

Gauge-Invariant Formulations of Lineal Gravities

D. Cangemi and R. Jackiw

*Center for Theoretical Physics, Laboratory for Nuclear Science and Department of Physics,
Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139*

(Received 23 March 1992)

It is shown that the currently studied “string-inspired” model for gravity on a line can be formulated as a gauge-invariant theory based on the Poincaré group with central extension—a formulation that complements and simplifies Verlinde’s construction based on the unextended Poincaré group.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.60.+n, 11.17.+y

Lineal gravities, i.e., Einstein-type theories in (1+1)-dimensional space-time, provide a setting for studying nonunderstood issues of gravitation. The simplifications achieved by the drastic dimensional reduction are not devoid of interest, provided dynamical equations are not based on the Einstein tensor $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R$, which vanishes identically in two dimensions. Several years ago, a class of theories based on the Riemann scalar R was proposed, but even the simplest of these [1], in which scalar curvature is equated to a cosmological constant Λ ,

$$R - \Lambda = 0, \tag{1}$$

requires an additional, nongeometrical field in an action formulation: Equation (1) follows from the action

$$I_1 = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} \eta (R - \Lambda), \tag{2}$$

where η is an invariant world scalar, which acts as a Lagrange multiplier enforcing (1). Of course, once the additional scalar field has been introduced, one may consider various generalizations and modifications of (1),(2) with alternative dynamics for R and η [2].

The model (1),(2) has two distinctive features. It can be obtained by dimensional reduction from Einstein theory in three space-time dimensions [1]. Moreover, of particular interest for us here, it possesses a gauge-theoretical formulation, given by several people [3]. To this end one uses the de Sitter or anti-de Sitter group with Lorentz generator J and translation generators P_a satisfying the SO(2,1) algebra (for $\Lambda \neq 0$),

$$[P_a, J] = \epsilon_a{}^b P_b, \quad [P_a, P_b] = -\frac{1}{2} \Lambda \epsilon_{ab} J. \tag{3}$$

[The tangent-space indices (a, b, \dots) are raised and lowered with the flat-space metric tensor $h_{ab} = \text{diag}(1, -1)$ and $\epsilon^{01} = 1$.] In the usual way, the gauge connection 1-form A is expanded in terms of the generators,

$$A = e^a P_a + \omega J, \tag{4}$$

where e_μ^a is the *zweibein* and ω_μ is the spin connection. The curvature 2-form

$$F = dA + A^2 \tag{5}$$

becomes

$$F = f^a P_a + fJ = (De)^a P_a + (d\omega - \frac{1}{4} \Lambda e^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b) J, \tag{6}$$

$$(De)^a \equiv de^a + \epsilon^a{}_b \omega e^b. \tag{7}$$

The three field strengths $F^A = (f^a, f)$ transform covariantly according to the three-dimensional adjoint representation. Therefore the Lagrange density,

$$\mathcal{L}'_1 = \sum_{A=0}^2 \eta_A F^A = \eta_a (De)^a + \eta_2 (d\omega - \frac{1}{4} \Lambda e^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b), \tag{8}$$

$$\eta_A = (\eta_a, \eta_2),$$

is gauge invariant when the Lagrange multiplier triplet η_A is taken to transform by the coadjoint representation. The equation obtained by varying η_a allows evaluation of the spin connection in terms of the *zweibein*,

$$\omega = e^a (h_{ab} \epsilon^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu e_\nu^b) / \text{dete}, \tag{9}$$

and the equation that follows upon variation of η_2 regains (1). Finally it is noted that a nondegenerate Killing metric is available because the relevant group is semisimple for $\Lambda \neq 0$.

Recently, Verlinde [4] as well as Callan, Giddings, Harvey, and Strominger [5] have introduced a similar model, which is “string inspired.” The action

$$I_2 = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} (\eta R - \Lambda) \tag{10}$$

differs from (2) in that the Lagrange multiplier is absent from the cosmological constant [6]. The equation of motion from varying η ,

$$R = 0, \tag{11}$$

shows that the metric is flat, $g_{\mu\nu} = h_{\mu\nu}$, while varying $g_{\mu\nu}$ gives, with the help of (11),

$$\partial_\mu \partial_\nu \eta = \frac{1}{2} \Lambda h_{\mu\nu}. \tag{12}$$

Thus

$$-2\eta = M - \Lambda (x^+ - x_0^+) (x^- - x_0^-), \quad x^\pm = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (t \pm x), \tag{13}$$

with x_0^\pm and M being integration constants. Interest in

the model derives from the above “black-hole” solution [7] with mass M [in terms of the “physical” metric $g_{\mu\nu}/(-2\eta)$] whose quantum mechanical analysis may shed light on various quantum gravity puzzles [4,5,8].

Here we address the problem of a gauge theoretical formulation for I_2 . A discussion has already been given by Verlinde [4], based on the nonsemisimple Poincaré group, with the algebra

$$[P_a, J] = \epsilon_a{}^b P_b, \quad [P_a, P_b] = 0, \quad (14)$$

which is the $\Lambda \rightarrow 0$ contraction of (3). However, there are various unexpected features in his formulation: The transformation law for the Lagrange multipliers is an unfamiliar affine expression; the Lagrange density is not invariant but changes by a total derivative—see below. After reviewing Verlinde’s approach, we show that an *invariant* Lagrange density with a conventional coadjoint transformation for the Lagrange multipliers can be given, provided one uses a *centrally extended* Poincaré algebra, which is an unconventional contraction of (3).

Following Verlinde, the connection and curvature are defined as in (4)–(7), but owing to the vanishing of the momentum commutator, the curvature becomes the $\Lambda = 0$ limit of (6):

$$F = f^a P_a + fJ = (De)^a P_a + d\omega J. \quad (15)$$

Infinitesimal gauge transformation rules,

$$\delta A = d\Theta + [A, \Theta], \quad (16)$$

where the gauge generator Θ ,

$$\Theta = \theta^a P_a + aJ, \quad (17)$$

can be deduced from (14) and (16) to be

$$\delta e^a = -a\epsilon^a{}_b e^b + \epsilon^a{}_b \theta^b \omega + d\theta^a, \quad \delta\omega = da. \quad (18)$$

In finite form they read

$$e^a \rightarrow \bar{e}^a = (\mathcal{M}^{-1})^a{}_b (e^b + \epsilon^b{}_c \theta^c \omega + d\theta^b), \quad (19)$$

$$\omega \rightarrow \bar{\omega} = \omega + da,$$

where \mathcal{M} is a finite Lorentz transformation:

$$\mathcal{M}^a{}_b = \delta^a{}_b \cosh\alpha + \epsilon^a{}_b \sinh\alpha. \quad (20)$$

The curvature triplet F^A transforms according to the three-dimensional adjoint representation of the Poincaré group, viz., as in (19) but without the differentials:

$$f^a \rightarrow \bar{f}^a = (\mathcal{M}^{-1})^a{}_b (f^b + \epsilon^b{}_c \theta^c f), \quad f \rightarrow \bar{f} = f, \quad (21a)$$

or

$$F^A \rightarrow \bar{F}^A = \sum_{B=0}^2 (U^{-1})^A{}_B F^B, \quad (21b)$$

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^a{}_b & -\epsilon^a{}_c \theta^c \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Lagrange density is now taken as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}'_2 &= \sum_{A=0}^2 \eta_A F^A + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda e^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b \\ &= \eta_a (De)^a + \eta_2 d\omega + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda e^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

The equations of motion that follow from \mathcal{L}'_2 are equivalent to (11)–(13) (with $\eta_2 = -2\eta$). However, the transformation properties of \mathcal{L}'_2 under Poincaré gauge transformations are obscure. Following Verlinde, we can check that the following infinitesimal rules for $\delta\eta_A$,

$$\delta\eta_a = \eta_b \epsilon^b{}_a \alpha - \Lambda \epsilon_{ab} \theta^b, \quad \delta\eta_2 = -\eta_a \epsilon^a{}_b \theta^b, \quad (23)$$

together with (18) change \mathcal{L}'_2 by a total derivative. But the affine shift in $\delta\eta_a$, proportional to Λ , is unfamiliar. In the finite version of (23),

$$\eta_a \rightarrow \bar{\eta}_a = (\eta_b - \Lambda \epsilon_{bc} \theta^c) \mathcal{M}^b{}_a, \quad (24)$$

$$\eta_2 \rightarrow \bar{\eta}_2 = \eta_2 - \eta_a \epsilon^a{}_b \theta^b - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \theta^2,$$

the homogeneous part is a coadjoint transformation ($\bar{\eta} = \eta U$), while the shift, proportional to Λ , is needed to compensate for the gauge noninvariance of the cosmological constant in (22), and \mathcal{L}'_2 changes as

$$\mathcal{L}'_2 \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{L}}'_2 = \mathcal{L}'_2 + \Lambda d(\theta^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b + \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \omega - \frac{1}{2} d\theta^a \epsilon_{ab} \theta^b). \quad (25)$$

Upon integration the boundary contributions involving e^a and ω may be dropped with the hypothesis that the dynamical variables vanish on the boundary. But because gauge parameters need not vanish, the last term can survive, even though it is a total derivative:

$$I'_2 \rightarrow \bar{I}'_2 = I'_2 - \Lambda \int d^2x (\det \partial\theta^a/\partial x^\mu). \quad (26)$$

All the awkward features of the above formulation disappear if the gauge theory is based on a *central extension* of the Poincaré algebra. We therefore postulate instead of (3), (14)

$$[P_a, J] = \epsilon_a{}^b P_b, \quad [P_a, P_b] = \epsilon_{ab} \frac{1}{2} i\Lambda I, \quad (27)$$

thereby adding the central element I to the generators and effecting a magneticlike modification of the translation algebra [9]. Consequently the connection and curvature now become

$$A = e^a P_a + \omega J + a \frac{1}{2} i\Lambda I, \quad (28)$$

$$F = dA + A^2 = f^a P_a + fJ + g \frac{1}{2} i\Lambda I + (De)^a P_a + d\omega J + (da + \frac{1}{2} e^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b) \frac{1}{2} i\Lambda I,$$

and the finite gauge transformations with gauge generator

$$\Theta = \theta^a P_a + aJ + \beta \frac{1}{2} i\Lambda I \quad (29)$$

read

$$\begin{aligned} e^a &\rightarrow \bar{e}^a = (\mathcal{M}^{-1})^a_b (e^b + \epsilon^b_c \theta^c \omega + d\theta^b), \\ \omega &\rightarrow \bar{\omega} = \omega + da, \\ a &\rightarrow \bar{a} = a - \theta^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b - \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 \omega + d\beta + \frac{1}{2} d\theta^a \epsilon_{ab} \theta^b. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The multiplet of curvatures transforms by the adjoint representation of the extended group,

$$\begin{aligned} f^a &\rightarrow \bar{f}^a = (\mathcal{M}^{-1})^a_b (f^b + \epsilon^b_c \theta^c f), \\ \bar{f} &\rightarrow \bar{f} = f, \\ g &\rightarrow \bar{g} = g - \theta^a \epsilon_{ab} f^b - \frac{1}{2} \theta^2 f, \end{aligned} \quad (31a)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} F^A &\rightarrow \bar{F}^A = \sum_{B=0}^3 (U^{-1})^A_B F^B, \\ U &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{M}^a_b & -\epsilon^a_c \theta^c & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \theta^c \epsilon_{cd} \mathcal{M}^d_b & -\theta^2/2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (31b)$$

Note that in the above realization of the gauge action on F , the extension is not visible; I is represented by $\mathbf{0}$.

An invariant Lagrange density is simply constructed with an extended multiplet of Lagrange multipliers,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_2'' &= \sum_{A=0}^3 \eta_A F^A = \eta_a (De)^a + \eta_2 d\omega + \eta_3 (da + \frac{1}{2} e^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b), \\ \eta_A &= (\eta_a, \eta_2, \eta_3), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

which obey the conventional coadjoint transformation law,

$$\eta_A \rightarrow \bar{\eta}_A = \sum_{B=0}^3 \eta_B U^B_A, \quad (33a)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_a &\rightarrow \bar{\eta}_a = (\eta_b - \eta_3 \epsilon_{bc} \theta^c) \mathcal{M}^b_a, \\ \eta_2 &\rightarrow \bar{\eta}_2 = \eta_2 - \eta_a \epsilon^a_b \theta^b - \frac{1}{2} \eta_3 \theta^2, \\ \eta_3 &\rightarrow \bar{\eta}_3 = \eta_3. \end{aligned} \quad (33b)$$

Verlinde's affine transformations for η_a, η_2 are now linear in η_3 , which is invariant.

Of course the equations of motion for \mathcal{L}_2'' are equivalent to those for \mathcal{L}_2' , because variation of a in (32) gives

$$d\eta_3 = 0. \quad (34)$$

Therefore η_3 is constant, set equal to Λ , in which case \mathcal{L}_2'' differs from \mathcal{L}_2' by the total derivative Λda ; but it is the presence of this term that renders \mathcal{L}_2'' invariant in contrast to \mathcal{L}_2' . (Clearly the solution $\eta_3 = 0$ gives an unextended Poincaré gauge theory with vanishing cosmological constant.) Note that varying the additional Lagrange

multiplier η_3 provides one more equation of motion,

$$da + \frac{1}{2} e^a \epsilon_{ab} e^b = 0, \quad (35)$$

which can be always solved, at least locally, because the second term is a closed 2-form.

The extended algebra, in the representation that we are using, possesses a nonsingular Killing metric, $h_{AB} = \sum_{CD} U^C_A h_{CD} U^D_B$, which is unavailable without the extension:

$$h_{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} h_{ab} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (36)$$

This allows construction of the invariant scalar

$$M = -\frac{1}{2\Lambda} \sum_{A,B=0}^3 \eta_A h^{AB} \eta_B, \quad (37)$$

which is also constant by virtue of the equations of motion and is interpreted as the black-hole mass [4].

In conclusion, we see that the class of lineal gravity theories involving a nongeometric Lagrange multiplier possesses two members that are distinguished from the perspective of gauge invariance: the original model (1),(2) based on the $SO(2,1)$ group and the string-inspired model (10) based on the extended Poincaré group. The extended Poincaré model involves an unconventional contraction of the $SO(2,1)$ model: Owing to the well-known ambiguity of two-dimensional angular momentum, in (3) one may replace J by $J + sI/i$ and Λ by Λ/s , and set s to infinity, thereby arriving at (27). Finally we recall that the de Sitter model (2),(8) can be obtained by dimensional reduction of planar gravity; whether there exists a model in $(2+1)$ dimensions that reduces to the string-inspired lineal theory (10),(32) is under investigation.

We thank S. Deser for helpful comments. This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) under Contract No. DE-AC02-76ER03069, and (for D.C.) by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

-
- [1] C. Teitelboim, Phys. Lett. **126B**, 41 (1983); in *Quantum Theory of Gravity*, edited by S. Christensen (Adam Hilger, Bristol, 1984); R. Jackiw, in *ibid.*; Nucl. Phys. **B252**, 343 (1985).
 - [2] For reviews see R. Mann, in Proceedings of the Fourth Canadian Conference on General Relativity and Relativistic Astrophysics (to be published); as well as J. Russo and A. Tseytlin (to be published).
 - [3] T. Fukuyama and K. Kamimura, Phys. Lett. **160B**, 259 (1985); K. Isler and C. Trugenberger, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 834 (1989); A. Chamseddine and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. **B 228**, 75 (1989).
 - [4] H. Verlinde, in *The Sixth Marcel Grossmann Meeting on*

General Relativity, edited by M. Sato (World Scientific, Singapore, 1992).

[5] C. Callan, S. Giddings, A. Harvey, and A. Strominger, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 1005 (1992).

[6] Expression (10) is related to the usual formula

$$I_2 = \int d^2x \sqrt{-g} e^{-2\varphi} (R - 4\partial_\mu \varphi \partial^\mu \varphi - \Lambda)$$

by rescaling the metric with the "dilaton" field φ , $g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow e^{2\varphi} g_{\mu\nu}$, and defining $\eta = e^{-2\varphi}$.

[7] S. Elitzur, A. Forge, and E. Rabinovici, *Nucl. Phys.* **B359**, 581 (1991); G. Mandal, A. Sengupta, and S.

Wadia, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6**, 1685 (1991); E. Witten, *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 314 (1991).

[8] J. Russo, L. Susskind, and L. Thorlacius (to be published); T. Banks, A. Dabholkar, M. Douglas, and M. O'Loughlin (to be published); S. Hawking (to be published).

[9] This corresponds to a 2-cocycle in the Poincaré group composition law:

$$G(\mathcal{M}_1, q_1) G(\mathcal{M}_2, q_2)$$

$$= e^{(i/4)\Lambda q_1^a \epsilon_{ab} (\mathcal{M}_1 q_2)^b} G(\mathcal{M}_1 \mathcal{M}_2, q_1 + \mathcal{M}_1 q_2).$$