## Distribution of M1 Transitions in <sup>208</sup>Pb

R. M. Laszewski, R. Alarcon, D. S. Dale, and S. D. Hoblit

Nuclear Physics Laboratory and Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 23 Stadium Drive, Champaign, Illinois 61820

(Received 20 June 1988)

The distribution of M1 strength in <sup>208</sup>Pb has been measured between 5.8 and 7.4 MeV with highly polarized tagged photons.  $\sum \Gamma_0^2 (M1)/\Gamma = 14.6 \pm \frac{1}{1.5}$  eV corresponding to  $\sum B(M1^{\dagger}) = (10.7 \pm \frac{1}{0.5}) \mu_k^2$  was found, and can fully account for the much discussed "missing" M1 in <sup>208</sup>Pb. When the present result is combined with known 1<sup>+</sup> transitions above neutron threshold, an M1 giant resonance emerges at 7.3 MeV, 1 MeV wide, with  $\sum B(M1^{\dagger}) \approx 15.6 \mu_k^2$ . Smaller 1<sup>+</sup> resonances are also seen at both 5.85 and 6.24 MeV. The total M1 strength below 6.4 MeV amounts to  $\sum B(M1) = (1.9 \pm 0.3) \mu_k^2$ .

PACS numbers: 21.10.Re, 24.70.+s, 25.20.Dc, 27.80.+w

The question of the distribution of magnetic dipole ground-state transition strength in <sup>208</sup>Pb has been the subject of intensive experimental and theoretical study for many years.<sup>1-3</sup> A large part of this reason for the continuing interest in this problem has been the fact that the predictions of sophisticated theoretical models, which have been successful in describing the magnetic properties of other nuclei, have remained substantially at variance with the experimental observations of M1 transition strength in <sup>208</sup>Pb. The relatively small amount of M1 strength found below 8 MeV in <sup>208</sup>Pb has tended to be particularly troublesome because spin-flip excitations of both of the closed-shell configurations  $\pi(h_{11/2})$  and  $v(i_{13/2})$  can occur, and would suggest that this nucleus should exhibit the best possible example of an M1 giant resonance.

The discovery of a strong localized M1 resonance in the neighboring nucleus <sup>206</sup>Pb (Ref. 4) leads to the suggestion that there might in fact be more M1 strength near 7.5 MeV in <sup>208</sup>Pb than had been suspected.<sup>3,4</sup> This could be the case if the M1 were sufficiently fragmented to make the strengths of individual transitions fall below the respective detection limits of the experimental probes that had been employed. Most of the known M1strength in <sup>208</sup>Pb is found to be distributed among a large number of weak transitions located in a band of excitations just above the 7.4-MeV neutron-emission threshold. 5-7 Significantly, the detection limits that are characteristic of the neutron work responsible for the identification of these M1 transitions are far better than corresponding limits on the other techniques that had been used to search for M1 strength below threshold.<sup>3</sup>

In order to address the question of the "missing" M1in <sup>208</sup>Pb, we have measured the distribution of magnetic dipole transition strength at excitations between 5.8 and 7.4 MeV using highly polarized tagged photons. The present technique avoids many of the difficulties that are inherent in other methods.<sup>3</sup> In particular, the taggedphoton elastic-scattering cross section is sensitive to the sum of the dipole transition strength in each tagging interval  $\Delta E$ , and does not depend on the actual number of resonances among which the strength is shared.<sup>8,9</sup> The tagging-coincidence requirement ensures that there is no background subtraction problem to complicate the interpretation of the data, and the measured polarization asymmetry serves to separate M1 from the dominant E1 transition strength.<sup>10</sup> This technique is ideally suited to the search for substantially fragmented magnetic dipole strength.

The off-axis linear polarization of the tagged-photon beam was enhanced by means of residual-electron selection in the manner previously described.<sup>10</sup> The scattering target was 99.7% enriched <sup>208</sup>Pb. The incident cw electron-beam energy was 11.2 MeV, and photons were tagged in the range  $5.8 < E_{\gamma} < 7.6$  MeV. Unpolarized photon-scattering cross sections were obtained by our simply moving the target-detector system to an on-axis orientation and eliminating the residual-electron selection constraint. Because elastic photon scattering below threshold in <sup>208</sup>Pb is dominated by a number of very strong E1 transitions,  $^{8,9,11,12}$  it was decided to place an enriched (99.7%) 4.19-gm/cm<sup>2</sup> <sup>208</sup>Pb absorber into the photon beam. This nuclear-resonant absorber<sup>9,13</sup> served to preferentially reduce the scattering due to the very strong E1 lines and effectively enhance any asymmetry due to much weaker M1 transitions.

The measured polarized-photon elastic-scattering asymmetries,  $\eta_0^{\delta}$ , are shown in the top part of Fig. 1. The asymmetries that would be expected for pure E1and pure M1 scattering are indicated by the curves. These curves come from a calculation of the photon polarization in first Born approximation with screening, averaged over the scattering target and the residualelectron acceptance.<sup>10</sup> Experimentally measured asymmetries for known M1 and E1 transitions were used to determine a normalization factor of  $1.03 \pm 0.06$  for the theoretical polarization.<sup>14</sup> It is clear from the asymmetry plot alone that there is significant M1 strength near 7 MeV in <sup>208</sup>Pb.

The observed asymmetry in each tagging interval gives



FIG. 1. Top: The observed polarized-photon elastic-scattering asymmetry at 90° in <sup>208</sup>Pb. The curves correspond to expected asymmetries for pure E1 and pure M1 scattering. Horizontal lines on the data points indicate the widths of respective tagging intervals. Center: Total photo-elasticscattering cross section below threshold in <sup>208</sup>Pb. The M1 contribution is indicated by the solid histogram. Bottom: The distribution of  $B(M1\uparrow)$  in <sup>208</sup>Pb. The open histogram shows the results of the present work. The shaded histogram indicates the previously known M1 strength above threshold in <sup>208</sup>Pb (Ref. 7). Histogram widths correspond to respective tagging intervals.

the fraction of the total elastic scattering that is due to M1 transition strength.<sup>10</sup> This fraction is combined with the unpolarized elastic-scattering measurement to give the M1 photon cross section which is shown in the central part of Fig. 1 (solid histogram). For comparison, the total dipole cross section is also shown (open histogram). This latter includes the appropriate nuclear resonant-absorption corrections for all of the strong E1transitions that were observed in the nuclear-resonancefluorescence work of Ref. 9. The plot of the cross section shows that there is indeed a large amount of M1strength extending below threshold, with the overall distribution of dipole strength clearly dominated by the well-known E1 resonances. The total magnetic-dipoletransition strength in the interval between 6.7 and 7.4 MeV is  $\sum \Gamma_0^2(M1)/\Gamma = 12.9^{+1.4}_{-1.2}$  eV. The corresponding electric-dipole strength is  $\sum \Gamma_0^2(E1)/\Gamma = 65.7^{+6.3}_{-6.2}$  eV, or 84% of the total (M1+E1). Between 5.8 and 6.7 MeV,  $\sum \Gamma_0^2(M_1)/\Gamma = 1.7 + 0.6 = 0.4 \text{ eV}$  and  $\sum \Gamma_0^2(E_1)/\Gamma = 11.1 + 1.5 = 0.4 \text{ eV}$ .

The measured M1 elastic-scattering cross section can be most directly compared with theoretical predictions if it is expressed in terms of the reduced transition probability  $B(M1\uparrow)$ . There is a simple relation between these two quantities if it is assumed that  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma \approx 1$  (Ref. 15); and in the case of <sup>208</sup>Pb it appears that this assumption is quite reasonable.<sup>9</sup> To the extent that  $\Gamma_0/\Gamma$  deviates from 1, the  $B(M1\uparrow)$  values given here will be lower limits.<sup>16</sup>

Our results are presented at the bottom of Fig. 1 (open histogram). All of the statistical uncertainties associated with the asymmetry measurement, the elastic-scattering measurement, and the polarization normalization are reflected in the error bars. We find that between 6.7 and 7.4 MeV,  $\sum B(M1\uparrow) = (8.8 + 1.0) \mu_N^2$  and that below 6.7 MeV down to 5.8 MeV,  $\sum B(M1\uparrow) = (1.9^{+0.7}_{-0.4})\mu_N^2$ . Also shown (shaded histogram) are the results of previous neutron-scattering and -capture measurements on individual M1 resonances above threshold.<sup>5-7</sup> We have summed these data over 50-keV bins to facilitate comparison with the present experiment. The neutron work has observed  $\sum B(M1\uparrow) \approx 6.8 \mu_N^2$  from threshold to about 8.4 MeV.<sup>7</sup> Looking at the figure, we can see that there is in fact a compact giant M1 resonance in <sup>208</sup>Pb centered at about 7.3 MeV, having a full width of about MeV. The total strength of this resonance is  $\sum B(M1\uparrow) = 15.6\mu_N^2$ , more than half of which lies below threshold and is reported here for the first time. Similar amounts of M1 strength have been observed in the photofission of actinide nuclei.<sup>17</sup> The presence of a pre-viously observed<sup>18</sup> weak  $1^+$  level at 7.28 MeV  $[B(M1\uparrow) \approx 0.5 \mu_N^2]$  is consistent within the present measured distribution of M l strength.

The results of the present experiment can account for the missing M1 strength in <sup>208</sup>Pb. In the independentparticle shell model, the two configurations  $\pi[(h_{11/2})^{-1}]$ ,  $(h_{9/2})$ ] and  $v[(i_{13/2})^{-1}, (i_{11/2})]$  are mixed by the residual interaction to produce two 1<sup>+</sup> states.<sup>19</sup> One of these, in which the neutron and proton components oscillate out of phase, is nominally referred to as an "isovector" state and carries most of the excitation strength. The other is an "isoscalar" state which lies lower in energy and has little strength. A variety of nuclear dynamics effects including ground-state correlations, coupling to nucleon excitations, and coupling to more complicated nuclear configurations serve to modify this simple picture and reduce the M1 strength relative to the independentparticle shell model. Recent theoretical calculations<sup>20-23</sup> all tend to predict a total magnetic dipole strength in <sup>208</sup>Pb of about  $20\mu_N^2$ , with most of it expected at excitations near 7.5 MeV. The coupling to two-particle-twohole configurations, which is responsible for the substantial local fragmentation of the M1, also distributes a small part of this strength upwards to higher excitations.<sup>20</sup> One calculation, which explicitly includes a very large two-particle-two-hole space, finds that below about 8.5 MeV in <sup>208</sup>Pb,  $\sum B(M1\uparrow) = 17.4\mu_N^{2,21,24}$  This result is in excellent agreement with out new experimental total,  $\Sigma B(M1\uparrow) \approx 17.5 \mu_N^2$ .

Our data also show two small M1 resonances at 5.85

MeV  $[\sum \Gamma_0^2(M_1)/\Gamma = 0.5 + 0.4 \text{ eV}]$  and at 6.24 MeV  $[\sum \Gamma_0^2(M_1)/\Gamma = 1.2^{+0.5}_{-0.3} \text{ eV}]$ . The excitation energies and strengths of these resonances suggest that they may be a reflection of the isoscalar state, although it may also be that at least some of the strength in this region is due to a low-energy tail extending from the isovector M1 giant resonance at 7.3 MeV. The results of the present experiment are in basic accord with previous claims that have been made for a 1<sup>+</sup> state at 5.846 MeV.<sup>12,25</sup> The maximum M1 strength that we can attribute to a single state at this excitation is  $\Gamma_0^2(M1)/\Gamma = 0.5 + 0.4 = 0.00$ , which is somewhat less than has been reported elsewhere<sup>12,25</sup>; but all of the results are consistent within the experimental uncertainties. We note that there are at least two effects which could have contributed to a possible overestimate of the nuclear-resonance-fluorescence strength reported in Ref. 12. The single-escape peak of a line at 6.363 MeV in <sup>208</sup>Pb falls at 5.852 MeV and cannot be cleanly resolved from the line at 5.846 MeV<sup>9</sup>; and <sup>206</sup>Pb, which was present in the partially enriched <sup>208</sup>Pb target of Ref. 12, also has a resonance at 5.846 MeV.<sup>9</sup> From the present work, the total M1 strength below 6.4 MeV amounts to  $\sum B(M1\uparrow) = (1.9^{+0.7}_{-0.4})\mu_N^2$ .

In summary, the distribution of magnetic dipoletransition strength in <sup>208</sup>Pb has been measured at excitations between 5.8 and 7.4 MeV with highly polarized tagged photons. A total *M*1 strength of  $\sum \Gamma_0^2(M1)/\Gamma$ = 14.6<sup>+1.5</sup>/<sub>-1.3</sub> eV corresponding to  $\sum B(M1\uparrow) = (10.7^{+1.1}_{-0.9})$ × $\mu_N^2$  was found, and can fully account for the much discussed "missing" *M*1 in <sup>208</sup>Pb. When the present results are combined with previously reported *M*1 above neutron threshold it becomes clear that there is in fact a locally fragmented *M*1 isovector giant resonance in <sup>208</sup>Pb centered at 7.3 MeV having a width of about 1.0 MeV and a strength of  $15.6\mu_N^2$ . There is also additional *M*1 strength below 6.4 MeV that amounts to about  $1.9\mu_N^2$ .

The authors wish to thank J. Wambach and J. Speth for several useful discussions. This work was supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation under Grant No. NSF PHY 86-10493.

<sup>1</sup>C. D. Bowman, R. J. Baglau, B. L. Berman, and T. W. Phillips, Phys. Rev. Lett. **25**, 1302 (1970); R. J. Holt, H. E. Jackson, R. M. Laszewski, and J. R. Specht, Phys. Rev. C **20**,

93 (1979), and references therein.

<sup>2</sup>G. E. Brown and S. Raman, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. 9, 79 (1980), and references therein.

 ${}^{3}$ R. M. Laszewski and J. Wambach, Comments Nucl. Part. Phys. 14, 321 (1985), and references therein.

<sup>4</sup>R. M. Laszewski, P. Rullhusen, S. D. Hoblit, and S. F. Le-Brun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 530 (1985).

<sup>5</sup>D. J. Horen, J. A. Harvey, and N. W. Hill, Phys. Lett. **67B**, 268 (1977), and Phys. Rev. Lett. **38**, 1344 (1977), and Phys. Rev. C **18**, 722 (1978); D. J. Horen, G. F. Auchampaugh, and J. A. Harvey, Phys. Lett. **79B**, 39 (1978).

<sup>6</sup>B. J. Allen and R. L. Macklin, in *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Neutron Cross Section and Technology, Knoxville, Tennessee, 1971,* edited by R. L. Macklin, CONF-710301 (U.S. AEC Division of Technical Information, Extension, Oak Ridge, TN, 1971), p. 764; S. Raman, M. Mitzumoto, and R. L. Macklin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **39**, 398 (1977).

<sup>7</sup>R. Kohler *et al.*, Phys. Rev. C **35**, 1646 (1987).

<sup>8</sup>R. M. Laszewski and P. Axel, Phys. Rev. C 19, 342 (1979).

<sup>9</sup>T. Chapuran, R. Vodhanel, and M. K. Brussel, Phys. Rev. C 22, 1420 (1980).

<sup>10</sup>R. M. Laszewski, P. Rullhusen, S. D. Hoblit, and S. F. Le-Brun, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. **228**, 334 (1985).

<sup>11</sup>A. M. Nathan, R. Starr, R. M. Laszewski, and P. Axel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 221 (1979).

<sup>12</sup>K. Wienhard et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 49, 18 (1982).

<sup>13</sup>F. R. Metzger, *Progress in Nuclear Physics* (Pergamon, Elmsford, NY, 1959), Vol. 7.

 $^{14}$ R. M. Laszewski, R. Alarcon, and S. D. Hoblit, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 431 (1987).

<sup>15</sup>A. Bohr and B. R. Mottelson, *Nuclear Structure* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1975), Vol. II.

<sup>16</sup>P. Axel, K. K. Min, and D. C. Sutton, Phys. Rev. C 2, 689 (1970).

<sup>17</sup>J. D. T. Arruda-Neto, S. B. Herdade, and B. L. Berman, J. Phys. G **12**, 105 (1986).

<sup>18</sup>R. Moreh, S. Shlomo, and A. Wolf, Phys. Rev. C **2**, 1144 (1970).

<sup>19</sup>J. D. Vergados, Phys. Lett. **36B**, 12 (1971).

<sup>20</sup>J. Speth, V. Klemt, J. Wambach, and G. E. Brown, Nucl. Phys. **A343**, 382 (1980).

<sup>21</sup>D. Cha, B. Schwesinger, J. Wambach, and J. Speth, Nucl. Phys. **A430**, 321 (1984).

<sup>22</sup>D. T. Khoa, V. Y. Ponomarev, and A. I. Vdovin, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research Report No. E4-86-198, 1986 (unpublished); A. I. Vdovin and V. Y. Ponomarev, private communication.

<sup>23</sup>E. Lipparini and A. Richter, Phys. Lett. 144B, 13 (1984).

<sup>24</sup>J. Wambach, private communication.

<sup>25</sup>S. Muller *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 293 (1985).