## Determination of $g_R/g_L$ in Left-Right Symmetric Models at Hadron Colliders

Mirjam Cvetič and Paul Langacker

Department of Physics, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6396

**Boris Kayser** 

Division of Physics, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550 (Received 27 November 1991)

Recently proposed rare decays  $Z' \rightarrow f_1 \bar{f}_2 V$  (with V = W or Z and  $f_{1,2}$  ordinary fermions) and the forward-backward asymmetry are found to be sensitive diagnostic tests for the gauge coupling ratio  $g_R/g_L$  in left-right symmetric models (SU<sub>2L</sub>×SU<sub>2R</sub>×U<sub>1(B-L</sub>)). At projected luminosities of the CERN Large Hadron Collider and the Superconducting Super Collider,  $g_R/g_L$  can be determined statistically to ~1% for  $M_{Z'} \approx 1$  TeV. The absence of related decays  $W'^{\pm} \rightarrow f_1 \bar{f}_2 W^{\pm}$  would be a clean signature that W' couples to right-handed currents. Once  $g_R/g_L$  is determined, the ratio  $M_{Z'}/M_{W'}$  and the decays  $Z' \rightarrow W^+W^- (W'^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z)$  provide probes for the symmetry breaking sector.

PACS numbers: 12.15.Cc, 13.38.+c

Left-right symmetric models [1] encompass a class of theories with the extended gauge structure  $SU_{2L} \times SU_{2R}$  $\times U_{1(B-L)}$ , and  $g_L, g_R, g_{B-L}$  as the respective gauge couplings. They are the simplest extended gauge groups which introduce charged current interactions for the right-handed fermions. In a class of such models parity is a Lagrangian symmetry, which is spontaneously broken at a lower scale. In addition, the left-right gauge symmetry is a part of grand unified gauge groups such as SO(10) and E<sub>6</sub> and of a class of heterotic superstring vacua.

The left-right symmetry is spontaneously broken to the standard electroweak gauge group  $SU_{2L} \times U_{1Y}$  at a high scale with a constraint on the hypercharge  $Y = T_{3R}$  $+Y_{B-L}/2$ . At this stage three gauge bosons,  $W'^{\pm}$  and Z', acquire a mass. For the models with  $g_L = g_R$  and equal magnitude of the left-handed and the right-handed quark-mixing matrix elements, the bound on the mass of the heavy charged W' is  $M_{W'} > 1.4$  TeV, based on the  $K_S$ - $K_L$  mass difference [2], and the W-W' mixing angle is  $|\theta_{+}| < 0.003$ , from universality [3]. For general leftright symmetric models these bounds are much weaker [4]:  $g_L M_{W'}/g_R > 300$  GeV and  $g_R |\theta_+|/g_L < 0.013$ . Analyses of the Z pole, weak neutral current, and collider data put lower bounds [5-8] on the Z' mass in the range of 400-1000 GeV and on the Z-Z' mixing angle  $|\theta_N| < 0.008.$ 

After the discovery of the Z' and/or  $W'^{\pm}$  by their leptonic decays  $(Z' \rightarrow l^+l^-, W' \rightarrow lv)$ , where v is a "right-handed" neutrino, which could be heavy or light), the next goal would be to determine the symmetry-breaking structure and the  $g_R/g_L$  ratio. The first candidate for such a test is the  $M_{Z'}/M_{W'}$  mass ratio, which can be written as [9]

$$\frac{M_{Z'}^2}{M_{W'}^2} = \frac{\kappa^2 \cot^2 \theta_W}{\kappa^2 \cot^2 \theta_W - 1} \frac{1}{\rho_R},$$
(1)

where  $\kappa \equiv g_R/g_L$ ,  $\sin \theta_W = e/g_L$ , and

$$\rho_R = \frac{\sum_i (t_{iR}^2 + t_{iR} - t_{3iR}^2) |\langle \phi_i \rangle|^2}{\sum_i 2t_{3iR}^2 |\langle \phi_i \rangle|^2} ,$$

with  $t_{iR}$  and  $t_{3iR}$  being SU<sub>2R</sub> quantum numbers of the Higgs fields  $\phi_i$ . The parameter  $\rho_R$  efficiently parametrizes the Higgs sector and has a limited range for a large class of models.

The parameter  $\kappa$  is often assumed to be unity. However,  $\kappa \neq 1$  in models [10] with *D* parity broken at a large scale (> 10<sup>9</sup> GeV); for a typical class of such models  $\kappa < 1$ . Note also that the constraint

$$\frac{1}{e^2} = \frac{1}{g_L^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \right) + \frac{1}{g_{B-L}^2}$$
(2)

and  $e^2/g_L^2 = \sin^2\theta_W \sim 0.23$  imply that  $\kappa$  must satisfy  $\kappa^2 \cot^2\theta_W - 1 \ge 0$ , and thus  $\kappa \ge 0.55$  for the theory to be consistent with experiment.

Once  $\kappa$  is determined from experiments discussed below, the ratio in Eq. (1) yields information about the Higgs sector of the theory. For example, for the Higgs sector with  $\phi \sim (2,2,0)$ ,  $\Delta_L = (3,1,2)$ ,  $\Delta_R = (1,3,2)$ , and  $\langle \Delta_R \rangle \gg \langle \phi \rangle \gg \langle \Delta_L \rangle$  (see the first model in Table I), one obtains  $\rho_R = \frac{1}{2}$  and thus for  $\kappa = 1$  (0.7),  $M_{Z'}/M_{W'} = 1.7$ (2.3). Other mutually exclusive values for the mass ratio can be obtained for other Higgs sectors (see Table I).

Other probes of the symmetry breaking structure are the decays [11,12]  $Z' \rightarrow W^+W^-$  and  $W'^{\pm} \rightarrow W^{\pm}Z$ , which are diagnostic tests for the Z-Z' mixing angle  $\theta_N$ and the W-W' mixing angles  $\theta_+$ , respectively. Although such decays are suppressed by  $\theta_{N,+}^2 (\propto M_{Z,W}^4/M_{Z',W'}^4)$ , the longitudinal components of gauge bosons give an enhancement  $\propto M_{Z',W'}^4/M_{Z,W}^4$ , thus yielding sizable decay rates:

$$\Gamma_{Z'WW} = \frac{\alpha \theta_N^2}{48 \tan^2 \theta_W} M_{Z'} \left(\frac{M_{Z'}}{M_W}\right)^4, \qquad (3)$$

$$\Gamma_{W'ZW} = \frac{\alpha |\theta_+|^2}{48 \sin^2 \theta_W} M_{W'} \left(\frac{M_{W'}}{M_W}\right)^4, \qquad (4)$$

where

$$\theta_N = \frac{-\sin\theta_W (1 + \kappa^2 \cot^2 \theta_W \sigma_3)}{(\kappa^2 \cot^2 \theta_W - 1)^{1/2} (M_Z^2/M_Z^2)}$$

2871

and  $|\theta_+| = \kappa |\sigma_+| (M_W^2/M_W^2)$ . Parameters

$$\sigma_{3} \equiv \frac{\sum_{i} t_{i3L} t_{i3R} |\langle \phi_{i} \rangle|^{2}}{\sum_{i} t_{i3L}^{2} |\langle \phi_{i} \rangle|^{2}}$$

and

$$\sigma_{+} = \frac{\sum_{i} \langle \phi_{i} | T_{-L} T_{+R} | \phi_{i} \rangle}{\sum_{i} (t_{iL}^{2} + t_{iL} - t_{3iL}^{2}) |\langle \phi_{i} \rangle|^{2}}$$

again efficiently parametrize a Higgs sector and have a limited range for a large class of theories. (See Table I for the value of  $\sigma_{3,+}$  parameters for three typical Higgs sectors.) Here  $t_{i3(L,R)}$  are the SU<sub>2(L,R)</sub> quantum numbers of the Higgs field  $\phi_i$ ,  $T_{\pm(L,R)}$  are the raising and lowering operators of SU<sub>2(L,R)</sub>, and  $\alpha = e^{2}/4\pi$ . Once  $\kappa$  is determined, the mixing angles  $\theta_{N,+}$  can then yield information on the Higgs sector. For example, for the first model in Table I, one has  $\sigma_3 \simeq -1$  and  $0 \le |\sigma_+| \le 1$ . Thus, for  $\kappa = 1$  (0.7),  $C_N \equiv \theta_N / (M_Z^2 / M_{Z'}^2) \simeq 0.73$  (0.38) and  $C_{+} \equiv |\theta_{+}|/(M_{W}^{2}/M_{W'}^{2}) \le 1$  (0.7). Note that  $\sigma_{3} \simeq -1$ has a fixed value for the first and the third models of Table I and therefore  $C_N$  is fixed for these two models for a given  $\kappa$ . For the second model  $0 \ge \sigma_3 \ge -1$ , and thus for  $\kappa = 1$  (0.7), one has  $-0.31 \le C_N \le 0.73$  (-0.60)  $\leq C_N \leq 0.38$ ). On the other hand,  $0 \leq |\sigma_+| \leq 1$ , and thus  $0 \le |C_+| \le \kappa$  for all three models.

Another important set of experiments are those that would provide a diagnostic study of the gauge coupling ratio  $\kappa = g_R/g_L$ . One possibility would be the measurement of  $\sigma B$ . However, while the production cross section  $\sigma$  could be calculated for a given set of  $V' \equiv (W'^{\pm}, Z')$ couplings to within a few percent, the theoretical branching ratio,  $B \equiv \Gamma(V' \rightarrow f\bar{f})/\Gamma(V' \rightarrow \Sigma f_i \bar{f}_i)$  is model dependent because it depends on whether exotic fermions contribute to the V' width, which could easily change  $\sigma B$  by a factor of 2. Thus,  $\sigma B$  is not a reliable way to measure  $\kappa$ . However, once  $\kappa$  is known,  $\sigma B$  would be a useful indirect probe for the existence of exotic fermions.

In this Letter we describe two important probes for studying the gauge coupling ratio  $\kappa$ . Both rely on the fact that the heavy Z' coupling depends on  $\kappa$ , and both are independent of absolute production rates or branching ratios. The first test consists of the recently proposed [13] rare decays  $Z' \rightarrow f_1 \overline{f_2} V$ , with V = W or Z and  $f_{1,2}$ the ordinary fermions. For V = Z the combination  $g_1^2 g_2^2 (\hat{g}_{L1}^2 \hat{g}_{L2}^2 + \hat{g}_{R1}^2 \hat{g}_{R2}^2)$  is measured, while for V = W such decays project out  $\hat{g}_{L2}$  couplings. Here,  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are the gauge coupling constants of the V and Z', respectively, while  $\hat{g}_{(L,R)1}$  and  $\hat{g}_{(L,R)2}$  correspond to the (left,right) charges of the V and Z', respectively. Although such decays are suppressed by a factor  $\alpha/2\pi$  compared to  $Z' \rightarrow f\overline{f}$ , they have a logarithmic enhancement proportional to  $\ln^2(M_{Z'}^2/M_V^2)$ , due to collinear and infrared singularities of QED [14]. The second probe for gauge couplings is the forward-backward asymmetry  $A_{FB}$  for the process  $pp \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow e^+e^-$  or  $\mu^+\mu^-$ .

In the left-right symmetric model the neutral current gauge interaction term can be written as

$$-L_{\rm NC} = e J^{\mu}_{\rm em} A_{\mu} + g_1 J^{\mu}_1 Z_{1\mu} + g_2 J^{\mu}_2 Z_{2\mu}.$$
 (5)

Here  $g_1 = g_L/\cos\theta_W$  and  $g_2 = g_1 (5\sin^2\theta_W/3)^{1/2}$ . The photon A, the SU<sub>2</sub>×U<sub>1Y</sub> boson Z<sub>1</sub>, and the additional Z<sub>2</sub> gauge boson in the weak eigenstate basis are related to the neutral gauge bosons  $W_{3L}$ ,  $W_{3R}$ , and B in the SU<sub>2L</sub>×SU<sub>2R</sub>×U<sub>1(B-L)</sub> basis by

$$A = e(W_{3L}/g_L + W_{3R}/g_R + B/g_{B-L}),$$
  

$$Z_1 = e[W_{3L}/g_Y - (g_Y/g_L)(W_{3R}/g_R + B/g_{B-1})],$$

and

$$Z_2 = g_Y(W_{3R}/g_{B-L} - B/g_R)$$

Here,  $1/g_Y^2 \equiv 1/g_R^2 + 1/g_{B-L}^2$ . The currents are defined as

$$J_{j}^{\mu} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} \overline{\psi}_{i} \gamma^{\mu} [\hat{g}_{V_{j}}^{i} - \hat{g}_{A_{j}}^{i} \gamma_{5}] \psi_{i}, \quad j = 1, 2, \qquad (6)$$

where the sum runs over fermions and the  $\hat{g}_{(V,A)_j}^i$  correspond to the vector and axial-vector couplings of  $Z_j$  to the *i*th flavor. The corresponding chiral couplings are  $\hat{g}_{(L,R)j}^i = \frac{1}{2} (\hat{g}_{V_j}^i \pm \hat{g}_{A_j}^i)$ . With the above definition of the gauge bosons one can show [15] that  $J_2^\mu = \sqrt{3/5} [\beta J_{3R}^\mu - (1/2\beta) J_{B-L}^\mu]$ , where  $\beta = (\kappa^2 \cot^2 \theta_W - 1)^{1/2}$ , and thus  $\hat{g}_{(L,R)2}^i$  can be readily obtained from the SU<sub>2L</sub>×SU<sub>2R</sub>

TABLE I. The Higgs multiplets [beside the Higgs field  $\phi \sim (2,2,0)$ ], the hierarchy of their vacuum expectation values (VEV's), the values for the  $\rho_R$  parameter and  $\sigma_{3,+}$  parameters, and the values for  $M_{Z'}/M_{W'}$  (for  $\kappa = 1,0.7$ ). The two diagonal vacuum expectation values of the Higgs field  $\phi$  are denoted k and k'.

Higgs sector	VEV's	ρ <sub>R</sub>	σ	σ+	$M_{Z'}/M_{W}$
$\Delta_L \sim (3,1,2)$ $\Delta_R \sim (1,3,2)$	$\langle \Delta_R \rangle \gg \langle \phi \rangle \gg \langle \Delta_L \rangle$	$\frac{1}{2}$	- 1	$\frac{2k^*k'}{ k ^2 +  k' ^2}$	1.7,2.3
$\delta_L \sim (2, 1, 1)$ $\delta_R \sim (1, 2, 1)$	$\langle \delta_R \rangle \gg \{\langle \phi \rangle, \langle \delta_L \rangle\}$	I	$\frac{- k ^2 -  k' ^2}{ k ^2 +  k' ^2 +  \langle \delta_L \rangle ^2}$	$\frac{2k^*k'}{ k ^2 +  k' ^2 +  \langle \delta_L \rangle ^2}$	1.2,1.6
$\chi_L \sim (3, 1, 0)$ $\chi_R \sim (1, 3, 0)$	$\langle \chi_R \rangle \gg \langle \phi \rangle \gg \langle \chi_L \rangle$	œ	-1	$\frac{2k^*k'}{ k ^2 +  k' ^2}$	0,0

 $\times U_{1(B-L)}$  quantum numbers of the *i*th fermion.

Because of existing limits one can safely neglect  $Z_1 - Z_2$ mixing, and thus the weak eigenstates  $Z_1$  and  $Z_2$  can be identified with the mass eigenstates Z and Z', respectively. The decay rate for the process  $Z' \rightarrow f\bar{f}Z$  can be written for  $\mu = M_Z^2/M_{Z'}^2 \ll 1$  as [13]

$$\Gamma_{ffZ} = \frac{M_{Z'}C_{ffZ}}{192\pi^3} \{ (\ln\mu)^2 + 3\ln\mu + 5 - \frac{1}{3}\pi^2 + O(\mu) \}, \quad (7)$$

which clearly indicates the collinear and infrared singularity. Here  $C_{ffZ} = g_1^2 g_2^2 (\hat{g}_L^2 \hat{g}_L^2 + \hat{g}_R^2 \hat{g}_R^2)$ . The decay rate for  $Z' \rightarrow f_1 \bar{f}_2 W$  has the same form as Eq. (7) with the corresponding changes in the gauge couplings (i.e.,  $\hat{g}_{L1} = \cos\theta_W/\sqrt{2}$ ,  $\hat{g}_{R1} = 0$ ), and  $M_Z$  replaced by  $M_W$ . Decay rates  $W' \rightarrow f_1 \bar{f}_2 V$  with V = Z or W can be studied in an analogous manner.

Rare decays  $Z' \rightarrow f_1 \bar{f}_2 V$  should be compared with the basic process  $Z' \rightarrow f\bar{f}$ . In particular, the ratio of branching ratios  $r_{12V} = B(Z' \rightarrow f_1 \bar{f}_2 V)/B(Z' \rightarrow l\bar{l})$  can be determined cleanly. The *l* in the denominator refers to the sum over leptons *e* and  $\mu$ .

We first evaluate  $\sigma B(Z' \rightarrow e^+e^-)$  for the CERN Large Hadron Collider (LHC) and the Superconducting Super Collider (SSC) for different values of  $M_{Z'}$  and  $\kappa$ . We assume the branching ratios *B* from decays into 16plets. This is just an example for estimating statistical errors; the actual values for *r*'s are independent of the exotic decay modes. The number of events for  $Z' \rightarrow e^+e^-$ (or  $\mu^+\mu^-$ ) for a one-year run (10<sup>7</sup> s) at the expected LHC luminosity  $\mathcal{L} = 10^{34}$  cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> is 2.8×10<sup>4</sup>, 170, and 4 for  $\kappa = 1$  and  $M_{Z'} = 1$ , 3, and 5 TeV, respectively. The corresponding numbers for the SSC with  $\mathcal{L} = 10^{33}$ cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> are 1.1×10<sup>4</sup>, 220, and 22. For the projected luminosities and  $M_{Z'} = 1$  TeV, LHC is better by a factor of 2, while the SSC is better for higher values of  $M_{Z'}$ .

We studied the following leptonic ratios:

$$r_{l|Z} \equiv B(Z' \rightarrow l^+ l^- Z)/B(Z' \rightarrow l^+ l^-),$$
  

$$r_{l\nu W} \equiv B(Z' \rightarrow l^+ \nu_l W^{\pm})/B(Z' \rightarrow l^+ l^-),$$
  

$$r_{\nu \nu Z} \equiv B(Z' \rightarrow \nu_l \bar{\nu}_l Z)/B(Z' \rightarrow l^+ l^-),$$

where *l* refers to the summation over *e* and  $\mu$  and  $v_l$  in  $r_{vvZ}$  refers to the summation over  $v_e$ ,  $v_{\mu}$ , and  $v_{\tau}$ . The above ratios can be measured by either leptonic or hadronic *W* or *Z* decays. Ratios where  $f_{1,2}$  are hadrons, i.e.,  $r_{hadZ}$  and  $r_{hadW}$ , are defined analogously. We do not include the *t* quark in the definition of  $r_{had(W,Z)}$ .

The detection of leptonic and semileptonic decays for r's has been discussed in Ref. [13] for a class of extended gauge structures, including the left-right symmetric model with  $\kappa = 1$ . Here we would like to explore r's as a function of  $\kappa$ . In Fig. 1 the r's are plotted as a function of  $\kappa$  along with typical error bars. It turns out that the r's are sensitive functions of  $\kappa$  for  $0.8 < \kappa < 1.1$  (with the exception of  $r_{IIZ}$  which is insensitive due to  $\hat{g}_{L1}^2 - \hat{g}_{R1}^2$  for  $l=e,\mu$ ). In particular,  $r_{IVW}$  and  $r_{vvZ}$  would yield in-



FIG. 1. Ratios r as a function of  $\kappa = g_R/g_L$ . The error bars are for the LHC with  $M_{Z'} = 1$  TeV. For  $r_{IIZ}$ ,  $r_{IvW}$ , and  $r_{vvZ}$ , the error bars include both leptonic and hadronic decays of W and Z, while the error bars for  $r_{had W}$  and  $r_{had Z}$  include only leptonic decays.

dependent determinations of  $\kappa$ , each with a statistical precision of <1% for  $M_{Z'}=1$  TeV. Also, the comparison of r's [13] for a general E<sub>6</sub> gauge boson with the range of r's in the left-right symmetric models indicates that r's can provide a useful tool to distinguish between the two types of models.

Backgrounds associated with the above ratios have been discussed in Ref. [13] for the left-right symmetric model with  $\kappa = 1$  and a class of E<sub>6</sub> models. The same arguments apply to the case with  $\kappa \neq 1$ . Purely leptonic decays  $Z' \rightarrow Zl^+l^-$  with  $Z \rightarrow l^+l^-$  are clean events with good statistics. On the other hand,  $Z' \rightarrow Zv_l \bar{v}_l$  has a serious background [13]  $pp \rightarrow ZZ$ , with  $Z \rightarrow v_l \bar{v}_l$ . The most promising signal is for  $Z' \rightarrow W^{\pm}e^{\mp}v_e$  with  $W \rightarrow$  hadrons. The background from  $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$  can be cleanly eliminated at a loss of only  $\sim 4\%$  of the signal by requiring the transverse mass  $m_{T/v_l}$  of the  $lv_l$  system to be larger than 90 GeV. These events also have a comparable rate with  $Z' \rightarrow W^+W^-$  events, which probe the symmetry breaking scheme. The latter can again be eliminated by an  $m_{Tv_l}$  cut.

Semileptonic decay modes  $Z' \rightarrow V l_1 \overline{l_2}$  with V decaying hadronically have a completely different kinematic distribution [13] from the QCD background [16,17]  $pp \rightarrow V$ +jet+jet where V decays leptonically. However, the kinematics of semihadronic decays  $Z' \rightarrow V$  + hadrons with  $V \rightarrow \overline{l_1 l_2}$  suffer from the strong QCD background pp $\rightarrow V$ +jet+jet where V decays leptonically.

The forward-backward asymmetry [18,19]  $A_{FB}$  for  $pp \rightarrow Z' \rightarrow e^+e^-$  or  $\mu^+\mu^-$  serves as another diagnostic test for Z' gauge couplings. Let  $\theta$  be the  $e^-$  angle with respect to the beam in the Z' rest frame and y be the Z' rapidity. Then  $A_{FB}(y) = [F(y) - B(y)]/[F(y) + B(y)]$ , with  $F(y) \pm B(y) = [\int_0^1 \pm \int_{-1}^0 1]d\cos\theta d^2\sigma/dy d\cos\theta$ . For pp colliders  $A_{FB}(y) = -A_{FB}(-y)$ . The integrated forward-backward asymmetry is then defined as



FIG. 2.  $A_{FB}$  at the LHC with  $M_{Z'} = 1$  TeV, plotted as a function of  $\kappa = g_R/g_L$ .

$$A_{\rm FB} = \frac{\left[\int_{0}^{y_{\rm max}} - \int_{y_{\rm min}}^{0}\right] [F(y) - B(y)] dy}{\int_{y_{\rm min}}^{y_{\rm max}} [F(y) + B(y)] dy} \,.$$
(8)

The statistical error is  $\Delta A_{FB} = [(1 - A_{FB}^2)/N]^{1/2}$ , where N is the total number of events.

In Fig. 2  $A_{FB}$  is plotted as a function of  $\kappa$  as well as a typical error bar. Again  $A_{FB}$  is a sensitive function of  $\kappa$  in the physically interesting region  $0.8 < \kappa < 1.1$ , and it serves as an excellent complement to the rare decays. For  $M_{Z'}=1$  TeV it would yield an independent determination of  $\kappa$  with a statistical precision better than 1%.

The forward-backward asymmetry  $A_{FB}$  for the process  $pp \rightarrow W' \rightarrow ev$  or  $\mu v$  yields information about the  $[(\hat{g}_{L2}^q)^2 - (\hat{g}_{R2}^q)^2] \times [(\hat{g}_{L2}^l)^2 - (\hat{g}_{R2}^l)^2]$  combination of the W' gauge couplings, and thus it cannot distinguish between pure left-handed or pure right-handed coupling of a W'. On the other hand, the rare decay  $W' \rightarrow f\bar{f} W$  (for fermions f much lighter than  $M_W$ ) directly probes the  $(\hat{g}_{L2}^l)^2$ , and thus it should be highly suppressed (by the square of the  $W \cdot W'$  mixing angle or by  $m_f^2/M_W^2$ ) in the left-right symmetric models. The absence of such events would thus provide a good check that the coupling of W' is right handed.  $(W' \rightarrow f\bar{f} W$  events can in principle be distinguished from  $W' \rightarrow WZ$  events by appropriate kinematic cuts.)

This work was supported by the Department of Energy Grant No. DE-ACO2-76-ERO-3071, the SSC fellowship award (M.C.), and the University of Pennsylvania Research Foundation award (M.C.).

- J. C. Pati and A. Salam, Phys. Rev. Lett. 31, 661 (1973);
   Phys. Rev. D 10, 275 (1974); R. Mohapatra and J. C. Pati, Phys. Rev. D 11, 566 (1975).
- [2] G. Beall, M. Bander, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48,

848 (1982).

- [3] L. Wolfenstein, Phys. Rev. D 29, 2130 (1984).
- [4] P. Langacker and U. Sankar, Phys. Rev. D 40, 1569 (1989). Stronger limits follow from CP violation unless there is fine tuning: D. London and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B 232, 503 (1989).
- [5] G. Altarelli et al., Phys. Lett. B 263, 459 (1991); 261, 146 (1991); J. Layssac, F. M. Renard, and C. Verzegnassi, Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique des Particules Report No. LAPP-TH-290/90-REV (to be published); F. M. Renard and C. Verzegnassi, Phys. Lett. B 260, 225 (1991).
- [6] K. T. Mahanthappa and P. K. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. D 43, 3093 (1991); P. Langacker, Phys. Lett. B 256, 277 (1991).
- [7] F. del Aguila, W. Hollik, J. M. Moreno, and M. Quiros, CERN Report No. CERN-TH-6184/91 (to be published); F. del Aguila, J. M. Moreno, and M. Quiros, Nucl. Phys. B361, 45 (1991); Phys. Lett. B 254, 479 (1991); M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B 259, 365 (1991); J. Polak and M. Zralek, Silesia University Report No. TP-USL-91-007, 1991 (to be published).
- [8] P. Langacker and M. Luo, Phys. Rev. D 44, 817 (1991);
  45, 278 (1992). The sensitivity of future precision experiments is discussed in P. Langacker, M. Luo, and A. K. Mann, Rev. Mod. Phys. (to be published).
- [9] M. Cvetič and P. Langacker, Phys. Rev. D 42, 1797 (1990).
- [10] D. Chang, R. Mohapatra, and M. Parida, Phys. Rev. D 30, 1052 (1984).
- [11] F. del Aguila, M. Quiros, and F. Zwirner, Nucl. Phys. B284, 530 (1987); P. Kalyniak and M. Sundaresan, Phys. Rev. D 35, 75 (1987).
- [12] N. Deshpande, J. Gunion, and F. Zwirner, in Proceedings of the Workshop on Experiments, Detectors and Experimental Areas for the Supercollider, Berkeley, 1987, edited by R. Donaldson and M. G. D. Gilchriese (World Scientific, Singapore, 1988); N. Deshpande, J. Grifols, and A. Méndez, Phys. Lett. B 208, 141 (1988).
- [13] M. Cvetič and P. Langacker, University of Pennsylvania Report No. UPR-487-T, 1991 (to be published); (to be published).
- [14] T. Kinoshita, J. Math. Phys. 3, 650 (1962); T. D. Lee and M. Nauenberg, Phys. Rev. 133, B1549 (1964).
- [15] L. Durkin and P. Langacker, Phys. Lett. 166B, 436 (1986).
- [16] W. Stirling, R. Kleiss, and S. D. Ellis, Phys. Lett. 163B, 261 (1985); R. Kleiss and W. Stirling, Phys. Lett. B 180, 171 (1986).
- [17] J. Gunion, Z. Kunszt, and M. Soldate, Phys. Lett. 163B, 389 (1985).
- [18] P. Langacker, R. Robinett, and J. Rosner, Phys. Rev. D 30, 1470 (1984).
- [19] H. Haber, in Proceedings of the 1984 Snowmass Summer Study on the Design and Utilization of the Superconducting Super Collider, Snowmass, Colorado, 1984, edited by R. Donaldson and J. Marx (Division of Particles and Fields of the American Physical Society, New York, 1986).