## Wetting of Fractally Rough Surfaces

Recently,<sup>1</sup> Pfeifer, Wu, Cole, and Krim (PWCK) studied multilayer adsorption on fractally rough surfaces, considering the fractal geometry and van der Waals forces. We show that surface-tension effects, which PWCK omit, lead to a universal divergence of the wetting layer thickness on self-similar fractals,<sup>2</sup> quite possibly invalidating their interpretation of adsorption isotherms. We offer an alternative interpretation that roughness of the surface is better described by self-affine fluctuations<sup>3</sup> with an exponent  $\zeta_S \approx 0.37$ .

Consider a self-similar surface with fractal dimension  $d_f > 2$  between length scales  $l_1$  and  $l_2 > l_1$ . The volume of voids above the surface up to a height z scales as<sup>2</sup>  $\Omega(z) \approx l_2^{d_f} z^{3-d_f}$ . The free energy of the wetting layer is given by<sup>2,4</sup>

$$\delta f(z) \approx l_2^{-2} \Omega(z) [u/z^{\sigma} + \mu + K/z], \qquad (1)$$

where  $\sigma = 3$  (or 4) for nonretarded (retarded) van der Waals forces of amplitude  $u, \mu$  is the liquid-vapor chemical potential difference, and K is the surface tension. The last term comes from the smoothening of the layer surface [its area scales as  $S(z) = d \Omega(z)/dz \approx l_2^{d_f z} z^{2-d_f}$ ]. As  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ , the equilibrium thickness  $z_e$  diverges: For small  $\mu$  or large z ( $l_1 < z_c < z_e < l_2$ ),  $z_e \propto K\mu^{-1}$ . This leading behavior is highly universal: The exponent is independent of  $d_f$  and  $\sigma$ . Similarly, the coverage N scales as  $N \propto \mu^{-(3-d_f)}$ , independent of  $\sigma$ .

Since PWCK omit the surface-tension term in Eq. (1), they obtain

$$z_{\rho} \propto \mu^{-1/\sigma}, \quad N \propto \mu^{-(3-d_f)/\sigma}, \tag{2}$$

valid only for films smaller than a crossover thickness  $z_c$ , such that surface tension is unimportant. As usual  $z_c$  is not precisely defined:  $z_c^{(1)} = (u/K)^{1/(\sigma-1)}$  from Eq. (1), or  $z_c^{(2)} = [d_f u/(d_f - 2)K]^{1/(\sigma-1)}$  from  $\delta \dot{f}(z_e) = 0$ . For N<sub>2</sub> on Ag,<sup>1</sup> we find  $z_c^{(1)} \approx 4$  Å, and assuming  $d_f = 2.3$ ,  $z_c^{(2)} \approx 12$  Å, in qualitative agreement with an estimate of Pfeifer, Kenntner, and Cole,<sup>5</sup>  $z_c = 19$  Å. Since the observed power-law regime extends from 8 to 20 or 45 Å,<sup>1</sup> the interpretation in terms of a self-similar fractal with  $d_f > 2$  is quite suspect.<sup>6</sup>

There is now emerging consensus that scanning-tunneling-microscopy pictures, with no overhangs, provide a reliable description of the substrate roughness. Thus a better characterization is that the substrate is self-affine; i.e., its height fluctuations over a distance L scale as  $L^{\zeta_S}$ . (In this case,  $d_f = 2$ , although one can define a local fractal dimension  $D_f = 3 - \zeta_S$ .<sup>3</sup>) As shown by Andelman, Joanny, and Robbins,<sup>7</sup> there is a characteristic healing length  $\xi_H$  (diverging with the film thickness). For length scales less than  $\xi_H$  the surface is smooth due to surface tension, while for larger scales it follows the substrate. Incorporating the resulting surface energy, the free energy per unit area is<sup>4</sup>

$$\delta f(z) \approx z \left[ u/z^{\sigma} + \mu + KA_S/z^{\tau+1} \right], \tag{3}$$

where  $\tau = 2(1 - \zeta_S)/\zeta_S$ , and  $A_S$  is related to the *amplitude* of substraight height fluctuations. [A proof of Eq. (3) from a functional renormalization-group treatment will be given elsewhere.] When the surface-tension energy in Eq. (3) dominates, the adsorption isotherms are determined by  $\zeta_S$ . Indeed the experimentally observed power law is consistent with  $\zeta_S \approx 0.37$ . However, this value of  $\zeta_S$  is too small to overcome the van der Waals attraction asymptotically. For sufficiently thick films, a crossover to van der Waals-dominated wetting is expected for  $z > z_c = (KA_S/u)^{1/(\tau+1-\sigma)}$ , since  $\tau+1 > \sigma$ . The position of this crossover depends on microscopic features of the substrate (such as  $A_S$ ), and is not universal.

We acknowledge support from NSF Grant No. DMR-86-20386, from the A. P. Sloan Foundation (M.K.), and from the National Fund for Scientific Research of Belgium (J.O.I.).

Mehran Kardar

Physics Department Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Joseph O. Indekeu

Laboratorium voor Vaste Stoffysika en Magnetisme Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Celestijnenlaan 200 D B-3030 Leuven, Belgium

Received 18 January 1990

PACS numbers: 68.45.Gd, 05.70.Jk, 64.60.Ak, 68.35.Bs

<sup>1</sup>P. Pfeifer, Y. J. Wu, M. W. Cole, and J. Krim, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 1997 (1989).

<sup>2</sup>P.-G. de Gennes, in *Physics of Disordered Materials*, edited by D. Adler, H. Fritzche, and S. R. Ovshinsky (Plenum, New York, 1985).

<sup>3</sup>B. Mandelbrot, *The Fractal Geometry of Nature* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1981).

<sup>4</sup>M. Kardar and J. O. Indekeu, Europhys. Lett. **12**, 161 (1990).

<sup>5</sup>P. Pfeifer, J. Kenntner, and M. W. Cole, in Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Fundamentals of Adsorption, edited by A. Mersmann (American Institute of Chemical Engineers, New York, to be published).

<sup>6</sup>D. Andelman and M. O. Robbins (private communication) also emphasize the importance of surface tension and find a similar estimate for  $z_c$ .

<sup>7</sup>D. Andelman, J.-F. Joanny, and J. O. Robbins, Europhys. Lett. 7, 731 (1988).