

### Monte Carlo Mean-Field Theory

In a recent Letter, Netz and Berker [1] proposed a new method combining mean-field theory and Monte Carlo sampling and applied it very successfully to frustrated Ising spin systems in two and three dimensions. The method modified mean-field theory by introducing the hard-spin condition and relied on stochastic sampling to determine the local field acting on a given spin. Specifically, for an Ising spin system with Hamiltonian  $\beta H = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} S_i S_j$ , where the  $S_i = \pm 1$  at each lattice site  $i$  and the sum is over nearest-neighbor spins, their equations are

$$\langle S_i \rangle = \tanh(H_i), \quad (1)$$

$$H_i = -J \sum_j S_j, \quad (2)$$

with the nearest-neighbor field  $H_i$  constructed stochastically with  $S_j = \pm 1$ , the sign of  $S_j$  selected to be equal to  $\langle S_i \rangle - r$ , where  $r$  is a random number in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ . As noted by Netz and Berker, conventional mean-field equations would replace (2) by  $H_i = -J \sum_j \langle S_j \rangle$ .

We make the following observations:

(1) Equation (2) along with Eq. (1) replaced by  $\langle S_i \rangle = \langle \tanh(H_i) \rangle$ , where the average is taken with respect to the canonical ensemble, are *exact*. This is readily derived by explicitly tracing out the spin  $S_i$  in the exact expression for  $\langle S_i \rangle$  followed by simple algebraic manipulations. This is one of the Callen identities [2].

(2) Conventional mean-field theory results on replacing  $\langle \tanh(H_i) \rangle$  by  $\tanh(\langle H_i \rangle)$ .

(3) The approach of Netz and Berker is equivalent to an approximate evaluation of  $\langle \tanh(H_i) \rangle$  with a factorized statistical weight  $P\{S\} = \prod_i \frac{1}{2} (1 + \langle S_i \rangle S_i)$ . This is equivalent to expanding  $\tanh(H_i)$  as a sum over all possible products of  $S_j$ , taking into account  $S_j^2 = +1$ . Thus for a triangular lattice with nearest-neighbor exchange and a magnetic field,

$$\begin{aligned} \tanh H_i = & a_0 + \sum_{j=1}^6 a_1 S_j + \sum_{j \neq k} a_2 S_j S_k \\ & + \cdots + a_6 S_1 S_2 S_3 S_4 S_5 S_6, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_j$ ,  $j = 1-6$ , are nearest-neighbor sites of  $i$ . The  $a$ 's are functions of the magnetic field and coupling. Following the expansion, the averages are factorized, with, e.g.,  $\langle S_j S_k S_l \rangle = \langle S_j \rangle \langle S_k \rangle \langle S_l \rangle$  ( $j \neq k \neq l$ ).

(4) Thus, the Netz and Berker method is an improved mean-field theory [3] and does *not* require any Monte

Carlo sampling. Indeed, we have been able to rederive the results of Ref. [1], almost trivially, for the nearest-neighbor antiferromagnetic Ising model on a triangular lattice with three coupled equations for the sublattice magnetizations. In practice, for a continuous spin model with no translational symmetry, the Netz-Berker Monte Carlo mean-field idea may be particularly useful.

(5) The improved mean-field theory is exact in one dimension in the absence of an external field. However, it does not distinguish between a ferromagnet on a triangular lattice in two dimensions and on a simple cubic lattice in three dimensions. The improved mean-field theory is exact to  $O(1/d^2)$  in a large- $d$  expansion for a nearest-neighbor Ising ferromagnet on a hypercubic lattice.

(6) For an Ising ferromagnet on a square lattice, the improved mean-field theory yields  $J_c \approx 0.3236$  compared with the standard mean-field prediction  $J_c \equiv 0.25$  and the Onsager result  $J_c \approx 0.4407$ . The spectacular agreement of Netz and Berker [1] with conventional Monte Carlo simulations suggests that the factorization approximation is better for fully frustrated systems.

We are grateful to NASA, the NSF, and the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society for their support.

Jayanth R. Banavar, Marek Cieplak,<sup>(a)</sup> and Amos Maritan<sup>(b)</sup>

Department of Physics and Materials Research Laboratory  
The Pennsylvania State University  
104 Davey Laboratory  
University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Received 27 February 1991

PACS numbers: 75.25.+z, 05.50.+q, 64.60.Cn, 75.50.Lk

<sup>(a)</sup>Permanent address: Institute of Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 02-668 Warsaw, Poland.

<sup>(b)</sup>On leave of absence from Dipartimento di Fisica di Bari and Sezione Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare di Bari, Bari, Italy.

[1] R. R. Netz and A. N. Berker, Phys. Rev. Lett. **66**, 377 (1991).

[2] H. B. Callen, Phys. Lett. **4**, 161 (1963).

[3] For another formulation of the improved mean-field theory, see G. Parisi for uniform systems [*Statistical Field Theory* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1988)] and A. Maritan, G. Langie, and J. O. Indekeu for inhomogeneous systems [*Physica (Amsterdam)* **170A**, 326 (1991)]. A similar trick may also be relevant for Glauber dynamics, see e.g., J. R. Banavar, M. Cieplak, and M. Muthukumar, J. Phys. C **18**, L157 (1985).