

Searches for New Quarks and Leptons Produced in Z-Boson Decay

G. S. Abrams,⁽¹⁾ C. E. Adolphsen,⁽²⁾ D. Averill,⁽³⁾ J. Ballam,⁽⁴⁾ B. C. Barish,⁽⁵⁾ T. Barklow,⁽⁴⁾ B. A. Barnett,⁽⁶⁾ J. Bartelt,⁽⁴⁾ S. Bethke,⁽¹⁾ D. Blockus,⁽³⁾ G. Bonvicini,⁽⁷⁾ A. Boyarski,⁽⁴⁾ B. Brabson,⁽³⁾ A. Breakstone,⁽⁸⁾ F. Bulos,⁽⁴⁾ P. R. Burchat,⁽²⁾ D. L. Burke,⁽⁴⁾ R. J. Cence,⁽⁸⁾ J. Chapman,⁽⁷⁾ M. Chmeissani,⁽⁷⁾ D. Cords,⁽⁴⁾ D. P. Coupal,⁽⁴⁾ P. Dauncey,⁽⁶⁾ H. C. DeStaebler,⁽⁴⁾ D. E. Dorfan,⁽²⁾ J. M. Dorfan,⁽⁴⁾ D. C. Drewer,⁽⁶⁾ R. Elia,⁽⁴⁾ G. J. Feldman,⁽⁴⁾ D. Fernandes,⁽⁴⁾ R. C. Field,⁽⁴⁾ W. T. Ford,⁽⁹⁾ C. Fordham,⁽⁴⁾ R. Frey,⁽⁷⁾ D. Fujino,⁽⁴⁾ K. K. Gan,⁽⁴⁾ E. Gero,⁽⁷⁾ G. Gidal,⁽¹⁾ T. Glanzman,⁽⁴⁾ G. Goldhaber,⁽¹⁾ J. J. Gomez Cadenas,⁽²⁾ G. Gratta,⁽²⁾ G. Grindhammer,⁽⁴⁾ P. Grosse-Wiesmann,⁽⁴⁾ G. Hanson,⁽⁴⁾ R. Harr,⁽¹⁾ B. Haral,⁽⁶⁾ F. A. Harris,⁽⁸⁾ C. M. Hawkes,⁽⁵⁾ K. Hayes,⁽⁴⁾ C. Hearty,⁽¹⁾ C. A. Heusch,⁽²⁾ M. D. Hildreth,⁽⁴⁾ T. Himel,⁽⁴⁾ D. A. Hinshaw,⁽⁹⁾ S. J. Hong,⁽⁷⁾ D. Hutchinson,⁽⁴⁾ J. Hylen,⁽⁶⁾ W. R. Innes,⁽⁴⁾ R. G. Jacobsen,⁽⁴⁾ J. A. Jaros,⁽⁴⁾ C. K. Jung,⁽⁴⁾ J. A. Kadyk,⁽¹⁾ J. Kent,⁽²⁾ M. King,⁽²⁾ S. R. Klein,⁽⁴⁾ D. S. Koetke,⁽⁴⁾ S. Komamiya,⁽⁴⁾ W. Koska,⁽⁷⁾ L. A. Kowalski,⁽⁴⁾ W. Kozanecki,⁽⁴⁾ J. F. Kral,⁽¹⁾ M. Kuhlen,⁽⁵⁾ L. Labarga,⁽²⁾ A. J. Lankford,⁽⁴⁾ R. R. Larsen,⁽⁴⁾ F. Le Diberder,⁽⁴⁾ M. E. Levi,⁽¹⁾ A. M. Litke,⁽²⁾ X. C. Lou,⁽³⁾ V. Lüth,⁽⁴⁾ J. A. McKenna,⁽⁵⁾ J. A. J. Matthews,⁽⁶⁾ T. Mattison,⁽⁴⁾ B. D. Milliken,⁽⁵⁾ K. C. Moffeit,⁽⁴⁾ C. T. Munger,⁽⁴⁾ W. N. Murray,⁽³⁾ J. Nash,⁽⁴⁾ H. Ogren,⁽³⁾ K. F. O'Shaughnessy,⁽⁴⁾ S. I. Parker,⁽⁸⁾ C. Peck,⁽⁵⁾ M. L. Perl,⁽⁴⁾ F. Perrier,⁽⁴⁾ M. Petradza,⁽⁷⁾ R. Pitthan,⁽⁴⁾ F. C. Porter,⁽⁵⁾ P. Rankin,⁽⁹⁾ K. Riles,⁽⁴⁾ F. R. Rouse,⁽⁴⁾ D. R. Rust,⁽³⁾ H. F. W. Sadrozinski,⁽²⁾ M. W. Schaad,⁽¹⁾ B. A. Schumm,⁽¹⁾ A. Seiden,⁽²⁾ J. G. Smith,⁽⁹⁾ A. Snyder,⁽³⁾ E. Soderstrom,⁽⁵⁾ D. P. Stoker,⁽⁶⁾ R. Stroynowski,⁽⁵⁾ M. Swartz,⁽⁴⁾ R. Thun,⁽⁷⁾ G. H. Trilling,⁽¹⁾ R. Van Kooten,⁽⁴⁾ P. Voruganti,⁽⁴⁾ S. R. Wagner,⁽⁹⁾ S. Watson,⁽²⁾ P. Weber,⁽⁹⁾ A. Weigend,⁽⁴⁾ A. J. Weinstein,⁽⁵⁾ A. J. Weir,⁽⁵⁾ E. Wicklund,⁽⁵⁾ M. Woods,⁽⁴⁾ D. Y. Wu,⁽⁵⁾ M. Yurko,⁽³⁾ C. Zaccardelli,⁽²⁾ and C. von Zanthier⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory and Department of Physics, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

⁽²⁾University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064

⁽³⁾Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana 47405

⁽⁴⁾Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309

⁽⁵⁾California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

⁽⁶⁾Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland 21218

⁽⁷⁾University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109

⁽⁸⁾University of Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii 96822

⁽⁹⁾University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309

(Received 13 October 1989)

We have searched for events with new-particle topologies in 390 hadronic Z decays with the Mark II detector at the SLAC Linear Collider. We place 95%-confidence-level lower limits of 40.7 GeV/c² for the top-quark mass, 42.0 GeV/c² for the mass of a fourth-generation charge $-\frac{1}{3}$ quark, and 41.3 GeV/c² for the mass of an unstable Dirac neutral lepton.

PACS numbers: 13.38.+c, 12.15.Ff, 14.60.Gh, 14.80.Dq

We have searched for new quarks and leptons in Z-boson decay using data from the Mark II detector at the SLAC e^+e^- Linear Collider (SLC) operating in the e^+e^- center-of-mass energy ($E_{c.m.}$) range from 89.2 to 93.0 GeV. The standard model predicts the existence of the top quark and does not exclude the possibility of new generations of fermions. The existence and masses of these possible new particles may provide information to help understand the pattern of fermion masses and the presence of generations.

We specifically search for top (t) quarks, fourth-generation charge $-\frac{1}{3}$ (b') quarks, and unstable neutral Dirac leptons (L^0); the small production rate of heavy sequential charged leptons on the Z-boson resonance prevents us from searching for sequential charged leptons at this time. We assume pair production of the new particles through Z decay with couplings and decay

widths given by the standard model.

One expects t quarks to decay via the virtual- W (W^*) charged-current (CC) process $t \rightarrow bW^*$. Naively, one also expects the b' quark to decay via the CC process $b' \rightarrow cW^*$ if the mass of the b' quark is less than the mass of the t quark ($M_{b'} < M_t$). Assuming that a new quark decays 100% via the CC mode, the UA1 Collaboration¹ has excluded top quarks with masses less than 44 GeV/c² and b' quarks with masses less than 32 GeV/c². With the same assumption, the CDF Collaboration² has excluded top quarks with masses between 40 and 77 GeV/c².

A b' quark may not decay 100% of the time via the CC decay because of increased suppression of transitions which cross two generations.³ Consequently, the flavor-changing neutral-current (FCNC) loop decays⁴ of $b' \rightarrow b + \text{gluon}$ and $b' \rightarrow b\gamma$ must also be considered.

Furthermore, in extensions of the standard model with two Higgs doublets, t and b' can decay into charged Higgs particles ($H^\pm$) by $t \rightarrow H^+ b$ or $b' \rightarrow H^- c$ if $M_{H^\pm} < M_t, M_{b'}$. This two-body decay mode would dominate over the CC decay. Independent of decay mode, a b' quark has been excluded for masses less than $25.6 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ by the VENUS Collaboration⁵ at the KEK e^+e^- storage ring TRISTAN.

We restrict our L^0 search to a sequential fourth-generation Dirac neutral lepton. We assume that $M_{L^0} < M_{L^-}$ in the new lepton doublet (L^0, L^-) , and that the weak eigenstates ν_l and mass eigenstates L_i^0 of the four generations of neutrinos are mixed in analogy with the quark sector:

$$\nu_l = \sum_{i=1}^4 U_{li} L_i^0.$$

The possible decay modes of the L^0 are then $L^0 \rightarrow l + W^*$ ($l = e, \mu, \tau$). Dirac neutral leptons which decay via $L^0 \rightarrow e^- + W^*$ or $L^0 \rightarrow \mu^- + W^*$ have been excluded for masses less than $27 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ by the AMY Collaboration.⁶

We have investigated three types of event topologies. Type 1 is an event with an isolated charged track. The semileptonic decays of t and b' or the decays of L^0 will produce isolated leptons. To keep detection efficiencies high, lepton identification is not used. The type-2 topology is an event with an isolated photon. The decay $b' \rightarrow b\gamma$ motivates the search for this topology. Type 3 is the topology produced by a pair of heavy objects each decaying hadronically into two or more jets. Massive particles decaying into jets tend to produce spherical events which can be characterized by large momentum sums out of the event plane. The decay $b' \rightarrow b + \text{gluon}$, t decaying through $H^+ b$, with the H^+ decaying 100% hadronically, and the CC hadronic decays of t and b' are examples.

Details of the Mark II detector can be found elsewhere.⁷ A cylindrical drift chamber in a 4.75-kG axial magnetic field measures charged-particle momenta. Photons are detected in electromagnetic calorimeters covering the angular region $|\cos\theta| < 0.96$, where θ is the angle with respect to the beam axis. Barrel lead-liquid-argon sampling calorimeters cover the central region $|\cos\theta| < 0.72$ and the remaining solid angle is covered by end-cap lead-proportional-tube calorimeters. The detector is triggered by two or more charged tracks within $|\cos\theta| < 0.76$ or by neutral-energy requirements of a single shower depositing at least 3.3 GeV in the barrel calorimeter or 2.2 GeV in an end-cap calorimeter. This combination results in an estimated trigger efficiency of greater than 99% for hadronic Z decays.

All three types of event topologies share the following event-selection criteria: Charged tracks are required to project into a cylindrical volume of radius 1 cm and half-length of 3 cm around the nominal collision point parallel to the beam axis, to be within the angular region

$|\cos\theta| < 0.85$, and to have transverse momenta with respect to the beam axis of at least $150 \text{ MeV}/c$. An electromagnetic shower is required to have shower energy greater than 1 GeV and $|\cos\theta| < 0.68$ for the central calorimeter and $0.68 < |\cos\theta| < 0.95$ for the end-cap calorimeter. All events are required to contain at least six charged tracks and the sum of charged-particle energy and shower energy (E_{vis}) must be greater than $0.1 E_{\text{c.m.}}$. To ensure that the events are well contained within the detector, the polar angle of the thrust axis (θ_{thr}) of each event must satisfy the condition $|\cos\theta_{\text{thr}}| < 0.8$.

The expected number of produced hadronic events before cuts is normalized to the total number of hadronic events (N_h) that fulfill the hadronic-event-selection criteria described in a previous Letter.⁸ The expected number of produced exotic events N_x , $x = t, b'$, or L^0 , is given by

$$N_x = N_h \Gamma_x / (\epsilon_q \Gamma_q + \epsilon_x \Gamma_x),$$

where Γ_q is the partial width of the Z to u, d, s, c , and b ($udscb$) quarks, $\epsilon_q = 0.953$ is the efficiency for $udscb$ quarks to pass the hadronic event criteria, Γ_x is the partial width of the Z to the exotic particle in question, and ϵ_x is the efficiency for the exotic particle events to pass the hadronic event criteria. First-order QCD corrections⁹ are used when calculating Γ_q and Γ_x . The data sample consists of $N_h = 390$ events, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $16.7 \pm 0.8 \text{ nb}^{-1}$.

Type-1 events must have event thrust less than 0.9 and must contain at least one isolated charged track. An isolated track is one with isolation parameter $\rho_i > 1.8$, where ρ_i is defined as follows: the LUND jet-finding algorithm is applied¹⁰ to the charged and neutral tracks excluding the candidate track i . We then define

$$\rho_i \equiv \min_{\text{jets } j} \{ [2E_i (1 - \cos\theta_{ij})]^{1/2} \},$$

where E_i is the track energy in GeV and θ_{ij} is the angle




FIG. 1. Maximum isolation parameter ρ of all the tracks in an event for data (circles, with statistical errors), $udscb$ QCD Monte Carlo simulation (solid line), and a $35\text{-GeV}/c^2$ top quark (shaded area, normalized to data). The Monte Carlo simulation includes detector and beam background effects.




FIG. 2. Expected number of top and b' (decaying 100% via CC process) quark events with at least one isolated ($\rho_i > 1.8$) track as a function of the quark mass (solid curves). The dashed curves indicate the central value minus the uncertainty from statistical and systematic errors. The dotted line is the upper bound at 95% C.L. with background subtracted for the observed number of events.

between the track and each jet axis. The distribution of ρ , the maximum value of ρ_i of all charged tracks in an event, is shown in Fig. 1 for our data sample, for a five-flavor QCD Monte Carlo simulation,¹¹ and for a 35-GeV/ c^2 t quark (CC decay).

The detection efficiencies (ϵ_D) for t , b' , and L^0 are calculated with the LUND 6.3 parton-shower Monte Carlo program with LUND symmetric fragmentation.¹¹ Uncertainties in detection efficiency ($\Delta\epsilon_D/\epsilon_D$) from Monte Carlo statistics ($\approx 3\%$), detector simulation and beam backgrounds ($\approx 1\%$), theoretical uncertainties¹² in semileptonic branching ratios ($\approx 2\%$), and fragmentation models are calculated. The last error is estimated using different Monte Carlo generators and fragmentation schemes,¹³ and is found to vary strongly with heavy-quark mass. For masses approaching the beam energy the error is negligible, while for masses in the range 25–30 GeV/ c^2 the error can be as large as 12%. We choose to use the value 12% for all quark masses.

The number of produced events N_x has both a statistical uncertainty from N_h , and a substantial systematic error (as large as 25% depending on the exotic particle mass) due to uncertainties in higher-order QCD corrections⁹ in the calculation of Γ_x if x is a t or b' quark.

The total error on the expected number of events is calculated by summing the individual statistical and maximum systematic errors in quadrature. The lower solid curve in Fig. 2 shows our best estimate of the expected number of top events with at least one isolated track as a function of the top-quark mass. The dashed curve is our best estimate minus the total error and is used for setting mass limits. The corresponding curves for $b' \rightarrow cW^*$ are also shown.

There is one event satisfying the type-1 event-selection criteria in our data sample of 390 hadronic Z decays, while 0.9 event (LUND shower with Peterson fragmentation¹³) to 1.8 events (WEBBER 4.1¹³) are expected from




FIG. 3. 95%-C.L. mass limits for an unstable neutral heavy lepton L^0 as a function of mass and mixing-matrix element $|U_{L^0 l}|^2$ for $B(L^0 \rightarrow \tau W^*) = 100\%$, $B(L^0 \rightarrow e W^*) = 100\%$, and $B(L^0 \rightarrow \mu W^*) = 100\%$, as indicated.

QCD five-flavor processes. To be conservative, background subtraction is performed using the smallest value (0.9 event) expected. Using a standard approach,¹⁴ we find the upper limit at 95% C.L. to be 4.2 events for one observed event and 0.9 expected background event.

From the above observation, we conclude that $M_t > 40.0$ GeV/ c^2 and $M_{b'} > 44.7$ GeV/ c^2 at the 95% confidence level if t and b' decay 100% via the CC process.

Limits on L^0 production are sensitive to the mixing parameter $U_{L^0 l}$. For small enough values of the sum $\sum |U_{L^0 l}|^2$ for $l = e, \mu, \tau$, the lifetime¹⁵ of the L^0 will be sufficiently long that it will decay outside our fiducial vertex region. Such decays may produce spectacular signatures, but they are not the subject of this Letter. We therefore obtain the L^0 mass limits shown in Fig. 3.

For the type-2 event topology we require that the event thrust not exceed 0.9 and that there be at least one isolated photon. An isolated photon is defined as a neutral shower with $\rho_i > 3.0$, where ρ_i is defined as for a charged track. A larger ρ cut is required because the calorimeters cannot resolve closely spaced π^0 's as well as the drift chamber can resolve closely spaced charged pions.

No events were found satisfying the type-2 event-selection criteria. From this observation, we obtain $M_{b'} > 45.4$ GeV/ c^2 (95% C.L.) if $B(b' \rightarrow b\gamma) \geq 25\%$.

The type-3 event topology requires $M_{out} > 18$ GeV/ c^2 , where

$$M_{out} \equiv \frac{E_{c.m.}}{E_{vis}} \frac{1}{c} \sum |p_T^{out}|.$$

p_T^{out} is the momentum component of a charged track or neutral shower out of the event plane defined by the sphericity tensor, and the sum is over all charged tracks and neutral showers. The distribution of M_{out} is shown in Fig. 4 for the data sample, for a five-flavor QCD Monte Carlo simulation, and for the process $b' \rightarrow cH^- \rightarrow c\bar{c}s$ for a 35-GeV/ c^2 b' .

Six events are observed in the data with $M_{out} > 18$




FIG. 4. Mass out of the event plane M_{out} for data (circles, with statistical errors), $udscb$ QCD Monte Carlo simulation (solid line), and for a $35\text{-GeV}/c^2$ b' quark decaying into cH^- (shaded area), with $M_{H^-} = 25\text{ GeV}/c^2$ and the H^- decaying 100% into $c\bar{s}$.

GeV/c^2 , while 4.8 events (LUND matrix element¹³) to 11.7 events (WEBBER 4.1¹³) are expected from QCD five-flavor processes. The tail of the QCD M_{out} distribution is very model dependent because of the different ways in which multiple-hard-gluon radiation is handled.¹³ To be conservative, background subtraction is performed using the smallest value (4.8 events) expected. We find the upper limit¹⁴ at 95% C.L. to be 7.4 events for 6 observed events and 4.8 expected background events.

The above observation allows us to set the following limits. If t and b' decay 100% via the CC process, $M_t > 40.7\text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $M_{b'} > 44.2\text{ GeV}/c^2$ at 95% C.L. Note that these limits are more sensitive to the hadronic decays of t and b' , while the type-1 event limits are sensitive to the semileptonic decays. If b' decays 100% into $b + \text{gluon}$, then $M_{b'} > 42.7\text{ GeV}/c^2$ at 95% C.L. If t and b' decay 100% through a charged Higgs boson of mass $\geq 25\text{ GeV}/c^2$, which in turn decays 100% hadronically into $c\bar{s}$, then $M_t > 42.5\text{ GeV}/c^2$ and $M_{b'} > 45.2\text{ GeV}/c^2$ at 95% C.L. The case of the H^- decaying partially into $\tau\bar{\nu}$ is found to weaken the above limits, but if $B(H^- \rightarrow \tau\bar{\nu}) < 70\%$ both limits remain over $40\text{ GeV}/c^2$.

The various mass limits obtained with the three event topologies are summarized in Table I. Finally, the analyses of the three event topologies can be combined to give mass limits on b' as a function of branching ratio into the CC process, assuming that the remaining decays are only through the FCNC decays $b + \text{gluon}$ and $b\gamma$ (we assume a Higgs particle is not kinematically accessible). Detection efficiencies are found for the possible combinations of the above decays, and combined to give the result that $M_{b'} > 42.0\text{ GeV}/c^2$ (95% C.L.) for all possible values of the branching ratio into the CC process and all possible mixtures of $b + \text{gluon}$ and $b\gamma$ in the FCNC part.

We express our appreciation to the dedicated efforts of the staff of SLAC and collaborating universities who made the SLC and these results possible. This work was

TABLE I. Summary of the mass limits set by searching for the three event topologies described in the text. For $t \rightarrow bH^+$ and $b' \rightarrow cH^-$ limits, $M_{H^\pm} \geq 25\text{ GeV}/c^2$. The L^0 limits are for decays with vertices within the described cylindrical fiducial region (i.e., decay length less than $\approx 1\text{ cm}$).

Particle	Decay products (B 100%)	Topology	Mass limit (95% C.L.) (GeV/c^2)
Top	bW^*	Isolated track	40.0
	bW^*	M_{out}	40.7
	bH^+	M_{out}	42.5
b'	cW^*	Isolated track	44.7
	cW^*	M_{out}	44.2
	cH^-	M_{out}	45.2
	$b + \text{gluon}$	M_{out}	42.7
	$b\gamma, B \geq 25\%$	Isolated photon	45.4
L^0	eW^*	Isolated track	43.7
	μW^*	Isolated track	44.0
	τW^*	Isolated track	41.3

supported in part by Department of Energy Contracts No. DE-AC03-81ER40050 (California Institute of Technology), No. DE-AM03-76SF00010 (University of California, Santa Cruz), No. DE-AC02-86ER40253 (University of Colorado), No. DE-AC03-83ER40103 (University of Hawaii), No. DE-AC02-84ER40125 (Indiana University), No. DE-AC03-76SF00098 (LBL), No. DE-AC02-76ER01112 (University of Michigan), and No. DE-AC03-76SF00515 (SLAC), and by the National Science Foundation (Johns Hopkins University).

¹C. Albajar *et al.*, Z. Phys. C **37**, 505 (1988).

²F. Abe *et al.*, University of Pennsylvania Report No. UPR-0172E (to be published).

³V. Barger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 947 (1984).

⁴W. Hou and R. G. Stuart, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 617 (1989); V. Barger *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 1518 (1986).

⁵K. Abe *et al.*, KEK Report No. 89-39 (to be published).

⁶Y. Sakai *et al.*, KEK Report No. 89-42 (to be published).

⁷G. S. Abrams *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **281**, 55 (1989).

⁸G. S. Abrams *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 724 (1989).

⁹J. H. Kühn, A. Reiter, and P. M. Zerwas, Nucl. Phys. B **272**, 560 (1986).

¹⁰T. Sjöstrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. **28**, 229 (1983). In the algorithm, the jet-forming cutoff parameter d_{join} is changed from its default value to $d_{\text{join}} = 0.5\text{ GeV}$.

¹¹LUND 6.3 parton-shower model, T. Sjöstrand, Comput. Phys. Commun. **39**, 347 (1986); M. Bengtsson and T. Sjöstrand, Nucl. Phys. B **289**, 810 (1987). In the hadronic decay of t and b' quarks, gluon-emission effects are incorporated by the parton-shower model based on a leading-log approximation.

¹²M. Jezabek and J. H. Kühn, Phys. Lett. B **207**, 91 (1988).

¹³LUND 6.3 parton shower (Ref. 11) and LUND 6.3 matrix element [T. D. Gottschalk and M. P. Shatz, Phys. Lett. **150B**, 451 (1985)], both with LUND symmetric fragmentation (Ref. 11) and Peterson fragmentation [C. Peterson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **27**, 105 (1983)]; and WEBBER 4.1 [G. Marchesini and B. R.

Webber, Nucl. Phys. **B238**, 1 (1984); B. R. Webber, Nucl. Phys. **B238**, 492 (1984)].

¹⁴Particle Data Group, G. P. Yost *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **204**, 81 (1988); O. Helene, Nucl. Instrum. Methods **212**, 319 (1983).

¹⁵F. Gilman, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. **16**, 231 (1986).