CP-Violating Correlations in Electron-Positron Annihilation into τ Leptons

W. Bernreuther^(a)

Theoretical Physics Group, Physics Division, Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, Berkeley, California 94720

O. Nachtmann

Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany and Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California 94309 (Received 14 August 1989)

An analysis of *CP*-violating effects in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ is outlined. Some tensor observables sensitive to *CP*-odd couplings arising from possible electric or weak dipole moments $d_{\tau}, \tilde{d}_{\tau}$ of the τ are presented. For the case where both τ 's decay via $\tau \rightarrow \pi v_{\tau}$ we estimate the the accuracies with which \tilde{d}_{τ} and d_{τ} can be measured at the Z resonance and at a τ -charm or B factory, respectively.

PACS numbers: 11.30.Er, 13.10.+q, 14.60.Jj

One of the most intriguing phenomena of particle physics is CP violation, observed so far only in the neutral-kaon system. Great effort has been made to find evidence for CP and/or time-reversal (T) violation in other places. Among those efforts are the searches for electric dipole moments (EDM's) of particles. (An EDM of a particle signals breakdown of T invariance which, if the CPT theorem applies, also implies CP violation.) Stringent upper limits on the EDM of the neutron and the electron have been obtained.¹ Not much is known however, about EDM's of heavy fermions, i.e., of the τ lepton and of baryons containing c or b quarks.² As EDM's of particles are very sensitive to new CPviolating interactions³ (that is, forces not described by the Kobayashi-Maskawa mechanism⁴) it is of great interest to obtain direct experimental information also on EDM's of heavy flavors.

In this Letter we show that the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^-$ is well suited to study two *CP*-violating parameters of the τ lepton: to wit, its electric and weak dipole form factors $d_{\tau}(s)$, $\tilde{d}_{\tau}(s)$ at c.m. energy \sqrt{s} which may be present in the $\tau \bar{\tau}$ photon⁵ and $\tau \bar{\tau} Z$ boson vertices, respectively. [The EDM of the τ is given by $d_{\tau}(s=0)$.] We shall also briefly discuss models where these form factors can be sizable.

In the following we consider the reaction

$$e^{+}(p_{+}) + e^{-}(p_{-}) \rightarrow \tau^{+}(k_{+}) + \tau^{-}(k_{-})$$
 (1)

in the c.m. system. We assume unpolarized e^+ and e^- beams. The initial state is then described by a *CP*-invariant density matrix. *CP*-odd observables for the final state can be constructed from the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{k}}_+ = \mathbf{k}_+ / |\mathbf{k}_+|$ and the τ^+ and τ^- spin operators denoted by $\sigma \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes \sigma$. They act in the product space of the τ^+ and τ^- spin spaces. Under a *CP* transformation we have

$$\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{+} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{k}}_{+}, \ \sigma \otimes 1 \leftrightarrow 1 \otimes \sigma.$$
 (2)

A basis for all spin-momentum correlation observables is given by irreducible tensors of rank n (n=0,1,2,...)constructed from the three vectors above. The *CP* transformation (2) allows the classification of these observables as *CP*-even and -odd ones. Restricting ourselves to tensors of rank $n \le 2$ we find fourteen linearly independent *CP*-odd observables.⁶ Here we only give two rank-2 tensor observables which we consider particularly promising for experimental investigations:

$$A_{ii} = \hat{k}_{+i} [\hat{\mathbf{k}}_{+} \times (\sigma \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes \sigma)]_{i} + (i \leftrightarrow j), \qquad (3)$$

$$B_{ij} = \hat{k}_{+i} [(\sigma \otimes 1) \times (1 \otimes \sigma)]_j + (i \leftrightarrow j) - \frac{2}{3} \delta_{ij} (\text{trace}), (4)$$

where $1 \le i, j \le 3$ are the Cartesian vector indices. Clearly, A,B are odd under CP. Since they are CPTeven no final-state interactions are required to render their expectation values nonzero. Thus, they can get contributions from CP-violating interactions at the treediagram level.

Let us turn now to *CP*-violating interactions. The standard model clearly predicts no observable *CP* violation in the leptonic reaction (1). For classifying the effects of new *CP*-violating interactions on (1) we assume that these interactions are characterized by a scale $\Lambda_{CP} \gg \sqrt{s}$. An effective Lagrangian analysis can then be made where the *CP*-violating forces are represented by local operators of dimension *d* with couplings proportional to Λ_{CP}^{4-d} . We neglect the electron mass and keep only contact terms corresponding to operators with $d \leq 6$. Then we find^{6,7} that for unpolarized e^+e^- beams we are left with the d=5 electric and weak dipole interactions,

$$L_{CP} = -\frac{1}{2} i \bar{\tau} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \gamma_5 \tau (d_\tau F_{\mu\nu} + \tilde{d}_\tau Z_{\mu\nu}) , \qquad (5)$$

affecting the $\tau \bar{\tau} \gamma$ and $\tau \bar{\tau} Z$ vertices in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c), respectively. In (5) $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field tensor, $Z_{\mu\nu} = \partial_{\mu} Z_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu} Z_{\mu}$, d_{τ} is the EDM of the τ , and \tilde{d}_{τ} its neutral-current analog.

Alternatively, a form-factor decomposition of the ver-

© 1989 The American Physical Society

tices represented by Fig. 1 can be made. In this approach d_{τ} , \tilde{d}_{τ} are to be identified with the *CP*-odd dipole form factors $d_{\tau}(s)$, $\tilde{d}_{\tau}(s)$ in the $\tau \bar{\tau} \gamma$ and $\tau \bar{\tau} Z$ vertices, respectively. These form factors may have abortive parts which we shall neglect, however, in the following.

In many extensions of the standard model *CP* violation in the lepton sector³ occurs quite naturally and nonzero form factors $d_{\tau}(s)$, $\tilde{d}_{\tau}(s)$ are generated. (A priori d_{τ} and \tilde{d}_{τ} are not related but one expects them to be of the same order of magnitude in such models.) Probably the most sizable potential source of *CP* violation, generating "large" dipole moments for heavy fermions, is Higgsboson models of *CP* violation. In particular, there are models⁸⁻¹¹ containing neutral spin-0 bosons ϕ , some of which may couple solely to leptons *l* through leptonflavor-conserving scalar and pseudoscalar couplings.⁹ These interactions then generate d_l , \tilde{d}_l which grow like^{6,9-11} m_l^3 , unless $m_l^2 \gg m_{\phi}^2$. That is, in such models one gets

$$d_{\tau} \approx \tilde{d}_{\tau} \approx \left[\frac{m_{\tau}}{m_{\mu}}\right]^3 d_{\mu} \approx \left[\frac{m_{\tau}}{m_e}\right]^3 d_e \,. \tag{6}$$

Using the present experimental limits¹ on d_e and d_{μ} , this implies that sizable values of d_{τ} , \tilde{d}_{τ} , say of the order $10^{-17} e$ cm, are conceivable.

We have calculated the expectation values of (3) and (4) arising from the *CP*-odd amplitude parametrized by d_{τ} , \tilde{d}_{τ} interfering with the *CP*-even standard-model amplitude (of which only the leading order is taken into account). On the *Z* resonance the γ exchange diagram Fig. 1(b) enters only as a radiative correction of order α and we neglect it there. Keeping thus only \tilde{d}_{τ} for

$$\langle B_{ij} \rangle = -\frac{12}{5} \left(1 - \frac{4m_{\tau}^2}{s} \right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{4m_{\tau}}{3\sqrt{s}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{2m_{\tau}^2}{s} \right)^{-1} \frac{d_{\tau}}{e} \sqrt{s}$$

Here $\langle A_{ij} \rangle = 0$ because A_{ij} is C-odd, whereas the γ -exchange diagrams are C-even.

The measurement of the correlations A,B requires knowledge of the momentum directions of the τ 's. In principle this can be obtained with a vertex chamber. The polarization of the τ 's can be obtained through their decays, e.g., from $\tau \rightarrow \pi v$ assuming the standard V-Ainteraction.

We will now discuss some *CP*-odd observables for the case where both τ 's decay into πv requiring only knowledge of the momenta \mathbf{q}_{\pm} or unit momenta $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_{\pm} = \mathbf{q}_{\pm}/|\mathbf{q}_{\pm}|$ of π^{\pm} in the overall c.m. system. Again we find tensor observables to be most useful:

$$T_{ij} = (\mathbf{q}_+ - \mathbf{q}_-)_i (\mathbf{q}_+ \times \mathbf{q}_-)_j + (i \leftrightarrow j), \qquad (10)$$

$$\hat{T}_{ij} = (\hat{\mathbf{q}}_+ - \hat{\mathbf{q}}_-)_i \frac{(\hat{\mathbf{q}}_+ \times \hat{\mathbf{q}}_-)_j}{|\hat{\mathbf{q}}_+ \times \hat{\mathbf{q}}_-|} + (i \leftrightarrow j).$$
(11)

Calculating the expectation values of (10) and (11) we 2788



FIG. 1. Decomposition of the amplitude of (1) into oneparticle irreducible parts (with respect to standard-model particles) (a)-(c). Higgs-boson exchange is neglected.

 $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$ we get

$$\langle A_{ij} \rangle = \frac{12\sqrt{3}}{5} \left(\frac{\tilde{d}_{\tau} m_Z}{e} \right) s_{ij} \tag{7}$$

and $\langle B_{ij} \rangle = 0$, where we put the weak angle at $\sin^2 \theta_W = \frac{1}{4}$ and neglected terms of order m_t/m_Z . Here e > 0 is the positron charge and

$$s_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{p}_{+i} \hat{p}_{+j} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \right)$$
(8)

with $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_+ = \mathbf{p}_+ / |\mathbf{p}_+|$ the tensor polarization of the Z.

On the other hand, we consider the reaction (1) for $\sqrt{s} \leq 10$ GeV, an energy region which is already quite well explored experimentally and which will be even better covered if the planned τ -charm and/or *B* factories are realized. At these energies we can neglect the *Z* exchange [Fig. 1(c)], being suppressed with respect to γ exchange by s/m_Z^2 . Keeping the EDM d_τ as the only *CP*-violating coupling we find

$$\sqrt{s} s_{ij}$$
. (9)

keep as above at the Z resonance only \tilde{d}_{τ} , and for $\sqrt{s} \ll m_Z$ only d_{τ} . We then get

$$\langle T_{ij} \rangle = x c s_{ij}, \quad \langle \hat{T}_{ij} \rangle = x \hat{c} s_{ij}, \quad (12)$$

where $x = m_Z \tilde{d}_\tau / e$ for $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$ and $x = \sqrt{sd_\tau} / e$ for $\sqrt{s} \ll m_Z$. Table I contains for some c.m. energies the values of c, \hat{c} and the averages of T_{33}^2 and \tilde{T}_{33}^2 which can be used to assess the attainable accuracy on d_τ and \tilde{d}_τ from such correlation measurements. Using the standard branching ratios we conclude that with 10⁷ Z's one can obtain an accuracy $\delta(\tilde{d}_\tau) = 6 \times 10^{-18} e$ cm. At a τ -charm factory with $\sqrt{s} = 4.6$ GeV, one can reach $\delta(d_\tau) = 2 \times 10^{-16} e$ cm given 10⁷ τ pairs. The same accuracy is attainable at a *B* factory given 10⁶ τ pairs at $\sqrt{s} = 10$ GeV.

The observables (10) and (11) may also be used for channels which have higher event rates than $\tau \rightarrow \pi v$.

TABLE I. Values for c and \hat{c} defined in (12) and standardmodel averages of T_{33}^2 and \hat{T}_{33}^2 for various \sqrt{s} . We used $m_Z = 92$ GeV and $\sin^2\theta_W = 0.23$.

\sqrt{s} (GeV)	c (GeV ³)	ĉ	$(\langle T_{33}^2 \rangle)^{1/2}$ (GeV ³)	$(\langle \hat{T}_{33}^2 \rangle)^{1/2}$
4.0	0.16	0.13	0.82	0.95
4.6	0.40	0.25	1.38	1.0
10.0	3.95	0.44	9.74	1.42
92.0	-1.42×10^{3}	-1.56	8.9×10^{2}	0.86

For instance, one may sum up the leptonic modes $\tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow l^+ v_l \bar{v_\tau} l^- \bar{v_l} v_\tau$ or one may use all decays of τ^{\pm} into one charged prong identifying \mathbf{q}_+ (\mathbf{q}_-) in (10) and (11) with the positively (negatively) charged-particle momentum. In this way the accuracy of measuring d_τ and \tilde{d}_τ can be increased substantially. The relevant formulas will be given elsewhere.⁶

The CP-odd observable $O = \mathbf{p}_{+} \cdot (\mathbf{q}_{+} \times \mathbf{q}_{-})$ was suggested in Ref. 5 to search for a nonzero d_{τ} in $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^- \rightarrow \pi^+\bar{\nu}_{\tau}\pi^-\nu_{\tau}$ around the Z resonance. The contribution of \tilde{d}_{τ} to $\langle O \rangle$ was not considered. Then $\langle O \rangle$ vanishes in Born approximation at $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$. Assuming the collection of an integrated luminosity of 170 pb⁻¹ in the vicinity of $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$ (which if spent at $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$, would produce about $10^7 Z$'s) the 1-standard-deviation accuracy with which d_{τ} could be measured was estimated to be $\delta(d_{\tau}) \simeq 3 \times 10^{-16} e$ cm.

To summarize, we have proposed simple *CP*-odd observables (3), (4), (10), and (11) for the reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ which are sensitive to the electric dipole moment of the τ (if $\sqrt{s} \ll m_Z$) and its weak dipole moment (if $\sqrt{s} = m_Z$). In this respect experimental investigations at low and high energy are complementary. The observables (10) and (11) only require measurement of the π^{\pm} momenta from the $\tau \rightarrow \pi \nu$ decays. Analogous

observables can be applied to other decay channels. We emphasize that the above observables are unflawed indicators of CP violation also if CP-odd interactions in τ decays are present. Finally, we would like to note that the correlations (3) and (4) can be used to look for CPviolating effects in any reaction $e^+e^- \rightarrow$ fermion +antifermion and $p\bar{p} \rightarrow$ fermion + antifermion. Interesting cases might be $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda \overline{\Lambda}$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_c \overline{\Lambda}_c$, $e^+e^- \rightarrow \Lambda_b \overline{\Lambda}_b$, to be investigated at τ -charm and B factories, and $p\bar{p} \rightarrow \Lambda \bar{\Lambda}$ which can be studied at low-energy antiproton facilities. If $10^7 \tau^+ \tau^-$ pairs are produced at a τ -charm factory, σ can be measured with a statistical accuracy of 3×10^{-4} . But systematic effects in absolute cross-section measurements are well known to be a difficult problem. Assuming nevertheless optimistically the relative deviation of the cross section from its standard-model value to be measured at $\sqrt{s} = 4$ and 6 GeV as $\delta\sigma/\sigma \leq 3 \times 10^{-4}$, we would obtain $|d_{\tau}| \leq 3$ $\times 10^{-16}$ e cm. This bound would be 50% larger than the direct one obtainable from measuring (10) and (11) for the $\tau \rightarrow \pi v$ mode alone. Moreover, the latter type of investigation allows further increase in sensitivity by taking into account also other decay channels.

We would like to thank I. Bigi, S. J. Brodsky, J. Carr, D. Coward, J. Donoghue, J. Eastman, M. Perl, A. Pich, and J. Repond for useful discussions. We would like to thank the LBL and SLAC theory groups for the hospitality extended to us. This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics, Division of High Energy Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098. This work was also supported by the Heisenberg Programm of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

Note added.—At a low-energy τ facility an upper bound on the EDM d_{τ} could also be obtained in an analogous fashion as the one deduced by Barr and Marciano at DESY PETRA energies.^{2,3} Neglecting radiative corrections the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ is

$$\sigma = \frac{4\pi\alpha^2}{3s} \left(1 - \frac{4m_\tau^2}{s}\right)^{1/2} \left(1 + \frac{2m_\tau^2}{s}\right) \left[1 + \frac{s}{2} \left(\frac{d\tau}{e}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{4m_\tau^2}{s}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2m_\tau^2}{s}\right)^{-1}\right]$$

^(a)On leave of absence from Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Federal Republic of Germany.

¹For a compilation, see Particle Data Group, G. P. Yost *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **204**, 1 (1988).

²Of course, a sizable EDM d_r of the τ would lead to a considerable deviation $(\sim d_r^2)$ of the cross section for $e^+e^- \rightarrow \tau^+\tau^-$ from its standard-model value, which has not been observed. In this way Barr and Marciano [BNL Report No. BNL-41939, 1988 (to be published)] deduce $|d_r| < 10^{-16}$

 $e \,\mathrm{cm}$. But this argument is an indirect one and cancellations of the EDM contribution with other possible new-physics terms in the cross section cannot be excluded. Nevertheless, this number indicates the orders of magnitude in accuracy which direct experiments should try to surpass.

³For recent reviews, see J. F. Donoghue, B. R. Holstein, and G. Valencia, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A2, 319 (1987); W. Grimus, Fortschr. Phys. 36, 201 (1988); Bar and Marciano (Ref. 2).

⁴M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 49, 652

(1973).

⁵The possibility to search for an EDM of the τ around the Z resonance was investigated by F. Hoogeveen and L. Stodolsky, Phys. Lett. B **212**, 505 (1988).

 6 W. Bernreuther and O. Nachtmann (to be published).

⁷W. Bernreuther, U. Löw, J. P. Ma, and O. Nachtmann, Z.

Phys. C 43, 117 (1989).

⁸S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 657 (1976).

⁹N. G. Deshpande and E. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 16, 1583 (1977).

¹⁰H. Y. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 150 (1983).

¹¹C. Q. Geng and J. N. Ng, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 2645 (1989).