Comment on "Giant Out-of-Plane Magnetoresistance in Bi-Sr-Ca-Cu-O: A New Dissipation Mechanism in Copper-Oxide Superconductors?"

Recently Briceño, Crommie, and Zettl [1] reported a measurement of out-of-plane magnetoresistivity $\rho_c(T, H)$ in single crystal Bi₂Sr₂CaCu₂O₈. As in a previous work [2], their ρ_c data measured in fields H parallel to the c axis follow normal-state resistivity $\rho_{Nc}(T)$ down to a temperature much lower than the zero-field transition temperature T_{c0} and exhibit a huge linear (Ohmic) dissipation over a broad range below T_{c0} . This anomalous behavior in the force-free configuration was attributed by the authors to, as in 1D whiskers, phase-slippage events occurring through possible interlayer weak links. However, they did not clarify the mechanism causing the phase slippage nor did they try to consistently understand their data of in-plane resistivity $\rho_{ab}(T, H)$ which showed a "shoulder" for H||c.

In this Comment, we point out that such ρ_c (and ρ_{ab}) data in the Ohmic regime where we have no phase transitions can naturally be explained according to the orderparameter fluctuation theory developed in Ref. [3]. This approach, which is similar to those of previous works for H=0 [4], is appropriate to the H lc configuration in which complicated effects such as intrinsic pinning are irrelevant. We tried to fit both the ρ_c and ρ_{ab} data of sample 1 of Ref. [1]. As in Ref. [3], the total resistivity ρ_i (i=ab or c) is assumed to be expressed by $\rho_i = \rho_{Ni}/(1$ $+C_i^{-1}\rho_{Ni}\sigma_i$). Here ρ_{Ni} and σ_i are the (extrapolated) normal resistivity and the fluctuation conductivity derived in Ref. [3], respectively, and an additional parameter C_i is used for fitting together with other material parameters. The theoretical curves we obtained are shown in Fig. 1 together with the sample-1 data of Ref. [1]. Concerning the slight disagreement between the data and the solid curve for ρ_c in 0.5 T, we point out that the positions of the 0.5-T data relative to the zero-field data are inconsistent with those seen in the sample-2 data of Ref. [1]. On the whole the agreement obtained for ρ_c seems satisfactory. For this agreement, it is particularly important that σ_c strongly depends on the out-of-plane coherence length ξ_{0c} [see Eqs. (3.16) and (4.1) in Ref. [3]]. In addition, the theory can explain the well-known "fanshaped" broadening of ρ_{ab} above the shoulder implying flux flow. Here we emphasize that, as noted in Ref. [3], a different theoretical model which takes account of sample disorder should be required to explain the data below the shoulder where theoretical curves, especially for $I \perp H$, deviate from the data. In fact, the resistivity data below the shoulder seem to have a much weaker field dependence than those above it.

We expect that transport and thermodynamic quanti-



FIG. 1. Comparison of our theoretical curves with the ρ_{ab} and ρ_c data of sample 1 in Ref. [1]. Each dotted curve denotes extrapolated normal-state resistivity. The parameters used for the calculations (solid curves) are $\xi_0 = 9$ Å, $\xi_{0c} = 0.13$ Å, $\kappa = 228$, $C_{ab} = 6.5$, $C_c = 2.7$, and $T_{c0} = 86.7$ K for both ρ_{ab} and ρ_c (see Ref. [3] for notations).

ties in the fluctuation-dominated regime of the mixed state should be explained by the same theoretical picture. A detailed analysis [5] of twinned and untwinned YBa-CuO crystal data seems to support this expectation.

R. Ikeda, T. Ohmi, and T. Tsuneto Department of Physics Kyoto University Kyoto 606, Japan

Received 12 June 1991

- PACS numbers: 72.15.Gd, 74.60.Ec
 - [1] G. Briceño, M. F. Crommie, and A. Zettl, Phys. Rev. Lett. 66, 2164 (1991).
 - [2] K. Kadowaki, J. N. Li, and J. J. M. Franse (to be published).
 - [3] R. Ikeda, T. Ohmi, and T. Tsuneto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 60, 1051 (1991).
 - [4] For instance, J. R. Tucker and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 3, 3768 (1971).
 - [5] U. Welp, S. Fleshler, W. K. Kwok, R. A. Klemm, V. M. Vinokur, J. Downey, and G. W. Crabtree (to be published).