Comment on "Effect of Impurity Bonding on Grain-Boundary Embrittlement"

In a recent Letter, Goodwin, Needs, and Heine¹ discussed the various models of grain-boundary embrittlement and reported on calculations which were proposed to check several of them. In particular, the authors hoped to determine whether or not the embrittlement was caused by the formation of a weak metal-impurity bond as first proposed by Troiano,² or by the formation of a strong bond between the metal and the impurity with a concomitant weakening of metal-metal bonds around the impurity, as first proposed by Losch³ and elaborated on by Messmer and Briant.⁴ The results of their calculations were said to suggest that neither model was correct because they found that cohesion was improved both in the layer of atoms containing the impurity and in the layer adjacent to the one containing the impurity.

Aside from the fact that the atomic arrangement that the authors chose simulated a perfect crystal and not a grain boundary, there is a central flaw to the entire work as it is reported. The calculations were performed for aluminum as the host metal and arsenic and germanium as the segregated impurities. The flaw is that there is no experimental evidence that either of these elements causes embrittlement of aluminum. Consequently, their results do not provide a test as to whether one model or the other is correct. The authors apparently assumed that any segregated impurity causes embrittlement. That is not correct. Boron in nickel and the intermetallic compound Ni₃Al and carbon in iron and steel both improve cohesion when they segregate to the grain boundaries.⁵ Other impurities such as sulfur in nickel and iron and antimony in nickel and iron cause embrittlement. Table I lists all well documented cases of impurity-induced embrittlement. If one is to check various theories of impurity-induced embrittlement, it is of great importance to perform calculations on one of these systems where one can assume that changes in bonding could contribute to the embrittlement. Otherwise, the results such as those obtained by Goodwin, Needs, and Heine are meaningless.

C. L. Briant

Research and Development Center General Electric Company Schenectady, New York 12301

K. Sieradzki

Department of Materials Science The Johns Hopkins University Baltimore, Maryland 21218

Received 25 January 1989 PACS numbers: 62.20.Mk, 61.70.Ng, 61.70.Rj, 81.40.Np

Host element	Embrittling element	References
Cu	Bi	Hondros and McLean (Ref. 6)
Cu	Te	Marcus and Paton (Ref. 7)
Fe	S	Jolly and Goux; Pichard, Rieu,
		and Goux; and Ramasubramanian
		and Stein (Refs. 8-10)
Fe	Р	Ramasubramanian and Stein (Ref. 10)
Fe	N	Hopkins and Tipler (Ref. 11)
Fe	Sn	Seah and Hondros (Ref. 12)
Fe	Te	Rellick et al. (Ref. 13) and
		Pichard, Rieu, and Goux (Ref. 9)
Fe	Se	Pichard, Rieu, and Goux (Ref. 9)
Mo	0	Touboul, Minel, and Langeron
		(Ref. 14)
Ni	S	Lozinskiy, Volkogon, and
		Pertsovskiy; Thompson; and
		Holt and Wallace (Refs. 15-17)
Ni	Sb	Bruemmer et al. (Ref. 18)

TABLE I. Cases of impurity-induced embrittlement.

¹L. Goodwin, R. J. Needs, and Volker Heine, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 2050 (1988).

²A. Troiano, Trans. Am. Soc. Met. 52, 54 (1960).

³W. Losch, Acta Metall. **27**, 1885 (1979).

⁴R. P. Messmer and C. L. Briant, Acta Metall. **30**, 457 (1982).

⁵K. Aoki and O. Izumi, J. Jpn. Inst. Met. **43**, 1190 (1979); S. Suzuki, M. O. Bata, K. Abiko, and H. Kimura, Trans. Iron Steel Inst. Jpn. **25**, 62 (1985); Weng Yu-Quing and C. J. McMahon, Jr., Mater. Sci. Tech. **3**, 207 (1987).

⁶E. D. Hondros and D. McLean, Philos. Mag. **29**, 771 (1974).

⁷H. L. Marcus and N. Paton, Metall. Trans. **5**, 2135 (1974). ⁸P. Jolly and C. Goux, Mem. Sci. Rev. Metall. **66**, 605

(1969).

⁹C. Pichard, J. Rieu, and C. Goux, Mem. Sci. Rev. Metall. **70**, 13 (1973); Metall. Trans. **4**, 1735 (1975).

 10 P. V. Ramasubramanian and D. F. Stein, Metall. Trans. 4, 1735 (1973).

¹¹B. E. Hopkins and H. R. Tipler, J. Iron Steel Inst. (London) **177**, 110 (1954).

¹²M. P. Seah and E. P. Hondros, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 335, 191 (1973).

¹³J. R. Rellick, C. J. McMahon, Jr., H. L. Marcus, and P. W. Palmberg, Metall. Trans. **2**, 1492 (1971).

¹⁴J. Touboul, L. Minel, J. P. Langeron, and C. R. Hebd. C. R. Seances Acad. Sci. Ser. C **272**, 1204 (1971).

¹⁵M. G. Lozinskiy, G. M. Volkogon, and N. Z. Pertsovskiy, Russ. Metall. 5, 65 (1967).

¹⁶A. W. Thompson, in *Grain Boundaries in Engineering Materials*, edited by J. H. Westbrook and D. A. Woodford (Claitors, Baton Rouge, 1974), p. 607.

¹⁷R. T. Holt and W. Wallace, Int. Met. Rev. 21, 1 (1976).

¹⁸S. M. Bruemmer, R. H. Jones, M. T. Thomas, and D. R. Baer, Metall. Trans. **14A**, 223 (1983).