abrahams, P. Lee, P. Littlewood, D. Pines, and D.J. Scalapino for discussions. This work was supported by a J.R. Oppenheimer fellowship (A.B.), by the Department of Energy, and by the Swedish Natural Science Research Council. Part of this work was done at Aspen Center for Physics, whose support is also acknowledged. A. R. would like to thank D.E. Peterson, J.L. Smith, and K.S. Bedell for their hospitality at the Los Alamos National Laboratory.

*Also at the Landau Institute for Theoretical Physics, Moscow, Russia.

- [†]Permanent address.
- [1] J.A. Martindale et al., Phys. Rev. B 47, 9155 (1993); M. Takigawa, J. Smith, and W. Hults, Phys. Rev. B 44, 7764 (1991).
- [2] D. A. Wollman et al., Urbana report, 1993 (to be published).
- [3] W. N. Hardy et al. (to be published).
- [4] Z. X. Shen et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 1553 (1993).
- [5] P. Monthoux et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 3448 (1991).
- [6] T. Moriya, Y. Takahashi, and K. Ueda, Physica (Amsterdam) 185-189C, 114 (1991); K. Ueda, T. Moriya, and Y. Takahashi, in Electronic Properties and Mechanisms of High- T_c Superconductors, edited by T. Oguchi, (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992), p. 145.
- [7) G. Kotliar and J. Liu, Phys. Rev. B 37, 5142 (1988); S.R. White, D.J. Scalapino, R. Sugar, N. E. Bickers, and R. Scalettar, Phys. Rev. B 39, 839 (1989).
- [8] K. Ueda and T.M. Rice, in Theory of Heavy Fermions and Valence Fluctuations, edited by T. Kasuya and T. Saso (Springer, Berlin, 1985), p. 267.
- [9] L.P. Gor'kov and P. A. Kalugin, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 41, 208 (1985) [JETP Lett., 41, 253 (1985)].
- [10] P. Lee, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1887, (1993).
- [11] For the semiconductor with Dirac quasiparticle spectrum this result was obtained previously: E. Fradkin, Phys. Rev. B 33, 3263 (1986).
- [12] D. Bonn et al. (to be published).
- [13] In the recent experiments on untwinned samples the residual conductivity was measured and is claimed to be close to the "universal" limit: S. Kamal et al., UBC report, 1994 (to be published).
- [14] R.E. Walstedt et al., (to be published).
- [15] P. Monthoux and D. Pines (to be published).
- [16] We assume that the gap function $\Delta(k)$ is not changed in the presence of impurities, except for the suppressed amplitude Δ_0 . Generally speaking we need to solve the complete Eliashberg equations to investigate the effect of the impurities on the superconducting state. One can show, however, that for impurities with only s-wave phase shift the solution of the Eliashberg equations gives the Green functions Eq. (4).
- [17] C. Pethick and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 118 (1986).
- [18] P.J. Hirschfeld, P. Wölfle, and D. Einzel, Phys. Rev. B 37, 83 (1988).
- [19] P.J. Hirschfeld, W.O. Putikka, and D.J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 71, 3705 (1993).
- [20] P.J. Hirschfeld and N. Goldenfeld, Phys. Rev. B 48, 4219 (1993).
- [21] A. V. Balatsky, P. Monthoux, and D. Pines, Phys. Rev. B 50, 582 (1994). Note that microwave experiments on YBCO are currently conducted at much lower Zn concentrations up to $\sim 0.3\%$ and thus these samples are in the isotropic scattering regime.
- [22] The fact that impurity scattering is unitary is crucial for this quasi-one-dimensional limit to occur. Indeed a simple estimate shows that for the Born limit with weak scattering $v_1 \lambda^{-1}/\gamma \gg 1$.
- [23] We consider here only elastic impurity scattering at low temperatures $T \ll T_c$. Inelastic spin fluctuations scattering, dominant in the normal state, is suppressed due to the superconducting gap. As a result of this suppression, the conductivity increases below the transition.
- [24] M. Ma and P. Lee, Phys. Rev. B 32, 5658 (1985).