Eilam, Hewett, and Soni Reply: We agree with the arguments of Soares [1], which may have practical consequences for extensions of the standard model. Indeed, in our Eq. (6) [2] for the *CP* violation asymmetry (i.e., *a*) for the $t \rightarrow qq'\bar{q}$ mode, Γ_W in the second line of the equation should be replaced by Γ'_W , where $\Gamma'_W = \Gamma_W - \Gamma(W \rightarrow q'\bar{q})$ to take account of the rescattering effects. However, as discussed in our Letter, the largest *CP* violation asymmetry in the standard model is obtained for the process $t \rightarrow dc\bar{d}$ for which numerical results were presented. For that process, rescattering effects are very small

TABLE I. CP violation asymmetry (a) including rescattering effects in the standard model for various processes with $m_t = 130$ GeV. Note that for purposes of comparison, the asymmetry without rescattering effects is given in parentheses wherever applicable. Note also that the asymmetry given for $t \rightarrow dc\bar{s}$ and $t \rightarrow de^+ v$ modes is only that which is necessary for compensating the corresponding asymmetry in $t \rightarrow du\bar{d}$ arising from the W-boson width. For the $t \rightarrow dc\bar{d}$ and $t \rightarrow du\bar{d}$ modes an appreciable fraction of the asymmetry arises due to the imaginary part of the penguin graph and is included in these numbers.

Mode	а	$(a^2B)^{-1}$
$t \rightarrow dc\bar{d}$	$-5.97 \times 10^{-5} (-6.00 \times 10^{-5})$	1.6×10 ¹⁴
t → duā	$2.5 \times 10^{-6} (3.1 \times 10^{-6})$	4.7×10 ¹⁵
$t \rightarrow dc\bar{s}$	-6.6×10^{-7}	6.6×10 ¹⁶
$t \rightarrow de^+ v_e$	-2.2×10^{-7}	1.7×10^{18}

due to Cabibbo suppression, as is also pointed out by Soares. Our results for the value of the asymmetry remain therefore unchanged, as can be seen by comparing Table I with Fig. 1 of our Letter. In the table we also display values for the *CP* violation asymmetry in the standard model, including the rescattering effects, for various other final states (taking $m_t = 130$ GeV, and denoting the branching fraction as *B*).

G. Eilam, ^{(1),(a)} J. L. Hewett, ^{(2),(b)} and A. Soni⁽³⁾
⁽¹⁾Department of Physics and Astronomy Michigan State University East Lansing, Michigan 48824
⁽²⁾Department of Physics University of Wisconsin Madison, Wisconsin 53706
⁽³⁾Department of Physics Brookhaven National Laboratory Upton, New York 11973

Received 5 February 1992

- PACS numbers: 11.30.Er, 13.20.Jf
 - ^(a)Permanent address: Department of Physics, Technion, Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel.
 - ^(b)Present address: High Energy Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439.
 - J. M. Soares, preceding Comment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 2102 (1992).
 - [2] G. Eilam, J. L. Hewett, and A. Soni, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1979 (1991).