

## Muonium to Antimuonium Conversion and the Decay $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ in Left-Right Symmetric Models

Peter Herczeg

*Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545*

Rabindra N. Mohapatra

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland 20742*

(Received 16 June 1992)

We show that in the minimal left-right symmetric model with triplet Higgs bosons the range of the muon neutrino mass for which  $\nu_\mu$  is required by cosmological considerations to be unstable can be probed by muonium to antimuonium conversion ( $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ ) and/or by the exotic muon decay  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ . We point out that if all the leptonic mixing matrices are hierarchical and the Dirac masses of the neutrinos are equal to the masses of the corresponding charged leptons, there is a lower bound in this range for the rates of both of these processes. We find  $|G_{M\bar{M}}| \gtrsim 7 \times 10^{-5} G_F$  and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}| \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-4} G_F$  for the strength of the  $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  and  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  interactions.

PACS numbers: 12.15.Cc, 12.15.Ff, 13.35.+s, 36.10.Dr

Left-right symmetric models [1] are attractive extensions of the standard electroweak model, which provide a framework for the understanding of parity violation in the weak interactions. The simplest realization of these models is based on the gauge group  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$  with a discrete left-right symmetry [1,2].  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$  models employing triplet Higgs bosons to induce part of the symmetry breaking [2] also provide a framework for the understanding of the smallness of the masses of the usual neutrinos.

In this Letter we consider the muon neutrino mass in the model of Ref. [2], and show that the range of  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  for which the constraint from the energy density of the present Universe requires  $\nu_\mu$  to be unstable can be probed through searches for muonium to antimuonium conversion ( $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ ) and/or the exotic muon decay  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$ . We point out that if all the leptonic mixing matrices are hierarchical (i.e., if their nondiagonal matrix elements are small relative to the diagonal ones) and the Dirac masses of the neutrinos are equal to the masses of the corresponding charged leptons, there is a lower bound in this range on the rate of both of these processes. The lower bounds correspond to  $m_{\nu_\mu} = 270$  keV (the present experimental limit for  $m_{\nu_\mu}$ ) and they increase with decreasing  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  [3].

The Higgs sector of the model of Ref. [2] consists of the bidoublet field  $\phi$  (2,2,0) and the triplet fields  $\Delta_L$  (3,1,2) and  $\Delta_R$  (1,3,2),

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^0 & \phi_2^+ \\ \phi_1^- & \phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Delta_{L,R} = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta^+/\sqrt{2} & \Delta^{++} \\ \Delta^0 & -\Delta^+/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}_{L,R}. \quad (1)$$

The vacuum expectation values of the neutral fields are denoted as  $\langle \phi_1^0 \rangle = \kappa$ ,  $\langle \phi_2^0 \rangle = \kappa'$ ,  $\langle \Delta_{L,R}^0 \rangle = v_{L,R}$ .  $\langle \Delta_R \rangle_0 \neq 0$  breaks  $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R \times U(1)_{B-L}$  to  $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$  (with  $Y = 2I_{3R} + B - L$ ), and  $\langle \phi \rangle_0 \neq 0$  completes the symmetry breaking to  $U(1)_{em}$ . The existence of  $\Delta_L$  is required by the discrete left-right symmetry.

The Higgs potential allows, for a wide range of parameters, the phenomenologically acceptable hierarchical

pattern  $v_R \gg \kappa, \kappa' \gg v_L$ , with  $v_L = \gamma \kappa^2 / v_R$  [2], where  $\gamma$  is a ratio of Higgs potential parameters. Note that one has to have  $\kappa' < \kappa$  (or  $\kappa < \kappa'$ ), since otherwise the mass matrices for  $Q = \frac{2}{3}$  and  $Q = -\frac{1}{3}$  quarks would be equal. Since even an appreciable  $\kappa'$  would have no effect on our conclusions, we shall set for simplicity  $\kappa' = 0$  in the following.

The neutrino mass matrix of the model yields an expression for the mass matrix of the light neutrinos in which the masses of the light neutrinos are inversely proportional to  $v_R$  [2]. We shall work in the basis where the  $\Delta_{L,R}$ -lepton couplings are diagonal. Assuming, as we shall do, that in this basis all the leptonic mixing matrices are hierarchical [4], and that the Dirac masses of the neutrinos are equal to the masses of the corresponding charged leptons, this expression takes the form

$$m_{\nu_l} = 2f_{ll} \gamma \frac{\kappa^2}{v_R} - \frac{m_l^2}{2f_{ll} v_R} \quad (l = e, \mu, \tau), \quad (2)$$

where  $f_{ll}$  is the coupling constant of the interaction of  $\Delta_L$  with the  $l$  family [see Eq. (4)].

The masses and the lifetimes of the neutrinos are constrained by the requirement that the energy density of the neutrinos in the present Universe does not exceed the upper limit on the present total energy density of the Universe [5]. This implies that neutrinos of masses between 35 eV [6] and  $\sim 3$  GeV [7] have to be unstable. Our interest here is in the muon neutrino with a mass in the range excluded for stable neutrinos. Note that Eq. (2) allows  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  to be in that range. The lifetime  $\tau_{\nu_\mu}$  of such a neutrino has to satisfy the bound [8]

$$\tau_{\nu_\mu} \lesssim (5.4 \times 10^{10} \text{ sec}) [(100 \text{ keV})/m_{\nu_\mu}]^2. \quad (3)$$

The  $\nu_\mu$ 's in the model can decay either radiatively ( $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \gamma$ ,  $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \gamma \gamma, \dots$ ) or into three neutrinos ( $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$ ) via  $Z_1$  exchange [9] and  $\Delta_L$  exchange [10]. The radiative lifetimes do not satisfy (3) for any  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  [11]; the same is true also for the  $Z_1$ -exchange contribution to  $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$  [9]. The only decay mode which has a chance to have a sufficiently short lifetime is the  $\Delta_L^0$ -

mediated  $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$  decay [12].

The coupling of the  $\Delta_L$  to the leptons in the mass-eigenstate basis is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = (\bar{\nu}_L^c F' \nu_L) \Delta_L^0 - (\bar{E}_L^c F \nu_L + \bar{\nu}_L^c F^T E_L) \Delta_L^+ / \sqrt{2} - (\bar{E}_L^c f' E_L) \Delta_L^{++} + \text{H.c.}, \quad (4)$$

where  $\nu^T = (\nu_e, \nu_\mu, \nu_\tau)$ ,  $E^T = (e, \mu, \tau)$ ,  $\psi_L = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \gamma_5)\psi$  ( $\psi = \nu, E$ ), and  $f' = U^T f U$ , with  $f$  the diagonal matrix of the  $\Delta_L$ -lepton couplings, and  $U$  defined by  $E' = UE$ ; the primed fields denote the gauge group eigenstates. The matrices  $F$  and  $F'$  in Eq. (4) are  $F = f' K$ ,  $F' = K^T f' K^T$ , where  $K = U^+ V$  and  $V$  is the matrix which diagonalizes the light neutrino mass matrix, so that  $\nu' = V \nu$ . Note that the matrix  $K$  is observable.

The Hamiltonian for  $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$  resulting from (4) has the form

$$H = (G_0 / \sqrt{2}) \bar{\nu}_e \gamma^\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_\mu + \text{H.c.}, \quad (5)$$

where  $G_0 = \sqrt{2} F_{ee}^* F'_{e\mu} / 4m_\Delta^2$ , and  $m_0$  is the mass of the  $\Delta_L^0$ . Noting that  $\Gamma_{\nu_\mu} = 2G_0^2 m_\Delta^5 / 192\pi^3$ , the bound (3) requires

$$|G_0| \gtrsim (1.9 \times 10^{-12} \text{ GeV}^{-1/2}) m_{\nu_\mu}^{-3/2}. \quad (6)$$

Keeping only terms not higher than first order in non-diagonal mixing matrix elements, we have  $F_{ee}^* F'_{e\mu} \approx f_{ee}^* (f_{ee} K_{e\mu} + f_{\mu\mu} K_{\mu e})$ . Here we have omitted the term  $f_{ee}^* f'_{e\mu}$  containing first-order contributions, since it is too small to play a role in  $G_0$  due to the experimental limit on the  $\mu \rightarrow 3e$  branching ratio [13].

Equation (6) implies the upper bound

$$m_0 \lesssim (9.4 \times 10^4 \text{ GeV}^{1/4}) m_{\nu_\mu}^{3/4} \quad (7)$$

for  $m_0$  ( $m_0 \lesssim 200 \text{ GeV}$  for  $m_{\nu_\mu} = 270 \text{ keV}$ ). To obtain (7) we used  $|f_{ee}| \lesssim 1.2$ ,  $|f_{\mu\mu}| < 0.16$  [14], and  $|K_{e\mu}|, |K_{\mu e}| \lesssim 2.9 \times 10^{-2}$  [15].

The mass of the  $\Delta_L^0$  also has a lower bound. This follows from the experimental value of the invisible width  $\Gamma_{\text{inv}}^Z$  of the  $Z_1$ . If  $m_0 < \frac{1}{2} m_{Z_1}$ , the  $Z_1$  decays into  $\Delta_L^0 \bar{\Delta}_L^0$  with a rate  $\Gamma_\Delta = 2\Gamma_\nu (1 - 4m_\Delta^2/m_{Z_1}^2)^{3/2}$ , where  $\Gamma_\nu$  is the  $Z_1 \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu}$  decay width, and we have neglected the small effect of  $Z_1$ - $Z_2$  mixing.  $\Gamma_\Delta$  has to be included in  $\Gamma_{\text{inv}}^Z$  [16], so that  $\Gamma_{\text{inv}}^Z/\Gamma_\nu = N_\nu + 2(1 - 4m_\Delta^2/m_{Z_1}^2)^{3/2}$ , where  $N_\nu$  is the number of light neutrino generations. Using the experimental value  $\Gamma_{\text{inv}}^Z/\Gamma_\nu = 2.99 \pm 0.05$  [17] and  $N_\nu = 3$ , we find  $m_0 > 42.9 \text{ GeV}$  (90% C.L.). Combining this with the upper bound (7), we obtain  $m_{\nu_\mu} \gtrsim 35 \text{ keV}$ .

We can conclude therefore that in addition to muon neutrinos of masses  $m_{\nu_\mu} \leq 35 \text{ eV}$ , the model of Ref. [2] is viable also for  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  in the range

$$35 \text{ keV} \lesssim m_{\nu_\mu} < 270 \text{ keV}. \quad (8)$$

Muonium to antimuonium conversion and  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  are processes forbidden in the standard model since they violate the conservation of lepton family numbers.

$M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  [18] can occur in the model of Ref. [2] at the tree level via  $\Delta_L^{++}$  and  $\Delta_R^{++}$  exchange [19]. The  $\Delta_L^{++}$

contribution is described by the Hamiltonian [cf. Eq. (4)]

$$H = (G_{M\bar{M}} / \sqrt{2}) \bar{\mu} \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) e \bar{\mu} \gamma^\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) e + \text{H.c.}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$G_{M\bar{M}} = G_{++} \equiv \sqrt{2} f_{ee}^* f_{\mu\mu}^* / 8m_\Delta^2 \approx \sqrt{2} f_{ee} f_{\mu\mu}^* / 8m_\Delta^2.$$

We note that for  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  in the range (8) the contribution of (9) cannot be arbitrarily small. This can be seen as follows.  $G_{++}$  is related to  $G_0$  as

$$G_{++} = (G_0/2) f_{\mu\mu} (f_{ee} K_{e\mu} + f_{\mu\mu} K_{\mu e})^{-1} m_\Delta^2 / m_{\nu_\mu}^2. \quad (10)$$

For  $G_0$  in Eq. (10) we have the lower bound (6) from cosmology. Also, the ratio  $m_\Delta^2/m_{\nu_\mu}^2$  for a given  $m_0$  [satisfying Eq. (7)] has a lower bound from the experimental value of the neutral current parameter  $\rho_1$  (defined in Ref. [20]). In the model we are considering  $\rho_1 \approx 1 + \rho_\theta + \rho_\Delta$ , where  $\rho_\theta$  is a correction of the order of  $\kappa^2/v_R^2$  due to  $Z_1$ - $Z_2$  mixing, and  $\rho_\Delta$  (which involves  $m_\Delta^2/m_{\nu_\mu}^2$ ) comes from the  $\Delta_L$ -loop contribution to the  $Z_1$  and  $W_1$  mass [21]. Lastly, for a given  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  the coupling constant  $f_{\mu\mu}$  is also bounded from below. Since the  $\gamma$  term in Eq. (2) can be neglected (see Ref. [14]), we have  $f_{\mu\mu} \approx m_\Delta^2/2m_{\nu_\mu} v_R$ . Neglecting mixing among the neutral Higgs fields, the mass of the  $\Delta_L^0$  is  $m_\Delta^2 = R v_R^2$ , where  $R$  is a combination of Higgs potential parameters [22]. The parameter  $R$  cannot be smaller than  $10^{-3}$ - $10^{-4}$ , which is the size of an  $R$  induced by radiative corrections. Thus  $|v_R| \lesssim (30-100)(m_0)_{\text{max}}$ , where  $(m_0)_{\text{max}}$  is the largest  $m_0$  allowed by (7).

The contribution of  $\Delta_R^{++}$  also has a lower bound, but it can be smaller than that for the  $\Delta_L^{++}$  contribution, since the mass of the  $\Delta_R^{++}$  is constrained only by the limit on  $v_R$  from (7).

The decay  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  [23] occurs in the model via  $\Delta_L^+$  exchange [24]. The corresponding Hamiltonian is

$$H = (G_\mu^{(e)} / \sqrt{2}) \bar{\mu} \gamma_\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) e \bar{\nu}_\mu \gamma^\lambda (1 - \gamma_5) \nu_e + \text{H.c.}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$G_\mu^{(e)} = 2G_{++} \equiv \sqrt{2} F_{ee} F_{\mu\mu}^* / 4m_\Delta^2 \approx \sqrt{2} f_{ee} f_{\mu\mu}^* / 4m_\Delta^2.$$

For the same reason as  $G_{++}$ , the constant  $G_+$  has a lower bound for  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  in the range (8) [note that  $m_\Delta^2 = \frac{1}{2}(m_\Delta^2 + m_{\nu_\mu}^2)$ ].

We find for  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  in the range (8)  $|G_{M\bar{M}}| \gtrsim 7 \times 10^{-5} G_F$  and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}| \gtrsim 2 \times 10^{-4} G_F$ . For a given  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  the bounds increase with decreasing  $v_R$ . The lower bounds on  $|G_{M\bar{M}}|$  and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}|$  in the ranges  $35 \text{ keV} \leq m_{\nu_\mu} \leq A \text{ keV}$  for several values of  $A$  are shown in Table I. As seen, the lower bounds are the smallest for  $m_{\nu_\mu} = 270 \text{ keV}$ , and

TABLE I. The lower bounds on  $|G_{M\bar{M}}|$  and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}|$  in the range  $35 \text{ keV} \leq m_{\nu_\mu} \leq A \text{ keV}$  for some values of  $A$ .

$A$	35	100	150	200	270
$ G_{M\bar{M}} _{\text{min}}/G_F$	$4 \times 10^{-3}$	$6 \times 10^{-4}$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$	$1 \times 10^{-4}$	$7 \times 10^{-5}$
$ G_\mu^{(e)} _{\text{min}}/G_F$	$2 \times 10^{-2}$	$2 \times 10^{-3}$	$9 \times 10^{-4}$	$5 \times 10^{-4}$	$2 \times 10^{-4}$

they increase with decreasing  $m_{\nu_\mu}$ . Thus, as the experimental limits on  $|G_{M\bar{M}}|$  and/or  $|G_\mu^{(e)}|$  become more and more stringent, the allowed range of  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  becomes increasingly smaller. To obtain these results we used  $|K_{e\mu}| = 2.9 \times 10^{-2}$ , and the lower bound in (6) for  $G_0$ . For  $|f_{ee}|$ ,  $m_0$ , and  $v_R$  we took  $|f_{ee}| = |f_{ee}|_{\max} \approx 1.2$ ,  $m_0 = (m_0)_{\max}$ , and  $v_R = 100(m_0)_{\max}$ . Inspection shows that this choice of the parameters yields the smallest lower bound. For  $\rho_1$  we used the value of the parameter  $\rho_0$  ( $\rho_0 = 0.0996_{-0.014}^{+0.009}$ ) obtained in a three-parameter ( $\sin^2\theta_W, \rho_0, m_t$ ) fit to electroweak data in the standard model with an arbitrary Higgs sector [17,25]. This gives  $(16\pi^2 m_W^2/g^2)\rho_\Delta < 4.7 \times 10^4 \text{ GeV}^2$  (90% C.L.). The identification of the experimental value of  $\rho_1$  with that for  $\rho_0$  neglects the small (of the order of  $\kappa^2/v_R^2$ ) contributions of  $Z_1$ - $Z_2$  mixing and of the  $Z_2$  to the observables (other than  $m_{Z_1}$ ) used in the fit.

The present experimental limits are  $|G_{M\bar{M}}|_{\text{expt}} < 0.16G_F$  (90% C.L.) [26] and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}| < 0.14G_F$  (90% C.L.) [27]. In the model we are considering  $|G_{M\bar{M}}|$  and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}|$  can be as large as these limits [28]. An experiment under way at PSI [29] is expected to lower the upper limit on  $|G_{M\bar{M}}|$  to  $10^{-3}G_F$ , and an experiment in preparation at LAMPF [30] plans to search for  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  with a sensitivity corresponding to  $|G_\mu^{(e)}| \approx 10^{-2}G_F$ .

The lower bounds on  $|G_{M\bar{M}}|$  and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}|$  could also be improved by setting more stringent experimental upper limits on  $m_{\nu_\mu}$ ,  $K_{e\mu}$ ,  $f_{ee}$ , and  $f_{\mu\mu}$ . The LAMPF experiment will improve simultaneously the limit on  $K_{e\mu}$  by a factor of  $\sim 3.5$ . This will increase the lower bound on  $|G_{M\bar{M}}|$  and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}|$  by a factor of  $\sim 2$ . An upper limit on  $|f_{ee}|$  below 0.2 for  $m_0$  in the range defined by Eqs. (7) and (8) would exclude the range (8), since the bound (6) could not be satisfied for any  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  in (8). The present limit is  $|f_{ee}| \lesssim 1.6$  from data on Bhabha scattering [31].

Our lower bounds would not hold under some scenarios. First, we derived the bounds assuming that all the leptonic mixing matrices are hierarchical, and have taken  $f'_{\mu\mu} \approx f_{\mu\mu}$ . The full expression for  $f'_{\mu\mu}$  is given by  $f'_{\mu\mu} = U_{\mu\mu}^2 f_{\mu\mu} + U_{e\mu}^2 f_{ee} + U_{\tau\mu}^2 f_{\tau\tau}$ . If the nondiagonal elements of  $U$  are large, the corresponding terms in  $f'_{\mu\mu}$  could be important, and moreover  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  would no longer be given by the simple formula (2). If the nondiagonal elements are small,  $f'_{\mu\mu}$  could still be smaller than  $f_{\mu\mu}$  in the unlikely event that there is a cancellation between  $f_{\mu\mu}$  and the  $U_{\tau\mu}^2 f_{\tau\tau}$  term [32]. To obtain the lower bounds we have also assumed that the Dirac masses  $m_{\nu_i}^D$  of the neutrinos are equal to the masses of the corresponding charged leptons. If  $m_{\nu_\mu}^D < m_\mu$ , our lower bounds would be reduced by the (unknown) factor  $(h_{\mu\mu}/\tilde{h}_{\mu\mu})^2$ , where  $h_{\mu\mu}$  and  $\tilde{h}_{\mu\mu}$  are the couplings of the muon family to  $\phi$  and  $\tilde{\phi}$ , respectively. Further, the relation  $m_\phi^2 = Rv_R^2$  is modified when  $\Delta_L^2$ - $\phi^2$  mixing is included. The effect of mixing could be large if the mixing term is large compared to  $\tilde{m}_\phi^2 - \tilde{m}_0^2$ , where  $\tilde{m}_\phi$  and  $\tilde{m}_0$  are the masses of the  $\phi^0$  and  $\Delta_L^0$  before mixing. Assuming for simplicity  $\tilde{m}_0 = \tilde{m}_\phi$ , one would have

$m_\phi^2 = Rv_R^2 - \beta' \kappa v_R$ , where  $\beta'$  is a Higgs potential parameter. This relation can allow for a given  $m_0$  considerably larger upper limits on  $v_R$  than we had before, and therefore correspondingly smaller lower bounds on  $f'_{\mu\mu}$  [33]. We do not regard this, however, as a likely scenario. We note yet that if both  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  are non-negligible, the mixing term would be small, since then all the associated Higgs potential parameters would contribute to the parameter  $\gamma$  in Eq. (2) [34]. Finally, in the unlikely event that due to accidental cancellations the value of the parameter  $R$  is below  $10^{-4}$ , i.e., if  $R = 10^{-4}k$  ( $k < 1$ ), our lower bounds would have to be multiplied by  $\sim \sqrt{k}$ .

We would like to thank K. S. Babu, S. A. Bludman, R. L. Burman, V. W. Hughes, K. P. Jungman, E. W. Kolb, P. Langacker, W. C. Louis, M. Luo, B. E. Matthias, P. Vogel, and D. H. White for valuable conversations. P.H. would also like to thank the Institute for Nuclear Theory at the University of Washington, where some of his work was done, for its hospitality. The work of P.H. was supported by the United States Department of Energy, and the work of R.N.M. by a grant from the National Science Foundation.

- [1] J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. D **10**, 275 (1974); R. N. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 566 (1975); **11**, 2558 (1975); G. Senjanović and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **12**, 1502 (1975).
- [2] R. N. Mohapatra and G. Senjanović, Phys. Rev. Lett. **44**, 912 (1980); Phys. Rev. D **23**, 165 (1981).
- [3] A preliminary account of this work is given in P. Herczeg and R. N. Mohapatra, in *The Vancouver Meeting, Particles and Fields '91*, edited by D. Axen, D. Bryman, and M. Comyn (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992), Vol. 1, p. 572. The lower bounds on  $G_{M\bar{M}}$  and  $G_\mu^{(e)}$  we gave there are larger by about a factor of 3 than those given here. They were obtained by constraining  $m_{++}$  and  $m_+$  not only by the experimental value of the  $\rho_1$  parameter [see the text after Eq. (10)], but also by the  $v_R$ -dependent theoretical bounds which follow from assuming  $B/R \leq 10^4$  in  $m_\phi^2/m_{Z_+}^2 = 1/(1 + BR^{-1}\kappa^2 v_R^{-2})$  and  $m_\phi^2/m_{Z_+}^2 = 1/(1 + \frac{1}{2}BR^{-1}\kappa^2 v_R^{-2})$ . Although the latter bounds are reasonable theoretical constraints, we use now only the limits from the  $\rho_1$  parameter which are free from theoretical assumptions.
- [4] We note that if from the matrices  $U$  and  $V$  [defined after Eq. (4)] one is hierarchical, so will be the other, since the matrix  $K = U^+ V$  is known to be hierarchical.
- [5] R. Cowsik and J. McClelland, Phys. Rev. Lett. **29**, 669 (1972); D. A. Dicus, E. W. Kolb, and V. L. Teplitz, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 168 (1977); **39**, 973(E) (1977).
- [6] We used  $\Omega h^2 \leq 0.38$  [S. A. Bludman, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 4720 (1992)].
- [7] B. W. Lee and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 165 (1977); E. W. Kolb and K. A. Olive, Phys. Rev. D **33**, 1202 (1986); **34**, 2531(E) (1986).
- [8] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 5 (1991). For  $\Omega h^2$  we have taken the upper bound in  $\Omega h^2 \leq 0.38$  [Bludman (Ref. [6])]. For  $\Omega h^2 = 1$  our lower bounds for  $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  and  $\mu^+ \rightarrow e^+ \bar{\nu}_e \nu_\mu$  would be

- come smaller only by a factor of  $\sim 2$ . The cosmological bound holds in the form (3) provided that at the time of the decay the neutrinos are nonrelativistic and the Universe is matter dominated; for  $m_{\nu_\mu} \approx 1$  keV this is still satisfied for  $\tau_{\nu_\mu} \gtrsim 10^6$  sec [E. W. Kolb (private communication)], while the lifetime of  $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \nu_e \bar{\nu}_e$  via  $\Delta_L^0$  exchange (see the text further on) is longer than the age of the Universe for  $m_{\nu_\mu} \lesssim 2$  keV. In the extreme approximation, when the Universe is taken to be radiation dominated at all times, the only change in the bound (3) is that the factor 5.4 gets replaced by  $\sim 2$  [see P. B. Pal, Nucl. Phys. **B227**, 337 (1983)].
- [9] Y. Hosotani, Nucl. Phys. **B191**, 411 (1981); J. Schechter and J. W. Valle, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 774 (1982).
- [10] M. Roncadelli and G. Senjanović, Phys. Lett. **107B**, 59 (1981).
- [11] See T. Goldman and G. J. Stephenson, Jr., Phys. Rev. D **16**, 2256 (1977); S. T. Petcov, Yad. Fiz. **25**, 641 (1977) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **25**, 340 (1977)]; P. B. Pal and L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 766 (1982); U. Chattopadhyay and P. B. Pal, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 3444 (1986); K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 9 (1990); J. F. Niéves, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 1664 (1983). Inspection shows that the  $\nu_\mu \rightarrow \nu_e \gamma$  lifetime from the contribution of the  $\Delta_L^+ - \phi_2^+$  loop (Babu and Mohapatra) can be short (possibly as short as  $\sim 4 \times 10^4$  sec for  $m_{\nu_\mu} \approx 270$  keV). However, radiative lifetimes short enough to satisfy the cosmological bound are excluded from  $m_{\nu_\mu} \gtrsim 100$  eV by limits on radiative decays of neutrinos emitted by SN 1987A [see E. W. Kolb and M. Turner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 509 (1989); J. M. Soares and L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **64**, 1310 (1990); Bludman (Ref. [6])], and for  $35 \text{ eV} \lesssim m_{\nu_\mu} \lesssim 100 \text{ eV}$  (and some other  $m_{\nu_\mu}$ ) by data on background radiations [see M. Fukugita, in *Trends in Nuclear Physics*, Proceedings of the International School of Physics "Enrico Fermi" Course CIII, edited by P. Kienle *et al.* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1987)].
- [12] Roncadelli and Senjanović (Ref. [10]); Pal (Ref. [8]); R. N. Mohapatra and P. B. Pal, Phys. Lett. B **179**, 105 (1986); H. Harari and Y. Nir, Nucl. Phys. **B292**, 251 (1987).
- [13] The experimental limit  $B(\mu \rightarrow 3e) < 1 \times 10^{-12}$  implies  $|f_{ee}^* f_{e\mu}'| \lesssim (1.4 \times 10^{-7}) m_{++}^2 / m_{\hat{H}}^2$ , where  $m_{++}$  is the  $\Delta_L^{++}$  mass. The large values of  $m_{++}$  that would be required for  $|f_{ee}^* f_{e\mu}'|$  to satisfy the bound (6) are excluded by the experimental value of the neutral current  $\rho_1$  parameter, which for given values of  $m_0$  sets upper limits on  $m_{++}$  [see the text after Eq. (10)].
- [14] From considerations of vacuum stability one has  $|f_{ee}| \lesssim 1.2$ ,  $|f_{\mu\mu}| \lesssim 1.2$  [R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D **34**, 909 (1986); we allowed  $\lambda_{\text{eff}} \approx 1$  for the effective Higgs boson self-coupling  $\lambda_{\text{eff}}$ ]. For  $|f_{\mu\mu}|$  we use  $|f_{\mu\mu}| \leq 0.16$ . This bound is obtained as follows: Inspection shows that for the ranges of  $f_{ee}$  and  $v_R$  for which the bound (6) can hold, the experimental limit on  $m_{\nu_e}$  requires  $\gamma \lesssim 10^{-8}$ ; for  $m_{\nu_\mu}$  the first term in Eq. (2) can therefore be neglected. An analysis of pertinent data yields  $v_R \gtrsim 1$  TeV [P. Langacker and S. U. Shankar, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 1569 (1989)] and, as we find [see Eq. (8)],  $m_{\nu_\mu} \gtrsim 35$  keV. For values of  $m_{++}$  corresponding to our lower bounds on  $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  for given  $m_{\nu_\mu}$ 's, the direct phenomenological bounds on  $|f_{ee}|$  and  $|f_{\mu\mu}|$  are not better than the above bounds. See J. F. Gunion, J. Grifols, A. Mendez, B. Kayser, and F. Olness, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 1546 (1989); D. Chang and W.-Y. Keung, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 2583 (1989); M. L. Schwartz, Phys. Rev. D **40**, 1521 (1989).
- [15] Particle Data Group, Phys. Lett. B **239** (1990). We neglect the small difference between  $|K_{e\mu}|$  and  $|K_{\mu e}|$ .
- [16] Mohapatra and Pal (Ref. [12]).
- [17] P. Langacker, in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Electroweak Physics Beyond the Standard Model, Valencia, Spain, September 1991 (University of Pennsylvania Report No. UPR-0492T, 1992).
- [18] B. Pontecorvo, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 549 (1957) [Sov. Phys. JETP **6**, 429 (1958)]; G. Feinberg and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. **123**, 1439 (1961).
- [19] A. Halprin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1313 (1982). As noted by Halprin,  $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$  can arise in the model also via two-neutrino exchange. However, the strength of the effective interaction due to this mechanism is below  $10^{-5} G_F$ .
- [20] P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 278 (1992).
- [21] Gunion *et al.* (Ref. [14]).
- [22] C. S. Lim and T. Inami, Prog. Theor. Phys. **67**, 1569 (1982); N. G. Deshpande, J. F. Gunion, B. Kayser, and F. Olness, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 837 (1991).
- [23] G. Feinberg and S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **6**, 381 (1961).
- [24] P. Herczeg and R. N. Mohapatra (unpublished); reported in P. Herczeg, in *Rare Decay Symposium*, edited by D. Bryman *et al.* (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989), p. 24.
- [25] P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D **44**, 817 (1991).
- [26] B. E. Matthias *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 2716 (1991).
- [27] D. A. Krakauer *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **263**, 534 (1991).
- [28] Concerning indirect empirical limits on  $G_{M\bar{M}}$  see Gunion *et al.* (Ref. [14]), Chang and Keung (Ref. [14]), and Schwartz (Ref. [14]). For  $G_\mu^{(e)}$  we have evaluated constraints from data on  $\mu$  decay and inverse  $\mu$  decay [S. R. Mishra *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **252**, 170 (1990); see also P. Langacker and D. London, Phys. Rev. D **39**, 266 (1989)] from the  $W$  mass, and from charged current universality [see D. H. Wilkinson, TRIUMF Report No. TRI-PP-91-9 (unpublished)]. We find at 90% C.L.,  $|G_\mu^{(e)}| < 0.28 G_F$ ,  $|G_\mu^{(e)}| < 0.24 G_F$ , and  $|G_\mu^{(e)}| < 0.052 G_F$ , respectively. The last bound may be affected by theoretical uncertainties.
- [29] K. Jungman and W. Bertl *et al.*, PSI Experiment No. R-89-06.1.
- [30] X.-Q. Lu *et al.*, LAMPF Proposal No. LA-11842-P, 1990.
- [31] See Schwartz (Ref. [14]); the constraint from  $\rho_1$  gives  $m_{++} < 568$  GeV.
- [32] Note that the term  $U_{e\mu}^2 f_{ee}$  in  $f'_{\mu\mu}$  cannot cancel  $f_{\mu\mu}$ , since the experimental limit on the  $\mu \rightarrow 3e$  branching ratio requires (for the range of  $f_{ee}$  and  $f_{\mu\mu}$  we are dealing with here)  $|U_{e\mu}^2 f_{ee}| \ll f_{\mu\mu}$  (see Refs. [13] and [14]).
- [33] If the mixing term is small relative to  $\tilde{m}_\phi^2 - \tilde{m}_\delta^2$ , one has for  $R_\phi - R \approx 1$  (where  $R_\phi$  is the Higgs potential parameter in  $\tilde{m}_\phi^2 \approx R_\phi v_R^2$ )  $m_\delta^2 = R v_R^2 - (\beta')^2 \kappa^2$ . In this case even for  $\beta' = 1$ ,  $(v_R)_{\text{max}}$  would increase for  $m_{\nu_\mu} = 270$  keV and  $m_{\nu_\mu} = 35$  keV only by a factor of  $\sim 1.3$  and  $\sim 4$ , respectively.
- [34] Deshpande *et al.* (Ref. [22]).