Geerligs, Peters, and Mooij Reply: The clear distinction between mutual and self-capacitance that Ferrell and Mirhashem<sup>1</sup> make is significant for quantitative comparison of our experiments with theories of phase transitions. In our Letter<sup>2</sup> the transition at x around 1 was quoted<sup>3</sup> as an approximate threshold. As such the distinction is less relevant since both choices of capacitance yield comparable results. In a mean-field approximation, e.g., Efetov finds for self-charging a critical x equal to 1.3 Doniach arrives, for mutual capacitance, at x=2.3 Recently, Eckern and Schön performed a mean-field treatment for arbitrary mutual and self-capacitance.<sup>4</sup> They also find a critical x of order 1 for mutual capacitance much larger than self-capacitance.

We agree that for a more reliable check of theory with experiments smaller junctions would be helpful but of course their fabrication is nontrivial. Among other present uncertainties are the effect of additional elements in the capacitance matrix, and the question of the appropriate "tunneling horizon" for the determination of  $C_{\rm eff.}$  5 This last item can make a difference of about a

factor of 3 in the experimental determination of the junction capacitance.

L. J. Geerligs, M. Peters, and J. E. Mooij
Department of Applied Physics
Delft University of Technology
P.O. Box 5046
2600 GA Delft, The Netherlands

Received 29 August 1989 PACS numbers: 74.50.+r, 05.30.-d, 74.40.+k

<sup>1</sup>R. A. Ferrell and B. Mirhashem, preceding Comment, Phys. Rev. Lett. **63**, 1753 (1989).

<sup>2</sup>L. J. Geerligs et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 63, 326 (1989).

<sup>3</sup>Reference 10 of Ref. 2.

<sup>4</sup>U. Eckern and G. Schön, in *Festkörperprobleme/Advances* in *Solid State Physics*, edited by U. Rössler (Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1989), Vol. 29, p. 1.

<sup>5</sup>U. Geigenmüller and G. Schön, "Single Electron Effects in Arrays of Normal Tunnel Junctions," Delft University of Technology report, 1989 (to be published).