## Superconducting-State Thermal Transport in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub>- $\delta$

The in-plane thermal conductivity  $\kappa = \kappa_e + \kappa_L$  ( $\kappa_e$  and  $\kappa_L$  are the electronic and lattice components, respectively) of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7- $\delta$ </sub> (YBCO) increases dramatically for temperatures  $T$  below the superconducting transition temperature  $T_c$  and displays a maximum at  $T \approx 40$  K [1-3]. Yu et al. [2] attributed this feature to an increase in  $\kappa_e$ . Here we point out inconsistencies in the authors' analysis and demonstrate that the enhancement is more plausibly associated with  $\kappa_L$ .

(1) The authors assume a phonon-phonon scattering rate  $\tau_u^{-1} \propto T$  throughout the range  $T > 15$  K. This form has its origin in the high-temperature approximation for the phonon population and implies the limit  $T > \Theta_D$  (experimentally  $T > \Theta_D/4$ ) [4]. For YBCO ( $\Theta_D \approx 400$  K) we should not anticipate the applicability of this form at temperatures much lower than 100 K. A realistic low-T form for  $\tau_u^{-1}$  should account for umklapp scattering; thus  $\tau_u^{-1} \propto \exp(-\Theta_D/bT)$ , where  $b \approx 1$  [4]. The assumption that  $\tau_u^{-1} \propto T$  for  $T > 15$  K is inappropriate.

(2) An increase in  $\kappa_e$  at  $T < T_c$  implies that  $\kappa_e$  is dominated by inelastic scattering. Elastic scattering leads to a decrease in  $\kappa_e$  for  $T < T_c$  [5,6]. The authors inconsistently assume  $\kappa_e$  is constant in the normal state according to the Wiedemann-Franz law implying elastic scattering of electrons [4]. An alternative method of estimating  $\kappa_e$  by simply subtracting  $\kappa$  measured in insulating YBCO from that of the superconductor is not justified given that the presence of electrons not only introduces additional scattering of phonons, but also alters the phonon spectrum.

The assumption by Yu et al. that  $\kappa_L$  is unaffected by the superconducting transition conflicts with calculations of  $\kappa_L$  for YBCO [3,7] using a BCS model for superconductivity [5,6]. Figure <sup>I</sup> compares the authors' estimate of  $\kappa_L$  with a curve we calculated using the model discussed in Ref. [7]. The scattering parameters employed in the fit [7,8] were adjusted so that  $\kappa_L$  agreed with the authors' estimate in the normal state and with their measurements for  $T < 20$  K where we anticipate  $\kappa \approx \kappa_L$ . These parameters indicate that roughly 50% of the lattice thermal resistivity near  $T_c$  arises from phonon-defect scattering with phonon-electron and phonon-phonon scattering accounting for 25% each—the enhancement in  $\kappa_L$ is substantial even when phonon-electron scattering does not predominate. We have made no assumptions regarding the mechanism for superconductivity; the only presumption is that there is some electron-phonon coupling relevant to transport. Our analysis is also free from the above-mentioned inconsistencies. These results clearly highlight the inadequacy of the assumption by Yu et al. that  $\kappa_L$  is unaffected by the superconducting transition.



FIG. 1. Thermal conductivities vs temperature. The subscript a refers to the a axis of YBCO. Solid line: calculated  $\kappa_L$ [7,8] with  $\Delta(0)/\Delta_{\text{BCS}}^{(0)}= 1.8$ .

 $=\kappa_a - \kappa_L$  implied by our calculation (Fig. 1) *decreases* or  $T < T_c$  with a local maximum near 45 K. This behavior is very different from that predicted by Yu et aI.

The authors suggest several weaknesses of a phononic interpretation; however, all such criticisms can be easily addressed [8]. Indeed, we find nothing in the body of experimental data on YBCO that is inconsistent with a phononic explanation of the  $\kappa$  enhancement.

J. L. Cohn,  $V. Z. Kresin, <sup>2</sup> M. E. Reeves<sup>3,4</sup>$ and S. A. Wolf<sup>3</sup> Physics Department, University of Miami Coral Gables, Florida 33124 2Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory Berkeley, California 94720 <sup>3</sup>Materials Physics Branch, Naval Research Laboratory Washington, DC 20375 4Physics Department, Catholic University of America Washington, DC 20064

Received 24 February 1993

PACS numbers: 74.25.Fy, 72.15.Eb, 72.15.Lh, 74.72.Bk

- [1] J. L. Cohn et al., Phys. Rev. B 45, 13 144 (1992).
- [2] R. C. Yu et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 1431 (1992).
- [3] C. Uher, in Physical Properties of High Temperature Superconductors, edited by D. M. Ginsberg (World Scientific, Teaneck, NJ, 1992), Vol. III.
- [4] R. Berman, Thermal Conduction in Solids (Oxford, New York, 1976).
- [5] B. T. Geilikman, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 34, 1042 (1958) [Sov. Phys. JETP 7, 721 (1958)]; B. T. Geilikman and V. Z. Kresin, Dokl. Fiz. Nauk. 3, 683 (1958) [Sov. Phys. Dokl. 3, 116 (1958)]; Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 36, 1947 (1959) [Sov. Phys. JETP 9, 1385 (1959)].
- [6]J. Bardeen, G. Rickayzen, and L. Tewordt, Phys. Rev. 113,982 (1959).
- [7] L. Tewordt and Th. Wolkhausen, Solid State Commun. 70, 839 (1989);75, 515 (1990).
- [8] J. L. Cohn et al. (to be published).

The corresponding electronic thermal conductivity  $\kappa_{e,a}$ 

0031-9007/93/71 (10)/1657 (1) \$06.00 1993 The American Physical Society