Coulomb Dissociation of ¹¹Li

K. Ieki, ^(a) D. Sackett, A. Galonsky, C. A. Bertulani, ^(b) J. J. Kruse, W. G. Lynch, D. J. Morrissey,

N. A. Orr, H. Schulz, B. M. Sherrill, A. Sustich, (c) and J. A. Winger

National Superconducting Cyclotron Laboratory and Physics Department, Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824

F. Deák, Á. Horváth, and Á. Kiss

Department of Atomic Physics, Eötvös University, Puskin utca 5-7, H-1088 Budapest 8, Hungary

Z. Seres

KFKI Research Institute for Particle and Nuclear Physics of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences, H-1525 Budapest 114, Hungary

J. J. Kolata

Physics Department, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

R. E. Warner

Physics Department, Oberlin College, Oberlin, Ohio 44074

D. L. Humphrey

Physics Department, Western Kentucky University, Bowling Green, Kentucky 42101 (Received 14 July 1992; revised manuscript received 31 July 1992)

Kinematically complete measurements for Coulomb dissociation of ¹¹Li into ${}^{9}Li + 2n$ were made at 28 MeV/nucleon. The *n*-*n* correlation function suggests a large source size for the two-neutron emission. The electromagnetic excitation spectrum of ¹¹Li has a peak, as anticipated in low-energy dipole resonance models, but a large post-breakup Coulomb acceleration of the ⁹Li fragment is observed, indicating a very short lifetime of the excited state and favoring direct breakup as the dissociation mechanism.

PACS numbers: 25.70.De, 21.10.Gv, 24.30.Cz, 25.70.Mn

The structure of ¹¹Li presents one of the most intriguing questions in nuclear physics. This nucleus has an interaction cross section which is large compared to those of its neighbor nuclei [1]. In its dominant reaction channel, dissociation into ⁹Li plus two neutrons, both the neutrons and the fragment have been separately found to have sharply forward-peaked angular distributions [2-5]. These results have been interpreted to mean that ¹¹Li should be thought of as having a ⁹Li core immersed in a halo of two neutrons, perhaps correlated as a dineutron [6]. Furthermore, the large dissociation cross section of ¹¹Li by a high-Z target may then be the result of an electric dipole excitation to a "soft" dipole resonance located at an excitation energy much lower than the universal giant dipole resonance [7,8]. While the giant dipole resonance may be thought of as an oscillation of all the neutrons against all the protons, in the proposed soft dipole the ⁹Li core slowly oscillates in the diffuse cloud, or halo, of two neutrons. This new collective mode may also occur in other light neutron-rich nuclei, such as ⁶He, ⁸He, and ¹⁴Be. The fact that ¹⁰Li is *unbound* whereas ¹¹Li is *bound* means that the interaction between the two valence neutrons in ¹¹Li is vital to its stability. The nature of the correlation between the two neutrons is not known. This paper is a report on the first measurement of the electromagnetic excitation spectrum of ¹¹Li and of a correlation between the decay neutrons.

For collective motion, such as a soft-dipole resonance, a large electric dipole strength is expected [8]. Since E2 strength is much smaller than E1 at our beam energy [9], the dipole strength function dB(E1)/dE is related to the Coulomb dissociation cross section $d\sigma/dE$ for two-neutron removal as follows:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} = \frac{16\pi^3}{9\hbar c} \frac{dB(E1)}{dE} N(E) \,.$$

Here, N(E) gives the number of virtual photons and E is the ¹¹Li excitation energy. A thorough discussion is given in Ref. [10]. Using the above formula, the dipole strength function can be determined by measuring the Coulomb dissociation cross section as a function of ¹¹Li excitation energy.

The excitation energy of the ¹¹Li is related to the decay energy E_d by $E = E_d + S_{2n}$, where S_{2n} (=0.34±0.05 MeV [3]) is the two-neutron separation energy. To calculate the decay energy from the experimental data, we extend the technique used by Heilbronn *et al.* [11] to the case of a three-body problem. Using a relative velocity V_{2n-9} between the ⁹Li and the two-neutron center of mass and a relative velocity V_{n-n} between the two neutrons, the decay energy of the ¹¹Li can be expressed as

$$E_d = \frac{1}{2} \mu_1 V_{2n-9}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu_2 V_{n-n}^2$$

© 1993 The American Physical Society



FIG. 1. Schematic drawing of the experimental setup.

with

(

$$\mu_1 = \frac{m_9(2m_n)}{m_9 + (2m_n)}, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{m_n}{2}$$

Here, m_9 is the ⁹Li mass and m_n is the neutron mass. Thus, the excitation energy of ¹¹Li can be determined by measuring the three velocities—of ⁹Li and of two neutrons.

A ¹¹Li beam was produced by projectile fragmentation of ¹⁸O from the K1200 cyclotron and analyzed by the A1200 fragment separator at Michigan State University. The main elements of the experiment are shown in Fig. 1. A detailed description will be given in Ref. [12]. The energy at the center of the Pb target was 28 MeV/nucleon. At this energy, Coulomb dissociation on Pb is known to dominate over nuclear dissociation due to the large number of low-energy virtual photons [3,13,14]. Also, the coincidence requirement of two neutrons at small angles diminishes the nuclear contribution [12]. The ⁹Li momenta were measured with a Si double-strip and CsI(Tl) telescope, which also served as the Faraday cup for the beam of about 400 ¹¹Li/sec. Dissociation of ¹¹Li in the silicon and CsI(Tl) detectors accounts for $\sim 50\%$ of the coincidence events. Since most of these events are indistinguishable from true events, they were measured separately with the target removed and the beam energy reduced by the 4 MeV/nucleon target thickness. Neutron velocities were measured via the time-of-flight method using the fragment signal in the Si detector as the stop.

The geometry was chosen to permit the detection of events with small relative momenta between the neutrons. Cross-talk events, events in which one neutron makes a false coincidence signal by scattering from one detector into another detector, were identified by their scattering kinematics and rejected event by event.

First we deduced the correlation function [15]

$$C(q) \propto \frac{\sum \sigma(q)}{\sum \sigma(p_1) \sigma(p_2)}$$
, with $q = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2|$,



FIG. 2. Correlation function between the two neutrons. The curve represents a calculation based on a spherical Gaussian source with radius parameter 5.3 fm.

from the relative momentum spectrum between two neutrons in coincidence with a ⁹Li fragment. This quantity is sensitive to the spatial extent of the source emitting the neutrons. The correlation function is displayed in Fig. 2. The correlation function is normalized at large relative momentum (q > 20 MeV/c) and exhibits a peak at small relative momentum. The curve in Fig. 2 results from a simple model calculation [16] which assumes that each of the two neutrons is distributed independently within the source. The source distribution is assumed to have a Gaussian radial shape with a radius parameter of 5.3 fm, corresponding to rms radius of 9.2 fm. Although a possible correlation in the initial state for the two neutrons, such as a bound dineutron state, is neglected in the model, the curve agrees well with the data, suggesting a large size of the excited ¹¹Li. More sophisticated calculations which consider initial-state correlations and realistic density distributions for the two neutrons are needed to clarify the structure of the halo.

The measured decay energy spectrum $d\sigma_M/dE_d$ is shown in Fig. 3 (solid circles). The contribution from reactions in the telescope has been subtracted. $d\sigma_M/dE_d$ is



FIG. 3. The measured decay energy spectrum $d\sigma_M/dE_d$ for ¹¹Li \rightarrow ⁹Li+*n*+*n*. The curves are results of Monte Carlo simulations for Breit-Wigner-type photonuclear cross sections (solid, $E_0=0.70$ MeV, $\Gamma_0=0.80$ MeV), a correlated-state model [17] (dashed), and a dineutron-cluster model [18] (long dashed).

related to the true spectrum $d\sigma/dE_d$ by

$$\frac{d\sigma_M}{dE_d}(E_d) = \int \frac{d\sigma}{dE'_d}(E'_d)\epsilon(E'_d,E_d)dE'_d$$

For a given value of E'_d , the efficiency response function $\epsilon(E'_d, E_d)$ is a peaked function of E_d . The amplitude of this function has a strong dependence on E'_d . Also, due to the finite size of the neutron detectors, its shape is asymmetric, especially for low values of E'_d . The overall width of this asymmetric function also depends on E'_d . For these reasons, it is not feasible to perform the inverse transform and obtain $d\sigma/dE_d$. Instead, we start with a model dipole strength function and make Monte Carlo calculations that simulate the detection system and produce $d\sigma_M/dE_d$ for comparison with the data. Two such comparisons are shown in Fig. 3.

The dashed and long-dashed curves in Fig. 3 show results of the Monte Carlo simulations with dB(E1)/dEpredictions of a correlated-state model [17] and of a dineutron-cluster model [18]. The absolute dB(E1)/dEvalues were used for these models, i.e., no normalization is applied relative to the data. Although these models reproduce the overall features of the spectrum, they overestimate the yield at low decay energy. This is partly because of the low peak positions of their dipole strength functions. It should be noted that the parameters in the correlated-state model were chosen with $S_{2n} = 0.2$ MeV, rather than 0.34 MeV [3].

As an alternative model, we introduced an empirical soft-dipole resonance model, in which the Coulombdissociation cross section is related to a Breit-Wignertype photonuclear cross section $\sigma_{E1}(E)$ by

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dE} = \sigma_{E1}(E) \frac{N(E)}{E}$$

The width parameter of the Breit-Wigner function has the energy dependence of s-wave neutron transmission. The solid curve in Fig. 3 displays the best-fit result of the simulations; it has resonance decay energy $E_0=0.70$ MeV and width $\Gamma_0=0.80$ MeV at E_0 . With these parameters the Coulomb dissociation cross section is 3.6 ± 0.4 b and B(E1) is $1.00 \pm 0.11 \ e^2 \text{ fm}^2$. (A possible hadronic component at the few percent level in Fig. 3 has been disregarded.) It is important to note that the narrow width of the ⁹Li momentum distribution [4] and the forward peaking of the neutron angular distribution [5], both in agreement with our data [12], are also reproduced by the values of E_0 and Γ_0 with the assumption that the decay energy is shared by ⁹Li and the neutrons according to three-body phase space.

The good Breit-Wigner fit might be thought to reinforce the idea of the soft-dipole resonance mode in the excitation of ¹¹Li. However, a collective resonance with an excitation energy $\hbar \omega$ of only 1 MeV would have an oscillation period T = 1240 fm/c, whereas the lifetime τ of the resonance deduced from the width parameter of 0.80 MeV is 250 fm/c, only $\frac{1}{5}$ of an oscillation. If the lifetime is this short, one may question the appropriateness of describing the phenomenon as a collective resonance.

However, quite a different constraint on lifetime was deduced from some velocity comparisons. In Fig. 4(a), the spectrum of the longitudinal component of the center-of-mass velocity of the three-body system ${}^{9}Li + 2n$ is shown in the frame of the incident ¹¹Li. This figure, from its near-zero centroid, demonstrates the conservation of total momentum of the three-body system and, from its width, gives us the experimental velocity resolution-about 0.008c (FWHM). However, the distribution of the longitudinal component of relative velocity $\mathbf{V}_9 - \mathbf{V}_{2n}$ [Fig. 4(b)] is clearly not centered on zero; in general, ⁹Li has a larger velocity than the neutrons. A Monte Carlo simulation (histogram) shows that the asymmetry does not stem from the detection efficiency. The velocity difference is understood by considering that the ⁹Li is accelerated by the Coulomb field of the Pb target after the breakup, whereas the neutrons are not. As the absorption of a virtual photon occurs close to the Pb nucleus, a large Coulomb acceleration will result if the breakup also occurs close to the Pb nucleus, i.e., if the lifetime of the excited ¹¹Li is short. The observed velocity difference indicates that breakup occurs within a 30 fm distance of the lead nucleus. (Coulomb acceleration of the ⁹Li makes E_d appear too large. The values of E_d in the Fig. 3 have a correction whose average value is 0.1 MeV [12].) This distance limits the lifetime of the soft dipole state of ¹¹Li to less than about 85 fm/c, only $\frac{1}{15}$ of an oscillation period, far too little for the picture of a collective resonance to be meaningful. It would seem that only a direct breakup process, perhaps a variant of the dineutron-cluster model, could satisfy the large Coulomb



FIG. 4. (a) Spectrum of the longitudinal component of the center-of-mass velocity of ⁹Li and two neutrons in the frame of the incident ¹¹Li. (b) Spectrum of the longitudinal component of the relative velocity $V_9 - V_{2n}$. The histogram shows the result of a Monte Carlo simulation assuming no Coulomb acceleration effects.

acceleration [19].

In summary, we have measured the electromagnetic excitation of ¹¹Li. The two-neutron correlation function has a peak at small relative momentum. A simple model calculation suggests that size of the neutron source of excited ¹¹Li is very large. A correlated-state model and a dineutron-cluster model both overpredict the peak yield of the decay energy spectrum. The evaluated photonuclear cross section shows a peak as expected in soft-dipole resonance models [3,7,8]. However, an observed ⁹Li-2*n* velocity difference implies a large post-breakup Coulomb acceleration, which means a very short lifetime of the excited state. This fact indicates that the "soft-dipole resonance" is not suitable for the description of the electromagnetic excitation of ¹¹Li and suggests a direct breakup process.

We thank J. Yurkon and D. Swan for their technical assistance. We are grateful to G. F. Bertsch, H. Esbensen, and K. Yabana for extensive discussions. Support of the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grants No. PHY89-13815, No. INT86-17683, No. PHY91-00688, and No. PHY91-22067 and of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences is gratefully acknowledged.

(a) On leave from Department of Physics, Rikkyo University,
 3 Nishi-Ikebukuro, Toshima, Tokyo 171, Japan.

- ^(b)On leave from Instituto de Física, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, 21945 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- (c)Present address: Department of Computer Science,

Mathematics and Physics, Arkansas State University, P.O. Box 70, State University, AR 72467-0070.

- [1] I. Tanihata *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2676 (1985); I. Tanihata *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **206**, 592 (1988).
- [2] T. Kobayashi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 60, 2599 (1988).
- [3] T. Kobayashi, Nucl. Phys. A538, 343c (1992).
- [4] N. A. Orr et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 69, 2050 (1992).
- [5] R. Anne *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 250, 19 (1990); K. Riisager *et al.*, Nucl. Phys. A540, 365 (1992).
- [6] P. G. Hansen and B. Jonson, Europhys. Lett. 4, 409 (1987).
- [7] T. Kobayashi et al., Phys. Lett. B 232, 51 (1989).
- [8] K. Ikeda, Nucl. Phys. A538, 355c (1992); K. Ikeda, INS Report No. JHP-7, 1988 (in Japanese).
- [9] Y. Suzuki and Y. Tosaka, Nucl. Phys. A517, 599 (1990).
- [10] C. A. Bertulani and G. Baur, Phys. Rep. 163, 299 (1988);
 M. S. Hussein, M. P. Pato, and C. A. Bertulani, Phys. Rev. C 44, 2219 (1991).
- [11] L. Heilbronn et al., Phys. Rev. C 40, 2576 (1989).
- [12] D. Sackett, Ph.D. thesis, Michigan State University, 1992; (to be published).
- [13] A. Sustich, Z. Phys. A 342, 31 (1992).
- [14] M. Ishihara, Nucl. Phys. A538, 309c (1992).
- [15] D. H. Boal, C. K. Gelbke, and B. K. Jennings, Rev. Mod. Phys. 62, 553 (1990).
- [16] S. Mrówczyński, Phys. Lett. B 277, 43 (1992). Full antisymmetrization of the wave function is taken into account in the present calculation.
- [17] H. Esbensen and G. F. Bertsch, Nucl. Phys. A542, 310 (1992).
- [18] C. A. Bertulani, G. Baur, and M. S. Hussein, Nucl. Phys. A526, 751 (1991).
- [19] E. W. Macdonald et al., Phys. Lett. B 283, 27 (1992).