

---

**ERRATA**


---

**Anomalous Magnetic Moment of the  $\tau$  Lepton  
[Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 668 (1991)]**

Mark A. Samuel, Guowen Li, and Roberto Mendel

The contributions of Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) should be changed slightly. Equation (5) should be replaced by

$$I^{(4)}(m_\tau/m_e) = 2.0256(7)(\alpha/\pi)^2 \\ = 1.0929(4) \times 10^{-5}$$

and Eq. (6) should be replaced by

$$I^{(4)}(m_\tau/m_\mu) = 0.3626(5)(\alpha/\pi)^2 \\ = 0.1956(3) \times 10^{-5}.$$

Correspondingly Eq. (7) should be replaced by

$$(a_\tau - a_e)^{(4)} = 1.2885(6) \times 10^{-5}.$$

All other results given in the paper remain unaffected because the above correction represents a very minor change of  $2 \times 10^{-9}$  in  $a_\tau$ , which is *negligible* compared to the estimated uncertainty in the hadronic contribution ( $3 \times 10^{-7}$ ).

We have used the mass of the tau lepton given in the 1992 Review of Particle Properties [1]  $m_\tau = 1784.1^{+2.7}_{-3.6}$ . Note that the estimated errors in Eqs. (5), (6), and (7) have changed.

After this paper was published we became aware of an earlier paper [2] estimating  $a_\tau$ . We thank S. Narison for making us aware of his early work. However, we have established [3] that his result for the QED contribution is wrong by

$$a_\tau^{\text{QED}}(\text{Narison}) - a_\tau^{\text{QED}} = -3.6 \times 10^{-6} = -0.67(\alpha/\pi)^2,$$

which is at the level of fourth-order QED. Although his method is similar to ours, he has weighted the various Feynman diagrams incorrectly, and this leads to his wrong result. His estimates for  $a_\tau^{(\text{hadronic})}$  and  $a_\tau^{(\text{weak})}$  do agree with our results within stated error estimates. It also appears that his sixth-order contribution agrees roughly with ours.

[1] K. Hikasa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **45**, S1 (1992).

[2] S. Narison, J. Phys. G **4**, 1849 (1978).

[3] Also corroborated independently by T. Kinoshita, S. Narison, and A. Pich (private communications).

**Ab Initio Theory of the Si(111)-(7×7) Surface Reconstruction:  
A Challenge for Massively Parallel Computation  
[Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 1355 (1992)]**

Karl D. Brommer, M. Needels, B. E. Larson, and J. D. Joannopoulos

On page 1356, the last sentence of the third paragraph, “ $22 \text{ \AA} \times 22 \text{ \AA} \times 26 \text{ \AA}$ ” should read “ $26.6 \text{ \AA} \times 26.6 \text{ \AA} \times 22.5 \text{ \AA}$ .”

Also, in the next to last paragraph on the same page, “except the innermost layer” should read “except the two innermost layers.”