

Comment on "Second-Order Quadrupolar Echo in Solids"

In a recent Letter, Han and Kessemeier [1] report observations of NMR spin echoes of boron impurities in silicon, and identify echo relaxation with a mechanism due to nonsecular terms in the Zeeman-electric-quadrupole Hamiltonian. I argue that spin relaxation of this type cannot be caused by a static electric quadrupole interaction, and must in this case be due to dipolar interactions or to fluctuating hyperfine fields.

In this problem, a distinction must be made between eigenstates of the Zeeman plus quadrupole Hamiltonians ($H_Z + H_Q$), and eigenstates of the pure Zeeman Hamil-

tonian. The former, Zeeman-quadrupole states, will be denoted Ψ_m^{ZQ} , whereas the latter states, Ψ_m^0 , are the familiar I_z spin eigenstates. A coherence between states Ψ_m^{ZQ} is a sum of eigenstates with a well-defined phase relationship (or a statistically dominant phasing). A "90° pulse" will establish such a coherence in quadrupole NMR, and the static Zeeman-quadrupole field will induce a linear advance of the coherence phase, equivalent to spin precession. The static Zeeman-quadrupole field cannot, however, induce relaxation, since the states are eigenstates.

Consider, then, the Hamiltonian for the quadrupole interaction:

$$\langle \Psi_n^0 | H_Q | \Psi_m^0 \rangle = \langle \Psi_n^0 | \frac{1}{4} \omega_Q a_0 \{ I_z^2 - \frac{1}{3} I(I+1) \} + \frac{1}{6} \omega_Q \{ a_{1+} (I_z I_+ + I_+ I_z) + a_{1-} (I_z I_- + I_- I_z) + a_{2+} I_+^2 + a_{2-} I_-^2 \} | \Psi_m^0 \rangle. \quad (1)$$

This form is the same as Eq. (1) of Han and Kessemeier [1] except that the matrix elements in the Ψ_m^0 representation are shown explicitly [2]. In this representation, the Zeeman Hamiltonian is diagonal, whereas the quadrupole Hamiltonian contains off-diagonal terms, as can be seen from (1).

Expression of H_Q in the Ψ_m^{ZQ} basis can be done as a perturbation series in ω_Q/ω_L , where ω_L is the Larmor frequency (strength of the Zeeman Hamiltonian). In contrast to (1), H_Q in this representation will contain all powers of ω_Q . This procedure was followed by Han and Kessemeier [1] to third order, but we must note that the state basis is the Ψ_m^{ZQ} , not the Zeeman states. In this representation, the Zeeman Hamiltonian H_Z is not diagonal, and the off-diagonal matrix elements of H_Z must be included as well as those of H_Q , in determining the evolution of the density matrix.

In the Ψ_m^{ZQ} basis, $H_Z + H_Q$ is diagonal, so density matrix evolution will be as outlined above: Elements ρ_{mn} are multiplied by a complex phase factor $\exp\{i(E_m^{ZQ} - E_n^{ZQ})t/\hbar\}$, equivalent to spin precession in a static field. The nonsecular elements of H_Q are exactly compensated by nonsecular elements of H_Z , giving no net relaxation of density matrix elements (once the effect of the refocusing pulse is considered). For instance, to first order we have

$$|\Psi_m^{ZQ}\rangle = |\Psi_m^0\rangle + \sum_{p \neq m} \frac{\langle \Psi_p^0 | H_Q | \Psi_m^0 \rangle |\Psi_p^0\rangle}{E_m^0 - E_p^0}, \quad (2)$$

and direct calculation shows that $\langle \Psi_n^{ZQ} | H_Z | \Psi_m^{ZQ} \rangle = -\langle \Psi_n^0 | H_Q | \Psi_m^0 \rangle$ to first order, for $n \neq m$. The source of apparent relaxation due to H_Q matrix elements can be attributed to the neglect of H_Z matrix elements.

Echo relaxation in quadrupole-split systems has received several treatments; Fedders [3] gives a general formalism. Using the 0.20-G dipolar width for ^{29}Si [4], the flip-flop portion of the second moment [5] will yield a spin-diffusion contribution to the ^{11}B T_2 , approximately 40 ms. Also $2 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ B in silicon is a concentration comparable to that of the ^{29}Si nuclei, and if distributed

randomly, it is easy to show that a direct ^{11}B - ^{11}B contribution will also be of order 40 ms, using the quadrupole-truncated dipolar coupling [5]. B clustering, though, might explain the observed [1] 340- μs decay (if locally the quadrupole field seen by neighbor B sites is equivalent). Evidence of electron clustering has been observed for P in Si near the metal-insulator transition, [6] and typically for diluted alloy concentrations of 10% or more yield dipolar widths comparable to the fully concentrated situation [5].

Alternatively, low-frequency fluctuations can produce spin-echo decay, and are more likely to produce an exponential decay than a dipolar mechanism. An enhanced low-frequency spin-fluctuation spectrum has been deduced from the ^{29}Si T_1 of phosphorous-doped silicon at low temperatures [7], which makes this mechanism seem reasonable; the temperature dependence may provide a strong clue.

Joseph H. Ross, Jr.

Department of Physics
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas 77843

Received 12 August 1991

PACS numbers: 76.60.Lz, 33.25.Fs, 61.16.Hn

- [1] D.-Y. Han and H. Kessemeier, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 346 (1991).
- [2] M. H. Cohen and F. Reif, in *Solid State Physics*, edited by Frederick Seitz and David Turnbull (Academic, New York, 1957), Vol. 5.
- [3] P. A. Fedders, Phys. Rev. B **11**, 995 (1975).
- [4] R. K. Sundfors and D. F. Holcomb, Phys. Rev. **136**, A810 (1964).
- [5] A. Abragam, *Principles of Nuclear Magnetism* (Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1983).
- [6] H. Alloul and P. Dellouve, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 578 (1987).
- [7] M. A. Paalanen, A. E. Ruckenstein, and G. A. Thomas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 1295 (1985).