Surface Waves in Nonsquare Containers with Square Symmetry

John David Crawford

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260 (Received 14 February 1991)

Experiment and theory for surface waves in square containers suggest that the effective symmetry may be larger than the geometric symmetry of the container cross section. The extra symmetries complicate the construction of normal forms and appear to stabilize effects in the experiments that would otherwise be nongeneric. These symmetries may be directly observed if the sidewalls are deformed to a nonsquare cross section that retains square symmetry. The effects of such a deformation are analyzed.

PACS numbers: 47.20.Ky, 03.40.Gc, 05.45.+b

Experiments on parametrically driven waves provide an interesting context for bifurcation and pattern formation in symmetric systems. Examples recently considered include standing-wave convection in binary mixtures [1], oscillatory "Williams rolls" in nematic liquid crystals [1], and surface waves in fluids. In particular, surface waves excited on a vertically oscillated fluid layer have been extensively studied as a very rich nonlinear system revealing various coherent patterns, including standing waves, precessing modes, and localized solitonlike states, as well as low-dimensional chaotic dynamics and a transition to spatiotemporal chaos [2,3].

It is now well understood that the qualitative features of a pattern-forming bifurcation are largely shaped by the type of instability and symmetries of the system and basic state [4]. Consequently, there is considerable theoretical interest in identifying the relevant group of symmetries of a given experiment and formulating suitable normal-form equations for the critical modes. The surface-wave experiments provide an especially interesting setting for testing the predictions of these symmetric normal forms particularly when the container dimensions are comparable to the wavelengths of the standing-wave pattern. In this low-aspect-ratio regime, the container cross section Ω defines a geometric symmetry Γ that must be appropriately included in the normal-form symmetry. However, in both circular and square geometries, theories that model the experimental observations via normal forms based on Γ alone have not been entirely successful; either certain qualitative features of the observed bifurcations were absent or else nongeneric assumptions were required on the nonlinear terms [5]. These discrepancies suggest there are additional qualitative features of the experiments that must be accounted for in the structure of the normal form. For example, if we regard the experiments as a weakly dissipative system then special features of the underlying conservative dynamics may be important [6]. Indeed recent work has shown that normal forms incorporating a weakly imperfect time-reversal symmetry naturally exhibit the near degeneracy previously introduced by Silber and Krupa on an ad hoc basis [7].

In this paper, I consider a further symmetry, in addition to time reversal and Γ , that appears to explain several puzzling features of the waves in square geometry [8]. Physically this symmetry is a remnant of the horizontal translation symmetry of an infinite fluid layer (no sidewalls), but its conjectured role in the experiment of Simonelli and Gollub (SG) is a subtle consequence of the square container cross section and the relative weakness of both viscous and capillary (surface tension) effects. This additional symmetry cannot appear in circular geometry and its impact on waves in rectangular geometry is less important. Unlike time reversal, even when this symmetry is mathematically exact it is a true symmetry only of the center manifold dynamics and does not belong to the symmetry group of the fluid model, i.e., hydrodynamics plus boundary conditions [9]; that is, we have an additional symmetry in the dynamics of the critical modes that is not shared by the full theory. After discussing the evidence for this effect, I propose an extension of the experiment of SG to test the theory; namely, to study the bifurcation of standing-wave patterns in a square container as the sidewalls are progressively distorted to form a nonsquare cross section that retains square symmetry [10].

For an incompressible, inviscid, irrotational fluid layer oscillating vertically with a displacement $A\cos 2\pi f_0 t$, the free-boundary problem was formulated by Benjamin and Ursell in terms of a velocity potential $\mathbf{u}(x, y, z, t) = \nabla \phi$ and a deformation field for the free surface $z = \zeta(x, y, t)$ [11]. Incompressibility $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ combined with the boundary condition on the normal velocity $\mathbf{\hat{n}} \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$ at the sidewalls and container bottom (z = -h) require $\nabla^2 \phi = 0$ and $\mathbf{\hat{n}} \cdot \nabla \phi = 0$ (sidewalls and bottom) for the potential. A Neumann boundary condition (NBC) provides the simplest compatible boundary condition on the free surface [12],

$$\mathbf{\hat{n}} \cdot \nabla \zeta = 0$$
 (sidewalls), (1)

plus a kinematic boundary condition for ζ on the interior of Ω . The boundary-value problem for ϕ is solved by $\phi(x, y, z, t) = \sum_{mn} b_{mn}(t) \psi_{mn}(x, y) H_{mn}(z), \text{ where } H_{mn}(z)$ = $(\kappa_{mn} \sinh \kappa_{mn} h)^{-1} \cosh \kappa_{mn} (h+z)$ and ψ_{mn} satisfies

$$\nabla_{\perp}^{2}\psi_{mn} + \kappa_{mn}^{2}\psi_{mn} = 0, \quad \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla_{\perp}\psi_{mn} = 0 \quad \text{(sidewalls)}. \tag{2}$$

By virtue of (1) and (2), ζ has an expansion $\zeta(x, y, t)$ $=\sum_{mn}a_{mn}(t)\psi_{mn}(x,y)$ whose amplitudes satisfy the Mathieu equation in linear approximation $(\tau \equiv 2\pi f_0 t)$:

$$\frac{d^2 a_{mn}}{d\tau^2} + (a_{mn} - \beta_{mn} \cos \tau) a_{mn} = 0, \qquad (3)$$

where $\alpha_{mn} = (\omega_{mn}/2\pi f_0)^2$ and $\beta_{mn} = A\kappa_{mn} \tanh \kappa_{mn} h$. The © 1991 The American Physical Society 441 wave frequencies satisfy $\omega_{mn}^2 = \kappa_{mn} \tanh(\kappa_{mn}h)[g + \delta \kappa_{mn}^2/\rho]$, where g is the gravitational acceleration, δ the surface tension, and ρ the density [11].

If we take the cross section Ω to be a square of length π on a side (Fig. 1), the geometric symmetry Γ is generated by reflection about $x = \pi/2$ and reflection across the diagonal, $\gamma_1: (x,y) \rightarrow (\pi - x, y)$ and $\gamma_2: (x,y) \rightarrow (y, x)$, and the normalized eigenfunctions (2) are $\psi_{mn}(x,y) = (2/\pi) \cos mx \cos ny$. For $n \neq m$, the eigenvalue $\kappa_{mn}^2 = m^2 + n^2$ is degenerate with two symmetry-related eigenfunctions (*pure modes*): $\psi_{nm} = \gamma_2 \cdot \psi_{mn}$. However, the *mixed modes*, $\psi_{mn}^{\pm} \equiv \psi_{mn} \pm \psi_{nm}$, provide a more natural basis set for the eigenspace; these states are characterized by a diagonal reflection symmetry $\gamma_2 \cdot \psi_{mn}^{\pm} = \pm \psi_{mn}^{\pm}$. Under reflection in x, the transformation properties of ψ_{mn}^{\pm} depend on the even-odd parity of m + n:

$$\gamma_1 \cdot \psi_{mn}^{\pm} = (-1)^m \times \begin{cases} \psi_{mn}^{\pm}, & m+n \text{ even,} \\ \psi_{mn}^{\pm}, & m+n \text{ odd.} \end{cases}$$
(4)

For each (m,n) there is an infinite set of instability zones in the (A, f_0) parameter space; in the presence of dissipation, the instability corresponding to subharmonic oscillations has the lowest threshold. Consequently, this is usually the first instability observed experimentally, leading to standing waves at frequency $f_0/2$.

The parameter space of SG is shown in Fig. 2 indicating some of the mode numbers of the observed standing waves; a given transition is referred to below as even (odd) parity if m + n is even (odd). The relevant aspects of the bifurcation to standing waves can be most simply described by considering transitions found at frequencies above resonance indicated by the arrows in Fig. 2. In each case a continuous transition to a supercritical pure mode is observed (either ψ_{mn} or ψ_{nm} depending on initial



FIG. 1. (a) The extension by reflection of a NBC solution on $(0,\pi)$ leads to periodic boundary conditions (PBC) on $(-\pi,\pi)$. (b) The relation between the physical domain Ω and the extended domain $\tilde{\Omega}$.

conditions); furthermore, an experimental reconstruction of the phase space reveals additional unstable mixedmode states. The bifurcation diagram is shown in Fig. 2, diagram (a).

It is crucial to appreciate that when the mixed modes transform under γ_1 and γ_2 as described above, this transition is relatively unremarkable for odd parity but quite surprising for even parity [13]. With odd parity the mixed modes are symmetry related, $\gamma_1 \cdot \psi_{23}^+ = \psi_{23}^+$, and therefore have the same eigenvalue; hence they have the same dynamics (e.g., frequency and growth rate). This degeneracy implies that linear combinations of ψ_{23}^+ are also eigenstates with the same eigenvalue; which of these superpositions can develop into standing-wave patterns depends of course on nonlinear effects not included in Eq. (3). For eigenstates transforming as ψ_{23}^+ above, the simultaneous existence of both nonlinear pure modes and nonlinear mixed modes is generically expected, however, and bifurcation diagram (a) in Fig. 2 is well known [5].

By contrast the even-parity mixed modes ψ_{31}^{\pm} are not interchanged by reflection in x: $\gamma_1 \cdot \psi_{31}^{\pm} = -\psi_{31}^{\pm}$; consequently they are *not* related by the geometric symmetry Γ (an observation made originally by SG). In light of the discussion for odd parity, one immediately expects (i) that ψ_{31}^{\pm} and ψ_{31} have different eigenvalues and different dynamics; (ii) that the pure modes will no longer be



FIG. 2. Parameter space for the Faraday experiment in a square container showing the stability boundaries for the onset of standing waves with various mode numbers (m,n). The bifurcation observed along the paths marked by the vertical arrows is represented in diagram (a). Solid branches are stable; the branch pm represents both pure modes, ψ_{mn} and ψ_{nm} , and he branch $mm(\pm)$ represents the nonlinear extension of ψ_{mn}^{\pm} . Diagram (b) indicates the effect on the even-parity transition of deforming the cross section as in Fig. 3.

eigenstates and consequently will not appear as primary branches in the bifurcation diagram, and (iii) that the initial standing-wave pattern will have diagonal reflection symmetry (even or odd depending on whether ψ_{31}^+ or $\psi_{31}^$ becomes unstable first). However, all of these expectations appear to fail in the experiment. Moreover (i) and (ii) also fail in the linear theory of Benjamin and Ursell: ψ_{31}^+ have the same eigenvalue and the pure modes are eigenfunctions.

It is natural to suspect that ψ_{31}^{\pm} are somehow symmetry related after all, and this connection was recently established for the model of an ideal fluid layer obeying NBC as in Eq. (1) [13]. The idea is illustrated in Fig. 1: With NBC, a standing-wave pattern can be smoothly extended by reflection in x and y to give a solution to the corresponding free-boundary problem posed on the larger domain $\tilde{\Omega}$ with *periodic* boundary conditions (PBC). The geometric symmetry $\tilde{\Gamma}$ of $\tilde{\Omega}$ is generated by $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ (reflection in x about x=0), γ_2 as before, and translations in x and y (due to PBC). Thus we can solve the original problem by calculating the bifurcation of standing waves posed on $\tilde{\Omega}$ with PBC and then restricting to those solutions that also satisfy NBC on Ω . The benefit of this viewpoint is it allows one to recognize special features in the original problem that are inherited from the larger symmetry $\tilde{\Gamma}$ on $\tilde{\Omega}$ [14]. For example, the eigenstates (2) on $\tilde{\Omega}$ have the form $\tilde{\psi}_{nm} = \exp[i(mx)]$ (+ny)] and the physical mixed modes ψ_{mn}^{\pm} can be written as linear combinations of $\tilde{\psi}_{mn}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -related states by reexpressing the Fourier expansion of ψ_{mn}^{\pm} :



FIG. 3. Top: The deformation $y = \epsilon \delta(x)$ yields a nonsquare cross section with square symmetry. Bottom: The frequencies f_{mn} of the modes in Fig. 1 for $\delta(x) = \sin x$. Mixed-mode frequencies f_{mn}^{\pm} are denoted by $(m,n) \pm .$

$$\psi_{mn}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2\pi} [\tilde{\psi}_{mn} + \gamma_2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \gamma_2 \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{mn} + \gamma_2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \gamma_2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{mn} + \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{mn} \pm (\gamma_2 \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{mn} + \gamma_2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{mn} + \tilde{\gamma}_1 \gamma_2 \tilde{\gamma}_1 \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{mn} + \tilde{\gamma}_1 \gamma_2 \cdot \tilde{\psi}_{mn})].$$
(5)

Here each term in Eq. (5) represents an eigenstate for the same eigenvalue κ_{mn} and hence ψ_{mn}^{\pm} must have the same eigenvalue; this explains the degeneracy of the mixed modes with even parity. The implications of the larger symmetry $\tilde{\Gamma}$ for the nonlinear behavior of waves satisfying NBC on Ω can be studied using appropriate normal forms; one finds that indeed the simultaneous appearance of pure and mixed-mode branches is expected as described by Fig. 2 [13].

The extension from Γ to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ requires NBC on an initial cross section Ω such that reflection in x and y yields PBC on the extended domain. The theory can be tested by deforming Ω to a cross section Ω' which lacks this property while retaining the geometric symmetry of Ω . Thus we are led to consider cross sections Ω' having nonsquare boundaries but square symmetry as illustrated in Fig. 3.

The effects of such a deformation on ψ_{mn}^{\pm} are easily calculated in the model of Benjamin and Ursell by noting that the geometry of the cross section enters the linear frequency ω_{mn} only though the eigenvalue κ_{mn} in Eq. (2).

Given the effect of the deformation on κ_{mn} one can describe the perturbed patterns and shifted frequencies for the standing waves. Denote the deformation of Ω by $y = \epsilon \delta(x)$ as indicated in Fig. 3; the eigenfunctions $\Psi_{mn}(x,y)$ on Ω' satisfy $\nabla^2 \Psi_{mn} + k_{mn}^2 \Psi_{mn} = 0$ subject to $\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla \Psi_{mn} |_{\partial \Omega'} = 0$, where $\partial \Omega'$ denotes the boundary of Ω' . By introducing the Green function for the unperturbed problem we write an integral equation [15] for Ψ_{mn} ,

$$\Psi_{mn}(\mathbf{x}') = \sum_{pq} \frac{\psi_{pq}(\mathbf{x}')}{k_{mn}^2 - \kappa_{pq}^2} \int_{\partial \Omega'} ds \,\Psi_{pq}(\mathbf{x}(s)) \,\hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla_{\perp} \psi_{pq}(\mathbf{x}(s)) ,$$
(6)

and solve for Ψ_{mn} and k_{mn}^2 iteratively using the initial choice

$$\Psi_{mn}^{(0)} = a\psi_{mn} + b\psi_{nm} \,. \tag{7}$$

Here *a* and *b* represent the amplitudes of the unperturbed states in an *a priori* arbitrary linear combination satisfying the normalization $a^2+b^2=1$. Inserting Eq. (7) into the right-hand side of (6) yields $\Psi_{mn}^{(1)}$ in the presence of the perturbation:

$$\Psi_{mn}^{(1)} = (k_{mn}^2 - \kappa_{mn}^2)^{-1} [(aA_{mnmn} + bA_{nmmn})\psi_{mn} + (aA_{mnnm} + bA_{nmnm})\psi_{nm}] + \sum_{pq} \frac{\psi_{pq}}{k_{mn}^2 - \kappa_{pq}^2} [aA_{mnpq} + bA_{nmpq}], \quad (8)$$

443

where the sum on p,q in Eq. (8) omits (m,n) and (n,m). The overlap integral (around the perturbed boundary),

$$A_{mnpq} \equiv \int_{\partial \Omega'} ds \, \psi_{mn}(\mathbf{x}(s)) \, \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \nabla \psi_{pq}(\mathbf{x}(s)) \,, \qquad (9)$$

vanishes when $\epsilon = 0$ and can be shown to satisfy two identities: (i) For arbitrary indices mnpq, $A_{mnpq} \equiv A_{nmqp}$, and (ii) when either m + p or n + q is odd, $A_{mnpq} \equiv 0$. Consistency between (7) and (8) now requires

$$a = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[\frac{aA_{mnmn} + bA_{mnm}}{k_{mn}^2 - \kappa_{mn}^2} \right],$$

$$b = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \left[\frac{aA_{mnm} + bA_{mnmn}}{k_{mn}^2 - \kappa_{mn}^2} \right].$$
(10)

Forming the ratio of these two equations and cross multiplying yields

$$(a^2 - b^2) \frac{\partial A_{mnm}}{\partial \epsilon} \bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = 0; \qquad (11)$$

this constraint is identically satisfied for odd parity when $A_{mnnm} \equiv 0$, but for m + n even, we must take $a^2 = b^2$ or $b = \pm a$ and $a = 1/\sqrt{2}$. Thus the mixed modes ψ_{mn}^{\pm} are the correct basis set to treat the perturbation for even parity. After setting $a = 1/\sqrt{2}$ and $b = \pm 1/\sqrt{2}$, the perturbed eigenstates can be written as

$$\Psi_{mn}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [1 + O(\epsilon)] \psi_{mn}^{\pm} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{pq} \frac{A_{mnpq} \psi_{pq}^{\pm}}{(k_{mn}^{\pm})^2 - \kappa_{pq}^2} + O(\epsilon^2).$$
(12)

The eigenvalues for the perturbed mixed modes follow similarly from Eq. (10),

$$(k_{mn}^{\pm})^{2} = [\kappa_{mn}^{2} + A_{mnmn} + O(\epsilon^{2})]$$
$$\pm [A_{mnm} + O(\epsilon^{2})], \qquad (13)$$

and the perturbed wave frequencies are given by $(\omega_{min}^{\pm})^2 = k_{min}^{\pm} \tanh(k_{min}^{\pm}h)[g + \delta(k_{min}^{\pm})^2/\rho]$. As expected from the symmetry analysis, the wave frequencies split only if the parity is even since the deformation breaks the $\tilde{\Gamma}$ symmetry but not the square symmetry; this splitting should be observable. For parameters of Ref. [10] and the particular deformation $\delta(x) = \sin x$, the frequency variation with ϵ based on Eq. (13) is shown in Fig. 3. Note also that the perturbed wave patterns in Eq. (12) still correspond to mixed modes in the sense that the diagonal reflection symmetry is retained, $\gamma_2 \cdot \Psi_{min}^{\pm} = \pm \Psi_{min}^{\pm}$, although there are now many wave numbers present in the pattern.

The variation of the nonlinear behavior with ϵ is not fully understood especially in the neighborhood of the

mode interactions (i.e., points of multiple linear instability). For the specific bifurcation diagram in Fig. 2(a), the splitting of the linear eigenvalues for the mixed modes should lead to the perturbed diagram of Fig. 2(b). In this diagram the mixed modes now branch independently from the basic state. The reflection symmetry of the stable mixed mode is subsequently broken in a secondary pitchfork bifurcation yielding states which at large amplitude (and small ϵ) resemble the pure modes of the unperturbed system.

I am grateful to Professor J. Gollub for providing Fig. 2. The hospitality of the Aspen Center for Physics where part of this research was done is gratefully acknowledged.

- I. Rehberg, B. Winkler, M. Torre Juarez, S. Rasenat, and W. Schöpf, in *Festkörperprobleme: Advances in Solid State Physics* (Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1989), Vol. 29, p. 35.
- [2] J. P. Gollub and R. Ramshankar, in *New Perspectives in Turbulence*, edited by S. Orszag and L. Sirovich (Springer, New York, 1991).
- [3] J. Miles and D. Henderson, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 22, 143 (1990).
- [4] J. D. Crawford and E. Knobloch, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 23, 341 (1991).
- [5] M. Silber and E. Knobloch, Phys. Lett. A 137, 349 (1989); J. D. Crawford, E. Knobloch, and H. Riecke, Physica (Amsterdam) 44D, 340 (1990).
- [6] D. Armbruster, J. Guckenheimer, and S. Kim, Phys. Lett. A 140, 416 (1989).
- [7] M. Silber and M. Krupa, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 35, 2282 (1990).
- [8] F. Simonelli and J. P. Gollub, J. Fluid Mech. 199, 471 (1989).
- [9] We say γ is a symmetry of the fluid model if given any solution x then γ·x is also a solution satisfying both the equations of motion and the boundary conditions.
- [10] J. D. Crawford, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 35, 2300 (1990).
- [11] T. B. Benjamin and F. Ursell, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A 255, 505 (1954).
- [12] With NBC we ignore the presence of a meniscus at the wall due to surface tension.
- [13] J. D. Crawford (to be published).
- [14] H. Fujii, M. Mimura, and Y. Nishiura, Physica (Amsterdam) 5D, 1 (1982); G. Dangelmayr and D. Armbruster, in *Multiparameter Bifurcation Theory*, edited by M. Golubitsky and J. Guckenheimer (American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1986); J. D. Crawford, M. Golubitsky, M. G. M. Gomes, E. Knobloch, and I. Stewart, in *Singularity Theory and Its Applications, Warwick, 1989*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 2, edited by R. M. Roberts and I. N. Stewart (Springer, Heidelberg, 1991).
- [15] P. M. Morse and H. Feshbach, Methods of Theoretical Physics (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953), Vol. 2, p. 1052.