## Comment on "Generic Source of Perpendicular Anisotropy in Amorphous Rare-Earth- Transition-Metal Films"

Recently Fu, Mansuripur, and Meystre studied the dipolar contribution  $k_u^d$  to the intrinsic anisotropy energy density  $k_u$  in homogeneous, amorphous, and antiferromagnetically coupled rare-earth-transition-metal (RE-TM) films with a random distribution of atoms on a simple cubic lattice [I). They impose the constraint that no two rare-earth atoms are nearest neighbors, which enhances this contribution. (This constraint cannot be satisfied for their films with Gd concentration larger than 50%.) They find that  $k_u^d \sim 10^3$  ergs/cm<sup>3</sup> for 1000-Å-thick films of GdCo which is quite small compared to the macroscopic demagnetization factor  $2\pi M_s^2$  = 6.4 × 10<sup>6</sup> ergs/  $cm<sup>3</sup>$  based on the saturation magnetization  $M<sub>s</sub>$  given in Ref. [I].

Now, the anisotropy energy density  $k = U_{\parallel} - U_{\perp}$  and  $k_u = k + 2\pi M_s^2$ , where  $U_{\parallel}$  and  $U_{\perp}$  are the energy densities for parallel and perpendicular orientations (with respect to the film plane) of the dipoles, respectively. The dipolar contribution  $k_u^d = 0$  in the macroscopic limit. Thus, nonzero values of  $k_u^d$  are due to the breakdown of the macroscopic theory near the film surfaces.

It is shown in this Comment that the dipolar contributions to anisotropy are similar for ferromagnetic and ferrimagnetic films and that surface dipolar effects alone cannot give rise to perpendicular magnetization in RE-TM films, considered in Ref. [I].

Draaisma and de Jonge (DD) carried out a very detailed study of the dipolar anisotropy energy density  $k^d$  in ferromagnetic films [2]. They performed their calculations on cubic and hexagonal lattices without limiting the range of this interaction. Their results show that

$$
k^d = (1/N_0)[(N_0 - 2)k_c^d + 2k_s^d],
$$
 (1)

where  $N_0$  ( $\geq$  3) is the number of atomic layers. Equation (1) clearly shows that each inner layer makes a volume contribution of  $k_e^d$  and each surface layer makes a contribution of  $k_s^d$  to  $k^d$ . DD found that  $k_c^d = -2\pi M_s^2$ for all lattices, which is the macroscopic result, and  $k_s^d$ varies from  $-1.04$  to  $-0.78$  in units of  $2\pi M_s^2$  for various lattices. With the data from Ref. [2], the value of  $k_u^d$  for a 1000-A-thick face-centered-cubic [100] Co film is  $5.14 \times 10^{3}$  ergs/cm<sup>3</sup>, which is comparable to the ferrimagnetic results in Ref. [I].

For a specific comparison, I chose the ferrimagnetic system with the largest  $k_u^d$  in Ref. [1], i.e.,  $Gd_{50}Co_{50}$ , having the NaCl structure required by the constraint of Ref. [I]. <sup>I</sup> used the procedure in Ref. [2) to numerically calculate  $k^d$  for a 3200×3200×20 lattice. My results agree culate  $\kappa$  for a 3200×3200×20 lattice. My results agree<br>with Eq. (1), with  $k_c^d = -2\pi M_s^2$  (-6.4×10<sup>6</sup> ergs/cm) and  $k_x^d = -0.6 \times 2 \pi M_s^2$ . These results give  $k_y^d = 14.8 \times 10^3$ ergs/cm<sup>3</sup> for a 1000-Å-thick  $Gd_{50}Co_{50}$  film. It is clear from the results in this and the previous paragraph that the macroscopic theory holds for the inner layers and the

deviations from the macroscopic theory for the surface layers are of the same order of magnitude for ferrimagnetic and ferromagnetic films.

It also follows from these results and Eq. (I) that

$$
k^d = -2\pi M_s^2 + O(1/N_0) \tag{2}
$$

For a 1000-Å-thick  $Gd_{50}Co_{50}$  film as considered in Ref. [1], my calculations give  $k^{d} = -2\pi M_s^2 (1 - 0.0023)$ . Thus the dipole-dipole contribution to the anisotropy of all homogeneous and amorphous RE-TM films of thickness of  $\sim$ 1000 Å can be safely represented by the standard macroscopic result of  $-2\pi M_s^2$  due to the demagnetization field. Of course the results will deviate from  $-2\pi M_s^2$  as the deviation from the macroscopic theory contained in the second term in Eq. (2) becomes more important for small values of  $N_0$ . It is also clear from Eq. (2) that  $k_u^d = k^d + 2\pi M_s^2$  is inversely proportional to the film thickness which is a general result for any surface effect. Finally, the present results as well as those in Ref. [1] give  $k^{d} \sim -10^{6}$  ergs/cm<sup>3</sup>. Since  $k^{d} < 0$ , the dipole-dipole interaction alone cannot give rise to the perpendicular magnetization in such RE-TM films  $(k > 0)$ for perpendicular magnetization).

Fu, Mansuripur, and Meystre are not justified in comparing their dipolar results with the data for  $Tb_xFe_{1-x}$ films where the dipolar contribution  $k_u^d$  ( $\sim$ 10<sup>3</sup> ergs/cm<sup>3</sup>) is negligible compared to the single-ion anisotropy energy density of the rare-earth ions due to spin-orbit interactions  $({\sim}10^6 \text{ ergs/cm}^3)$ .

Finally, my value of  $k_u^d$  for Gd<sub>50</sub>Co<sub>50</sub> at 0 K (14.8 × 10<sup>3</sup>)  $ergs/cm<sup>3</sup>$ ) is almost a factor of 2 larger than the corresponding value  $(8.5 \times 10^3 \text{ ergs/cm}^3)$  estimated from the temperature-dependent results in Ref. [I]. This difference may be due to the fact that Fu, Mansuripur, and Meystre limit the long-range dipole-dipole interactions to a distance  $(d_{\text{max}})$  of 10 Å even though their calculated value of  $k_u^d$  (Fig. 3 of Ref. [1]) as a function of  $d_{\text{max}}$  is fluctuating by about 20% near  $d_{\text{max}} = 30$  Å. Also, I notice that the results for  $K_u$  in Figs. 4 and 5 of Ref. [1] do not agree with each other. For example,  $K_u$  for 30 at. % Gd at 300 K in Fig. 4 is more than twice the corresponding value in Fig. 5.

This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Grant No. DE-FG02-86ER45262 and the Cornell National Supercomputing Facility.

## S. S. Jaswal

Behlen Laboratory of Physics and Center for Materials Research and Analysis University of Nebraska Lincoln, Nebraska 68588-01 <sup>l</sup> <sup>1</sup>

Received 12 August 1991

PACS numbers: 75.30.Gw, 75.30.Pd, 75.50.Kj, 75.70.—<sup>i</sup>

- [Il H. Fu, M. Mansuripur, and P. Meystre, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 1086 (1991).
- [2) H. J. G. Draaisma and W. J. M. de Jonge, J. Appl. Phys. 64, 3610 (1988).

1440 **1992** The American Physical Society