Band to Correlated Crossover in Alternating Hubbard and Pariser-Parr-Pople Chains: Nature of the Lowest Singlet Excitation of Conjugated Polymers

Z. G. Soos,¹ S. Ramasesha,^{1,2} and D. S. Galvão³

¹Department of Chemistry, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey 08544

²Solid State and Structural Chemistry Unit, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012, India

³Bell Communications Research, Red Bank, New Jersey 07701

and Departamento de Fisica Aplicada, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 13081 Campinas, Sao Paulo, Brazil

(Received 22 March 1993)

The evolution with increasing Coulomb correlations of a semiconductor to a magnetic insulator is related to an excited-state crossover in π -electron models for conjugated polymers. We associate strong fluorescence with a lowest singlet excitation S_1 that is dipole allowed, on the band side, while S_1 becomes two-photon allowed on the correlated side. S_1/S_2 crossovers in Hubbard, Pariser-Parr-Pople, or other chains with electron-hole symmetry and alternating transfer integral $t(1 \pm \delta)$ are based on exact results at $\delta = 0$ and 1, on molecular exciton theory at large δ , and on oligomer calculations up to twelve sites.

PACS numbers: 71.20.Hk, 31.20.Pv, 31.20.Tz, 71.45.Gm

The electroluminescence of poly-p-phenylenevinylene (PPV) and its derivatives has recently led to novel and reliable light-emitting diodes [1]. PPV fluorescence contrasts sharply with the weak or extrinsic emissions of conjugated polymers such as polyacetyl (PA) or various polydiacetylenes (PDAs). Kasha's rule links molecular fluorescence to their lowest singlet, S_1 , but fluorescence from extended systems raises many questions and requires S_1 to be dipole allowed [2]. We consider in this Letter the general nature of S_1 in polymers with alternating transfer integrals $t(1 \pm \delta)$ along the backbone and various electron-electron (e-e) correlations. We show S_1 to be two-photon allowed for small δ or strong correlations, as illustrated by the $2A_{g}$ state of finite polyenes. Increasing δ or decreasing correlations leads to an excited-state crossover and S_1 becomes dipole allowed, the $1B_{\mu}$ state of polyenes. The excited-state crossover is a general phenomenon in the evolution of band to correlated states.

The Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) model [3] describes solitons and polarons in *trans*-PA, with a degenerate ground state, in terms of electron-phonon (e-ph) coupling in a Hückel or tight-binding chain with $t(1 \pm \delta)$ for partial double and single bonds. Brazovskii and Kirova [4] extended the SSH model to polymers with nondegenerate ground states, such as PDA or PPV, with chemically fixed single and double bonds. Bipolarons then describe photo- or dopant-induced excitations. The SSH model provides an attractively simple and general framework for electronic excitations without explicit consideration of e - einteractions. As best seen in PDA single crystals, however, the lowest optical absorption is a singlet exciton and fundamentally requires e - e correlations. But correlated models [5,6] are far less tractable in general.

Our discussion of S_1 is general within the framework of π -electron theories that encompass Hückel, Hubbard, and Pariser-Parr-Pople (PPP) models of conjugated polymers [5,6]. Both alternation and *e*-*e* correlations are essential: There is no S_1/S_2 crossover in the band limit or in interacting regular ($\delta = 0$) chains. Indeed, exact solution of Hubbard chains shows [7] S_1 to be a gapless spin wave, while any U > 0 produces a finite gap [8] $E_g(0,U)$ to the lowest-dipole allowed singlet. Both $E_g(\delta,U)$ and the lowest two-photon excitation $E_a(\delta,U)$ are finite for alternating Hubbard chains, but neither is known exactly and their ordering depends on δ and U.

In the Hückel or SSH limit, the polymer is a semiconductor with an optical gap $E_g = 4t\delta$ between the filled valence and empty conduction band. In contrast to metal-insulator (Mott) transitions due to increasing *e*-*e* correlations or decreasing bandwidth 4*t*, we have a smooth crossover from band states to a magnetic insulator with $E_g \sim U$ and spin-wave excitations $\sim t^2/U$. Any proposed boundary must reflect other considerations, such as the S_1/S_2 crossover associated with the onset of strong fluorescence. A related but more convenient choice for the crossover is based on the lowest triplet at $E_t(\delta, U)$,

$$E_t(\delta, U) = E_g(\delta, U) , \qquad (1)$$

with $2E_t < E_g$ on the correlated side. The crossover (1) defines curves $\delta_c(U)$ or $U_c(\delta)$ for either Hubbard or PPP models. Since two triplets can always be combined to form a singlet, we have $E_a(\delta, U) \le 2E_t(\delta, U)$ in infinite chains where repulsive interactions can be relieved by separating the triplets.

Electron-hole (e-h) or charge-conjugation symmetry [9] J holds for quantum cell models with interacting fermions in a half-filled band and hopping among sites on different sublattices. Both E_t and E_a describe excitations with the same J as the ground state, taken as J=1, while E_g has J=-1. E_t and E_g are convenient theoretically because they are the lowest excitations with J=1 and -1, respectively. They also describe spin-charge separation with increasing e - e correlations about the band gap $4t\delta$. The inequalities,

$$0 \le E_t(\delta, U) \le 4t\delta \le E_g(\delta, U), \qquad (2)$$

encompass both band (U=0) results for arbitrary δ and

1609

regular ($\delta = 0$) or fully dimerized ($\delta = 1$) chains for arbitrary U. The simple $\delta = 1$ limit is shown to clarify the nature of S_1 down to the $\delta \sim 0.1$ regime of conjugated polymers.

To analyze the band to correlated crossover of alternating chains, we consider 2N electrons on 2N sites with $t \pm = t(1 \pm \delta)$, on-site repulsion U > 0, and arbitrary spin-independent *e-e* interactions $V_{pp'}$ between sites p and p'. Both total spin S and *e-h* symmetry J are conserved. The alternating Hubbard chain $H = H_+ + H_-$ is partitioned into N dimers at sites 2p, 2p-1 and electron transfer between dimers,

$$H_{+} = -t_{+}\sum_{p\sigma} (a_{2p\sigma}^{+}a_{2p-1\sigma} + a_{2p-1\sigma}^{+}a_{2p\sigma}) + U\sum_{p} a_{p\alpha}^{+}a_{p\beta}^{+}a_{p\beta}a_{p\alpha}, \qquad (3)$$
$$H_{-} = -t_{-}\sum_{p\sigma} (a_{2p\sigma}^{+}a_{2+1\sigma} + a_{2p+1\sigma}^{+}a_{2p\sigma}).$$

The solution of H_+ is elementary. Each dimer has a triplet excitation $|T_p\rangle$ with parallel spins on sites 2p and 2p-1,

$$\epsilon_t(\delta, U) = [(U^2 + 16t_+^2)^{1/2} - U]/2.$$
(4)

The dipole-allowed singlet, $|D_p^*\rangle$, at $\epsilon_t + U$ is the odd linear combination of both electrons on the same sites; $|2a\rangle$ is a J=1 state at $2\epsilon_t + U$. The dimer's ground state $|D_p\rangle$ is a covalent (Heitler-London) singlet for $U \gg t_+$, with one electron on each site, while $|2a\rangle$ is ionic and has both electrons on the same site. Their mixing at finite t_+ is given by

$$\tan 2\phi = 4t + U. \tag{5}$$

The optical gap of H_+ is not associated with $|D^*\rangle$, but rather with charge transfer (CT) between dimers [10],

$$\epsilon_{\rm CT}(\delta, U) = 2\epsilon_t(\delta, U) + U - 2t + . \tag{6}$$

The delocalization energy of the electron in D^{-} and hole in D^{+} is the t_{+} stabilization in (6).

The H_+ results are exact at $\delta = 1$ and lead to the $E_t(1,U)$ and $E_g(1,U)$ curves in Fig. 1, together with the $\delta = 0$ thresholds. The singlets differ by a ln2 factor in the t^2/U term and the triplets also coincide asymptotically as t^2/U . Exact $\delta = 1$ and 0 results are upper and lower bounds, respectively, for $E_t(\delta,U)$ and $E_g(\delta,U)$ with intermediate δ . The inequalities (2) are also satisfied in Fig. 1 by finite chains and rings with 2N = 4n + 2. The Jahn-Teller degeneracy of 4n-site Hückel rings at $\delta = 0$ accounts for the small increase of $E_t(\delta,U)$ at $\delta = 0.10$ and 2N = 12 in Fig. 1, where large $\delta = 0.50$ leads to decreasing E_t . The largest finite-size effects occur at $\delta = 0$, but exact results [7,8] then hold for the inequalities (2).

The crossover $2\epsilon_t = \epsilon_{CT}$ for decoupled dimers H_+ occurs at $U_0 = 2t_+$. For $\delta \sim 1$, the perturbation H_- lifts the excited-state degeneracies of H_+ . The N-fold degeneracy of $|T_p\rangle$ has a quadratic, t^2/ϵ_{CT} splitting since vir-



FIG. 1. Lowest triplet (dashed lines) and dipole-allowed singlet (solid lines) excitations of alternating Hubbard chains, in units of 4t. Exact $\delta = 0$ thresholds are from Refs. [7] and [8]; the $\delta = 1$ and oligomer results are also exact.

tual CT states are required. The degeneracy of ϵ_{CT} is much larger, 4N(N-1), and leads to D^+ , D^- radical ions with total S=0 and 1. Linear splittings in t- are now expected. We consider cyclic boundary conditions and construct exciton states $|k,m\rangle$ with wave vector k in which D^+ and D^- are separated by $m=0.1,2,\ldots$ dimers. H_- connects $|k,m\rangle$ and $|k,m\pm1\rangle$. The firstorder correction of the band edge (k=0) singlet with J=-1 or 1 is

$$\epsilon^{(1)}(\delta, U) = -|t_-|\sin 2\phi, \qquad (7)$$

where the intradimer mixing is given in (5) for arbitrary U/t_+ .

As expected on physical grounds, interdimer hopping is maximized in the Hückel limit, with $\sin 2\phi = 1$ at U = 0, while $\sin 2\phi$ goes as t_+/U at large U when $|D\rangle$ becomes a Heitler-London singlet; (7) then leads to antiferromagnetic exchange of order t_-t_+/U . The lowest order in t_- , the crossover (1) yields

$$U_c(\delta) = 2t_+ - 2t_- / 5^{1/2} \tag{8}$$

on evaluating $\sin 2\phi$ at $U_0 = 2t_+$. The dashed line $U_c(\delta)/t_+$ in Fig. 2 is compared to exact numerical solutions for Hubbard chains up to 2N = 12 sites. The upward deviation of U_c/t_+ is clearly due to the delocalization energy (7) of the electron and hole in the singlet, while the triplet threshold is not stabilized in first order.

We can also estimate the crossover at small δ , where $U_c(0) = 0$ follows directly from exact results. According to (2), $E_g(0,U)$ is a lower bound for $E_g(\delta,U)$ at small δ and $4t\delta$ is an upper bound for $E_t(\delta,U)$ at small U. The

crossover (1) then leads to the dashed line $U_c(\delta)/t_+$ in Fig. 2 and the inset. Although finite, $E_g(0,U) \sim (Ut)^{1/2} \\ \times \exp(-2\pi t/U)$ is [7] exponentially small, while $E_t(\delta,U)$ is linear in δ at small U. The inset shows $U/t \sim 1$ to give $\delta_c \sim 10^{-3}$, far below any observed alternation.

The crossovers in Fig. 2 for finite chains converge slowly at $U_c(0,N)$, where fortunately $U_c(0) = 0$ is known exactly, and more rapidly at large δ , where molecular exciton theory is essentially quantitative for $\delta > 0.70$. The Hubbard crossovers $U_c(\delta, N)/t_+$ increase with N for $\delta > 0.05$ and deviate upward from the H_+ result for $\delta > 0.10$. Except at $\delta \sim 0$, the crossover is roughly set by H_+ as the mean of the band gap and width. The singleparticle ordering of $E_g(\delta, U) \leq E_a(\delta, U)$ in Hubbard chains with $\delta > 0.1$ is retained up to $U \sim 2t_+$, and this behavior differs fundamentally from $\delta = 0$ thresholds.

Coulomb interactions $V_{pp'}$ between ionic sites are added [6] to (3) in the PPP model. We include intradimer contributions in H_+ and obtain an effective $U_e = U - V_1$ in the half-filled case. Interdimer contributions are added to H_- ,

$$H'_{-} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{pp'}' V_{pp'} q_p q_{p'}, \qquad (9)$$

where the prime excludes p,p' of the same dimer and $q_p = 1 - n_p$ is the π -electron charge operator. H'_- describes [11] London dispersion forces that split the degeneracies of H_+ even at $\delta = 1$. The triplet $\epsilon_l(\delta, U_e)$ in (4) remains the lowest excitation of an isolated dimer. But $|D^*\rangle$ is stabilized relative to D^+D^- for distant dimers, since $\epsilon_{\rm CT}$ in (6) still contains U rather than U_e . The



Dispersion forces are readily treated at $\delta = 1$ in terms of dimer states. Since $|G\rangle = \cdots DDD \cdots$ is nondegenerate and neutral, its first-order correction under $H'_$ vanishes [11], as also found for $|T_p\rangle$ and $|D_p^*\rangle$. The Nfold degeneracy of $|T_p\rangle$ is not split because parallel spins require $q_p = 0$ at both sites. But H'_- contributions between adjacent dimers mix $|D_p^*\rangle$ and $|D_{p\pm 1}^*\rangle$ and lead to a band of J = -1 states whose width is

$$W = 2V'(1 - \cos 2\phi) . \tag{10}$$

 $V' = V_1 - 2V_2 + V_3$ depends on intersite separations and the mixing angle (5) contains $U_e = U - V_1$. The k = 0linear combination of $|D_p^*\rangle$ is at the bottom of the band for V' > 0. The maximum W = 2V' is realized in the Hückel limit, with $\phi = \pi/4$; as $|G\rangle$ becomes more covalent with increasing U_e , W decreases as $V'(t_+/U_e)^2$.

The singlet-exciton band arising from H'_- places the crossover above the H_+ result of $U_e/t_+ = \sqrt{2}$. Interdimer hopping H_- in (3) is now quadratic in t_- for either triplets or singlets, since both require virtual CT states. To test our general expectations for the crossover in excitonic systems, we chose a generic [6] PPP chain with -t = 2.40 eV, variable δ , equal bond lengths R, U=11.26 eV, and Coulomb interactions $V_p = U/[U^2 + e^4/(pR)^2]^{1/2}$ between sites separated by pR. We have $U_e = U - V_1 = 3.66$ eV at R = 1.40 Å, less than a typical bandwidth of ~ 10 eV.



FIG. 2. Band to correlated crossover at $E_g(U,\delta) = 2E_t(U,\delta)$ in Hubbard chains with $t \pm = t(1 \pm \delta)$. The dimer result is U/t + = 2.0; the first-order correction, Eq (8), at large δ is indicated by a dashed line; $U_c(\delta)/t_+$ at small δ and the inset are based on Eq. (2).



FIG. 3. Crossover curves, as in Fig. 2, for alternating PPP chains discussed in the text. The dimer result is $U_e = U - V_1 = \sqrt{2}t_+$, while the arrow at $\delta = 1$ marks the first-order correction, Eq. (10), for interdimer dispersion forces. Molecular PPP values are estimated for the conjugated polymers PA, PPV, and PS.

Exact solutions of 2N-site PPP oligomers lead to $U_c(\delta, 2N)/t_+$ curves in Fig. 3. We again have $U_c(0) = 0$ by symmetry and the PPP and Hubbard crossovers are similar at small δ . The dimer result, $U_e/t_+ = \sqrt{2}$ in Fig. 3, still provides a rough estimate for $\delta > 0.1$. The PPP crossovers are almost flat for $\delta > 0.5$, as expected from quadratic t_- dispersion for both E_t and E_g , and are above the dimer value at large δ . Since the k = 0 singlet exciton is at the bottom of the band, the one-photon absorption of PPP chains is indeed to the lowest singlet with J = -1. The arrow at $\delta = 1$ in Fig. 3 is the perturbation result based on $\epsilon_t(\delta, U)$ for the triplet and $\epsilon_t(\delta, U) + U_e - W/2$ for the singlet. The crossover (1) leads to a quadratic in $y = (U_e^2 + 16t_+^2)^{1/2}$ and gives $U_e/t_+ = 1.885$ for $U_e = 3.66$ eV and V' = 1.56 eV in (10).

The same PPP parameters [6] suffice for $\pi \cdot \pi^*$ excitations of conjugated hydrocarbons and $\delta = 0.07$ accounts for the two-photon $(2^{1}A_{g}^{+})$ and one-photon $(1^{1}B_{u}^{-})$ excitations of finite polyenes. Standard PPP parameters for U_{e}/t_{+} show PA in Fig. 3 to be on the correlated side. Although E_{a} is below $E_{g} \sim 1.8 \text{ eV}$, its approximate location in PA is based on weak emission [12] and on experimental or theoretical extrapolations of polyenes [13]. Polysilanes (PS) [14] also form alternating chains. Now $2^{1}A_{g}$ is $\sim 0.9 \text{ eV}$ above E_{g} , $\delta \sim \frac{1}{3}$ is needed [10] in PPP models, and PS are on the single-particle side in Fig. 3. The crossover is particularly sensitive to δ for intermediate correlations realized in conjugated polymers.

As in SSH theory, we associate an effective δ_e with polymers with more than two sites per repeat unit. Tetramerized PDA chains, for example, have $\delta_e \sim 0.15$ and are close to the PPP crossover [13] with a two-photon $(2^{1}A_{g}^{+})$ state ~0.2 eV below E_{g} in crystals, films, and oligomers. Phenyl rings in PPV, with eight sites per repeat unit, lead [2] to $\delta_e \sim 0.2$ even when all the bond lengths are equal and PPV falls on the single-particle side in Fig. 3. As in polysilanes, PPV fluorescence suggests strongly that S_1 is dipole allowed. Rapid internal conversion from S_2 to S_1 and subsequent emission underlies the recent assignment [15] of $S_2 = 2^1 A_g$ some 0.5 eV above S_1 . We emphasize that PPV and PA are both hydrocarbons containing partial single and double bonds; their appearance on opposite sides of the crossover in Fig. 3 for standard PPP parameters rationalizes their very different emission.

We have previously noted [13] the experimental and theoretical advantages of using the linear absorption E_g as an internal standard for multiphoton excitations. The crossovers $E_t/E_g = 0.5$ have modest N dependences in Figs. 2 and 3. The intersection of $U_c(\delta, N)$ curves around $\delta \sim 0.1$ suggests particularly weak N dependences for alternations realized in π -conjugated polymers. Crossover curves $U_c(\delta)$ for alternating Hubbard or PPP chains will require extrapolations, but they are largely fixed by exact constraints at $\delta = 0$ and 1, by perturbation results at large δ , and by the oligomer results in Figs. 2 and 3, which clearly confirm the robustness of alternating Hückel chains to modest *e-e* correlations. The interplay of alternation and *e-e* correlations controls whether S_1 is one- or two-photon allowed in conjugated polymers.

We thank S. Etemad and R. G. Kepler for stimulating discussions and gratefully acknowledge support of this work by the National Science Foundation through DMR-8921072; D.S.G. thanks the Brazilian Agency FAPESP for financial support.

- J. H. Buroughes, D. D. C. Bradley, A. R. Brown, R. N. Marks, K. MacKay, R. H. Friend, P. L. Burns, and A. B. Holmes, Nature (London) 347, 539 (1990).
- [2] Z. G. Soos, S. Etemad, D. S. Galvão, and S. Ramasesha, Chem. Phys. Lett. **194**, 341 (1992); Z. G. Soos, S. Ramasesha, D. S. Galvão, R. G. Kepler, and S. Etemad, Synth. Met. **54**, 35 (1993).
- [3] A. J. Heeger, S. Kivelson, J. R. Schrieffer, and W. P. Su, Rev. Mod. Phys. 60, 781 (1988) and references therein.
- [4] S. A. Brazovskii and N. N. Kirova, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Phys 33, 6 (1981) [JETP Lett. 33, 4 (1981)].
- [5] D. Baeriswyl, D. K. Campbell, and S. Mazumdar, in *Conducting Polymers*, edited by H. Kiess, Topics in Current Physics (Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1992), and references therein.
- [6] Z. G. Soos and G. W. Hayden, in *Electroresponsive Molecular and Polymeric Systems*, edited by T. A. Skotheim (Dekker, New York, 1988), Vol. 1, p. 197, and references therein.
- [7] A. A. Ovchinnikov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 57, 2030 (1969)
 [Sov. Phys. JETP 30, 1100 (1970)].
- [8] E. H. Lieb and F. Y. Wu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 20, 1445 (1968).
- [9] O. J. Heilmann and E. H. Lieb, Trans. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 33, 116 (1971); S. R. Bondeson and Z. G. Soos, J. Chem. Phys. 71, 380 (1979).
- [10] Z. G. Soos and G. W. Hayden, Chem. Phys. 143, 199 (1990).
- [11] Z. G. Soos, G. W. Hayden, P. C. M. McWilliams, and S. Etemad, J. Chem. Phys. 93, 7439 (1990).
- [12] E. A. Imhoff and D. B. Fitchen, Solid State Commun. 44, 329 (1982); D. B. Fitchen, Synth. Met. 9, 341 (1984).
- [13] P. C. M. McWilliams, G. W. Hayden, and Z. G. Soos, Phys. Rev. B 43, 9777 (1991); S. Etemad and Z. G. Soos, in *Spectroscopy of Advanced Materials*, edited by R. J. H. Clark and R. E. Hester (Wiley, New York, 1991), p. 87.
- [14] R. G. Kepler and Z. G. Soos, in "Relaxation in Polymers," edited by T. Kobayashi (World Scientific, Singapore, to be published).
- [15] C. J. Baker, O. M. Gelsen, and D. D. C. Bradley, Chem. Phys. Lett. 201, 127 (1993).