

Comment on "Universal Jump of Gaussian Curvature at the Facet Edge of a Crystal"

In a recent Letter,¹ Akutsu, Akutsu, and Yamamoto (AAY) demonstrate that, for short-range interactions, there is a universal jump in the Gaussian curvature at the facet edge of a crystal. In this Comment, I provide a new derivation of their result which casts more light on its physical origin. Further, I demonstrate that their result is altered by the presence of elastic and dipolar forces, which are long ranged.

The well-known universal curvature jump² at a roughening transition may be clearly understood as a consequence of the universality of a correlation-function exponent at the transition. This correlation function is

$$C(x,y) = \langle e^{i[z(x,y) - z(0,0)]} \rangle \approx e^{-\langle [z(x,y) - z(0,0)]^2 \rangle / 2}, \quad (1)$$

where $z(x,y)$ is the height of the surface at the point $\mathbf{r} = (x,y)$. Orient the coordinates so that the x and y axes are principal axes of curvature. Then the principal curvatures are given by³

$$\kappa_{ii} = -\frac{1}{2} \beta \lambda \int d\mathbf{r} \partial^2 \langle [z(\mathbf{r}) - z(0)]^2 \rangle / \partial x_i^2, \quad (2)$$

where λ scales the size of the crystal and $\beta = 1/k_B T$. If $C(x,y)$ has the asymptotic form

$$C(x,y) \propto 1/[k_x^2 x^2 + k_y^2 y^2]^\eta, \quad (3)$$

the combination of Eqs. (1)-(3) readily yields, for the Gaussian curvature $K = \kappa_{xx} \kappa_{yy}$,

$$(k_B T / \lambda) \sqrt{K} = 4\pi\eta. \quad (4)$$

At a roughening transition η takes on the universal value $1/2\pi^2$.

Now, the correlation function $C(x,y)$ is perfectly well defined on the curved surface away from the facet edge, and, in the context of commensurate-incommensurate transitions, it has been calculated by Shultz⁴ for the case of short-ranged interactions. In the limit that the facet edge is approached, Eq. (3) holds with $\eta = 1/4\pi^2$, which, when inserted in Eq. (4) yields the result of AAY, who derived it by connecting the coefficient B of the cubic term in the expansion of the surface free energy in powers of the slope with the step stiffness.

Elastic interactions and, in metals, dipolar interactions lead to a long-range interaction between steps varying as g/l^2 , where l is the step separation⁵ and g is a constant. These interactions affect B and thus could invalidate the universal result of AAY if the step stiffness is not af-

fectured in a compensating way. The effect of $1/l^2$ interactions on the step stiffness is now known. Fortunately, relevant results for the correlation function $C(x,y)$ exist. In Ref. 5 the connection between steps on crystal surfaces and the ground-state properties of spinless fermions in one dimension is discussed. In particular, a computation of the pair correlation function for fermions is equivalent to a calculation of the slope-slope correlation function $S(x) = \langle S_x(x,0) S_x(0) \rangle$, where $S_x = \partial z / \partial x$. As is clear from Eqs. (1)-(3), the coefficient of $1/x^2$ in $S(x)$ is 2η . Using the fermion results of Sutherland,⁶ one finds the AAY result $\eta = 1/4\pi^2$ for $g=0$, $\eta = 1/2\pi^2$ for $g = -t/4$, and $\eta = 1/8\pi^2$ for $g = 2t$. Here $t = \beta^{-1} \times e^{-\beta\Gamma}$, where Γ is a kink energy.⁵

The result for general g is not available, but these partial results make it clear that the universality of the curvature jump K fails in the case of the physically relevant long-range elastic and dipole forces. This failure will be most manifest at low temperatures. Near roughening, entropic effects dominate the long-range forces, and the universal-curvature-jump prediction of AAY will obtain.⁵

This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMR-84-04961. Discussions with David Edwards and Sebastien Balibar are gratefully acknowledged, and special thanks goes to C. Jayaprakash for key conversations and a critical reading of the manuscript.

W. F. Saam
Physics Department
Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio 43210

Received 6 March 1989

PACS numbers: 61.50.Jr, 05.70.Jk, 68.35.Rh, 82.65.Dp

¹Y. Akutsu, N. Akutsu, and T. Yamamoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 424 (1988).

²C. Jayaprakash, W. F. Saam, and S. Teitel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50**, 2017 (1983); F. Gallet, S. Balibar, and E. Rolley, J. Phys. (Paris) **48**, 369 (1987).

³W. F. Saam, C. Jayaprakash, and S. Teitel, in *Quantum Fluids and Solids—1983*, edited by E. D. Adams and G. G. Ihas (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1983), p. 371.

⁴H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. B **22**, 5274 (1980).

⁵C. Jayaprakash, C. Rottman, and W. F. Saam, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 6549 (1984).

⁶Bill Sutherland, J. Math. Phys. **12**, 246 (1971).