Exclusive p-p Correlations from the ⁵⁸Ni + ⁵⁸Ni Reaction at \approx 15 MeV/nucleon

M. Korolija, $(1), (2)$ D. Shapira, (3) N. Cindro, (1) J. Gomez del Campo, (3) H. J. Kim, (3) K. Teh,

and J. Y. Shea^{(2),(4)}

 $^{(1)}$ Ruder Bošković Institute, 41001 Zagreb, Croatia, Yugoslavia

 $t^{(2)}$ Joint Institute for Heavy Ion Research, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

 $^{(3)}$ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

Department of Physics and Astronomy, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235

(Received 15 June 1990; revised manuscript received 29 May 1991)

Exclusive p-p correlations from the ${}^{58}Ni+{}^{58}Ni$ reaction at 850 MeV incident energy have been measured. The enhancement in the p-p correlation function observed for small values of Δp with a gate on v_{rel} is satisfactorily explained by stochastic proton emission followed by the p-p final-state interaction. A strong dependence of the $p-p$ correlation function on the relative angle between the two-proton c.m. and the fragment velocities has been found. Also a dependence of the $p-p$ correlation function on the Δp orientation with respect to the plane defined by the two-proton c.m. and the fragment velocities has been observed. This latter behavior has been attributed to angular momentum effects.

PACS numbers: 25.70.6h, 25.70.Lm, 25.70.Np

In a number of recent heavy-ion collision studies lightparticle correlations were used to obtain information on the size, lifetime, and temperature of the reaction zone [1-4]. The interpretation of the data, however, is complicated by the simultaneous action of several mechanisms that can generate correlated light particles. In particular, an enhancement of the number of correlated light particles with small relative momenta $\Delta p = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{p}_2 - \mathbf{p}_1|$ results from the production and subsequent decay of particleunstable resonances [5,6]. In this picture, the information usually extracted from the correlation analysis is the initial temperature of the completely fused compound system and the multiplicity of the emitted unstable fragments.

On the other hand, for the stochastic proton emission, the interplay of the Coulomb, statistical, and nuclear effects in the final state [7,8] yields information on the source size (r_0) and the lifetime (τ) through a source of effective size $\rho = [r_0^2 + (v_{\text{rel}} \tau)^2]^{1/2}$, where v_{rel} is the velocity of the c.m. of the emitted pair of particles relative to the source velocity. At intermediate incident energies, light-particle emission times are typically of the order of 10^{-22} – 10^{-21} s, and ρ will approach r_0 only in the limit $v_{\text{rel}} \rightarrow 0$. Obviously, the above relation can be experimentally verified only in exclusive measurements.

In this paper we study exclusive $p-p$ correlations from the ${}^{58}Ni+{}^{58}Ni$ reaction. The data were taken at the Holifield Heavy Ion Research Facility of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory using the HILI detection system [9]. In the experiment, a $1-mg/cm^2$ ⁵⁸Ni target was bombarded with an 850 -MeV 58 Ni beam. The charge, energy, and direction of the heavy fragment were obtained from a position-sensitive ionization chamber. Light charged particles (protons and alphas) were detected using an array of 96 ΔE -E phoswich scintillator detectors. The energy calibration for protons was obtained by measuring protons from the elastic scattering of the 58 Ni beam from a polypropylene target at two diferent beam energies. The light-particle detectors had an average angular resolution of 1°. The azimuthal and polar angles of each of these detectors were calculated at the central point and then randomized over the detector area. The maximum polar detection angle was 22°.

The correlation function R was calculated from the expression

$$
R(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_f) + 1 = \frac{\sigma_{123}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_f)}{\sigma_{123}(\mathbf{p}_{1,1}, \mathbf{p}_{1,2}, \mathbf{p}_{1,f}; \mathbf{p}_{2,1}, \mathbf{p}_{2,2}, \mathbf{p}_{2,f})} \ . \tag{1}
$$

Here $\sigma_{123} (\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_f)$ is the measured yield of triplecoincidence events consisting of two protons with momenta p_1 and p_2 and a fragment with momentum p_f . $\sigma'_{123}(p_{1,1}, p_{1,2}, p_{1,f}; p_{2,1}, p_{2,2}, p_{2,f})$ is the background yield of triple coincidences with components stemming from two different events (first set of indices). σ'_{123} was constructed following a method outlined in Ref. [10]. This method selects background events of the same class as those counted in σ_{123} ; thus, the background yield σ'_{123} was constructed from events satisfying the conditions

$$
|\theta_{1,f} - \theta_{2,f}| \le 1^{\circ}, \quad |\phi_{1,f} - \phi_{2,f}| \le 4^{\circ},
$$

$$
|E_{1,f} - E_{2,f}| \le 10 \text{ MeV},
$$
 (2)

where θ_f and ϕ_f are the fragment polar and azimuthal angles. E_f is the fragment kinetic energy, while the indices 1 and 2 refer to the two different events. The described procedure was necessary since the data consisted of triple-coincidence events only, and hence it was not possible to construct one general background yield that could be applied to any set of data.

Every gate on the measured data implies the use of the same gate on the background data in order to have correct normalization properties of the ratio R . In that sense, the conditions (2) should ensure that the enhancement in the $p-p$ correlation function stems from the effect of the p-p final-state interaction rather than from other possible final-state interactions or some inappropriately

constructed backgrounds. As an example, let us consider the possible effect of the p-nucleus Coulomb final-state interaction on the $p-p$ correlation function. In constructing σ'_{123} we have taken into account the heavy fragment and one proton from the same event. In this way, the influence of the p-nucleus Coulomb final-state interaction on the $p-p$ correlation function could be neglected, since the latter is defined as a ratio of the σ_{123} and σ'_{123} yields (which both contain the same p-nucleus Coulomb finalstate interaction contribution). Obviously, this is a good approximation as long as the effect of the p-nucleus Coulomb final-state interaction is small compared with the effects of the $p-p$ final-state interaction. We also note that the total background yield σ'_{123} was obtained by treating each measured event on equal footing, i.e., by putting all measured events in a "big box" and taking into account all possible combinations of the measured events from the big box.

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the $p-p$ correlation functions for heavy-ion fragments with charges in the range

FIG. 1. Proton correlation functions for the ${}^{58}Ni + {}^{58}Ni$ reaction at 850 MeV incident energy for two different experimental arrangements shown on top. The proton correlation functions with no gate on the data (solid symbols) and with a gate on v_{rel} (open symbols) are shown for (a) geometry I and (b) geometry II. Errors reflect statistical uncertainties only.

 $21 \leq Z \leq 26$. The data are with (open symbols) and without (solid symbols) a gate on the relative velocity $v_{\text{rel}} = |\mathbf{v}_{pp} - \mathbf{v}_f|$. To determine the fragment velocity \mathbf{v}_f from \mathbf{p}_f for each fragment of a given charge Z, we have taken the most probable mass obtained from a distribution calculated with a statistical evaporation code LILITA [II]; $v_{pp} = (1/2m_p)(p_1 + p_2)$ is the p-p center-of-mass velocity in the laboratory frame $(m_p$ is the proton mass). Figure 1(a) shows the $p-p$ correlation function with all the three particles detected above the beam axis (geometry I, see top of the figure). Similarly, Fig. 1(b) shows the same for a configuration where the two light particles are detected above and the heavy fragment below the beam axis (geometry II). Notice that only geometry I can detect the two light particles in a small angular cone around v_f ; Fig. 1(a) shows that this geometry yields the strongest $p-p$ correlations.

The distributions of v_{rel} measured in the two geometries are shown in Figs. $2(a)$ and $2(b)$. The effect of selecting events with small v_{rel} (shaded areas in Fig. 2) is illustrated in Fig. 3. By comparing proton (a) and heavy-fragment (b) spectra from Fig. 3, it comes out straightforwardly that low- and high-energy protons are accompanied by quasielastic and deep-inelastic heavy

FIG. 2. Distribution of v_{rel} for (a) geometry I and (b) geometry II. The arrows show the beam velocity (v_{beam}) .

FIG. 3. (a) Proton and (b) fragment kinetic-energy spectra for geometry I. Symbols are as in Fig. 1(a).

fragments, respectively. Furthermore, under the assumption of binary reactions in the incident channel, the "gate-on" and "no-gate" data from Fig. 3(b) overlap in a broad range of fragment excitation energies around $E^* \sim 100$ MeV ($E_f \sim 650$ MeV). Thus, in what follows, we shall relate the extracted source size and the lifetime to fragments in this excitation energy range. Furthermore, the source is assumed to be spherical with a constant mean size during the reaction.

The thermodynamical statistical model [12] predicts the production, followed by subsequent decay, of unstable fragments from the compound system at sufficiently high excitation energy or emission temperature. The contribution of this process in the case of geometry I, where the strongest p-p correlations were observed, was estimated with the Hauser-Feshbach program BUSCO [13]. In calculating the ratio of $2p$ vs ²He yield we included angular $(\pm 3^{\circ})$ and momentum $(\Delta p = 20 \pm 3 \text{ MeV}/c)$ constraints and took into account the actual solid angle covered by the HILI detection system. Then, by taking for the 58 Ni excitation energy $E^* = 150$ MeV and for the angular momentum J_{max} =50*h* we obtained a factor of \sim 10² in favor of $2p$ vs ²He emission.

The source size r_0 and lifetime τ were determined from the comparison of the extracted $p-p$ correlation functions with the calculations obtained using the $p-p$ final-state interaction model from Ref. [7]. Figure 4 shows the extracted p-p correlation functions for Δp projected in the v_{rel} direction (Δp_{\parallel}) and the corresponding fits obtained by taking $\Delta p_{\perp}=0$. We analyzed p-p correlation functions projected in the v_{rel} direction since in this direction the

FIG. 4. Proton correlation functions vs the value of Δp projected on the v_{rel} axis (Δp_{\parallel}) for ⁵⁸Ni+⁵⁸Ni at geometry I. Lines show calculations of the $p-p$ correlation function obtained using the model of Ref. [7], for Δ pllv_{rel}. Symbols are as in Fig. 1(a).

 $p-p$ correlation function is mostly sensitive to lifetime effects $[14]$. The finite resolution of the hodoscope elements has been taken into account in the calculations. Open squares correspond to the $p-p$ correlation functions obtained with a gate on v_{rel} ; while solid squares correspond to a measurement without gate. The lines refer to fits obtained following Ref. [7] by taking $r_0 = 2.7$ fm and varying $v_{rel}\tau$ in the range 9 ± 2 [Fig. 4(a)] and 18 ± 2 fm $[Fig. 4(b)].$

The best-fit values of the source size (r_0) and the lifetime (τ) were determined using the following procedure. First, the value of the source size of 2.7 fm and parameter $v_{rel}\tau = 9 \pm 2$ fm were obtained from the fit to the p-p correlation function measured for the data gated with v_{rel} (open squares). Then, assuming a constant source size, the value of the parameter $v_{rel}\tau = 18 \pm 2$ fm was obtained from the fit to the ungated $p-p$ correlation function. To obtain the average lifetime τ we used for v_{rel} the average values from Fig. 2(a). The gate-on condition yields $\langle v_{\text{rel}} \rangle = 0.06c$, while the no-gate condition yields $\langle v_{\text{rel}} \rangle = 0.10c$. Introducing these values into the fit values for $v_{\text{rel}}\tau$ (Fig. 4), we obtain source-lifetime values
 $(3.9 \le \tau \le 6.1) \times 10^{-22}$ s and $(5.3 \le \tau \le 6.6) \times 10^{-22}$ s for the gate-on and no-gate conditions, respectively.

In the model of p - p final-state interactions of Ref. [7] the influence of the angular momentum involved in the reaction on $p-p$ correlations is not included. On the other hand, the influence of angular momentum effects on twoneutron correlations was discussed in Ref. [15], where it was shown that the $n-n$ correlation function does depend on angular momentum effects. The neutrons were assumed to originate from the rapidly spinning compound nucleus with no *n-n* final-state interaction. Therefore, a similar dependence of the $p-p$ correlation function on the angular momentum could be expected too. If such dependence is experimentally observed, it will affect the extracted source sizes. This can be understood from the following simple consideration. When the source possesses high angular momentum, a given direction for particle emission is preferred. This results in an effective lowering of Δp , because components of Δp in the direction perpendicular to the preferred one will be very small. Since the correlation function depends on the product $\Delta p \Delta r$, angular momentum effects would directly influence the extracted source sizes. In this respect, Figs. $5(a)$ and $5(b)$ show the dependence on the total p-p coincidence yield on the direction of Δp relative to the plane spanned by the vectors v_{pp} and v_f (see inset). The angle θ_n between the normal **n** on this plane and Δp was computed for each event. While the data averaged over the whole v_{rel} distribution [no gate, Fig. 5(a)] show no dependence on the particular slice of θ_n , the data with a gate on v_{rel} [Fig. 5(b)] show a different pattern. The maximum in the $p-p$ coincidence yield corresponds to such events where all three vectors \mathbf{v}_f , \mathbf{v}_{pp} , and $\Delta \mathbf{p}$ are essentially coplanar $(60^{\circ} \le \theta_n \le 90^{\circ})$. The strong dependence of the p-p correlation function on the orientation of Δp , observed in this work, suggests the necessity of including angular momentum effects into the $p-p$ final-state interaction theory.

In summary, the $p-p$ correlation function has been measured for the ⁵⁸Ni+ ⁵⁸Ni reaction at E_{inc} = 850 MeV. The observed enhancement of the p-p correlation function for small Δp values is interpreted as a result of the interaction of the emitted protons in the final state. The interpretation of this enhancement as a result of the production and subsequent decay of the unstable resonance ²He is ruled out on the grounds that the expected production of 2 He is small in the analyzed range of the fragment excitation energy. On the other hand, the $p-p$ correlation function has been found to decrease very rapidly with the increase of the relative angle between the fragment and the two-proton c.m. velocities. The maximum in the $p-p$ correlation function observed when the velocity vectors of all three particles are coplanar is interpreted as a result of angular momentum effects. This calls for the inclusion of angular momentum effects into the $p-p$ final-state interaction theory.

This paper is part of a study under the U.S.—Yugoslav Collaboration Project No. JF 939 DOE/IRB. ORNL is

FIG. 5. Total p -p coincidence yield for ${}^{58}\text{Ni} + {}^{58}\text{Ni}$ data with (a) no gate and (b) with a gate on v_{rel} for geometry I. Symbols in both parts refer to total triple-coincidence yields for the θ_n slices shown in (b).

operated by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., under Contract No. DE-ACO5-840R21400 with the U.S. Department of Energy.

- [1] D. Fox et al., Phys. Rev. C 38, 146 (1988), and references therein.
- [2] T. S. Awes et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2665 (1988).
- [3] D. A. Cebra et al., Phys. Lett. B 227, 336 (1989).
- [4] P. A. de Young et al., Phys. Rev. C 39, 128 (1989).
- [5] M. A. Bernstein et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 402 (1985).
- [6] P. D. Bond and R. J. de Meijer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 2301 (1984); W. G. Lynch et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 2302 (1984).
- [7] S. E. Koonin, Phys. Lett. 708, 43 (1977).
- [8] R. Lednicky and V. L. Lyuboshits, Yad. Fiz. 35, 1316 (1982) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 35, 770 (1982)].
- [9] D. Shapira et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A 301, 76 (1991).
- [10] W. A. Zajc et al., Phys. Rev. C 29, 2173 (1984).
- [11] J. Gomez del Campo and R. Stokstad, ORNL Report No. TM7295, 1981 (unpublished).
- [12] W. A. Friedman and W. G. Lynch, Phys. Rev. C 28, 16 (1983); M. A. Bernstein, W. A. Friedman, and W. G. Lynch, Phys. Rev. C 29, 132 (1984).
- [13] J. Gomez del Campo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 290 (1988).
- [14] S. Pratt and M. B. Tsang, Phys. Rev. C 36, 2390 (1987).
- [15] S. E. Koonin, W. A. Bauer, and A. Schafer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1247 (1989).