

## Neutral-Pion Photoproduction on Protons near Threshold

First experimental results<sup>1</sup> on neutral-pion photoproduction from protons near threshold (NPPT), of fundamental interest as rigorous tests of corrections to the low-energy theorems (LET) and current algebra, and relevant in the context of understanding electroweak structure of hadrons, are in. Mazzucato *et al.*<sup>1</sup> extract the  $E_{0+}$  amplitude at threshold to be  $-0.5 \pm 0.3$ , in *strong disagreement* with the value of  $-2.47$  predicted<sup>2</sup> by the LET, and with the previously inferred<sup>1</sup> experimental value of  $-1.8 \pm 0.6$ , all in units of  $10^{-3}/m_{\pi^+}$ . This is the first claim of experimental evidence for the large  $s$ -wave rescattering effects<sup>2</sup> suspected in the  $E_{0+}$  amplitude.

We are primarily concerned here with examination of uncertainties associated with the  $E_{0+}$  prediction of the chiral-Lagrangian theory,<sup>3,4</sup> incorporating the LET and current algebra. We also comment on the analysis of Mazzucato *et al.*<sup>1</sup>

In an effective chiral-Lagrangian theory<sup>3,4</sup> the pseudovector nucleon Born terms incorporate the LET. To this, we can add (Table I) contributions from  $t$ -channel vector mesons ( $\rho, \omega$ ), and  $s$ - and  $u$ -channel  $\Delta$  and higher baryon exchanges, fitting extant multipoles for c.m. energies between 1100 and 1350 MeV. This theory yields real amplitudes at the tree level. Their unitarization is not unique; thus, these amplitudes can be taken as either a  $T$ - or  $K$ -matrix element, where  $T = K(1 - iK)^{-1}$ . With  $T_{33} = \sin\delta \exp(i\delta)$ , we have

$$T_{31} \approx \cos\delta \exp(i\delta) \left[ K_{31} + \frac{iK_{32}K_{21}}{1 - iK_{22}} \right], \quad (1)$$

where channels 1, 2, and 3 are  $\gamma p$ ,  $\pi^+ n$ , and  $\pi^0 p$ , respectively. We can use the theory to compute  $|T_{31}|$  and unitarize it by giving it the Watson phase  $\delta$ , or compute  $T_{31}$  via (1). The latter produces the so-called "cusp effect" in the cross section.<sup>5</sup>

Table I shows our theoretical predictions for the real part of the multipoles for NPPT, in the form  $E_{0+} = a/m_{\pi^+}$ ,  $M_{1-} = bqk/m_{\pi^+}^3$ , and  $M_{1+} = cqk/m_{\pi^+}^3$ ,  $q$  and  $k$  being the c.m. pion and photon momenta. We find the cusp effect to be only important for  $E_{0+}$ .  $P_{11}(1450)$  and  $S_{11}(1535)$  contribute less than 10% of each of these multipoles for NPPT. Other resonances may not be negligible and need further investigation. Thus, if we ignore contributions from higher resonances, the *chiral-Lagrangian-theory prediction of  $E_{0+}$  remains in serious disagreement with the new value of  $E_{0+}$  at threshold. However, its predictions for  $M_{1+}$  and  $M_{1-}$  are in excellent agreement with the recent experiment.*<sup>1</sup> It is also very successful in the  $\Delta$  region.<sup>4</sup>

TABLE I. Various contributions to calculated values of multipoles for  $\pi^0$  photoproduction near threshold in units of  $10^{-3}$ . See text for definition of  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ . Cusp effect is shown for "Total" only. Experimental values (Ref. 1) for  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are  $-0.5 \pm 0.3$ ,  $-2.0 \pm 1.5$ , and  $8.0 \pm 0.3$ , respectively, in same units.

	Nucleon Born and vector meson	$\Delta$	Total with cusp effect
$a$	-2.39	0.35	-2.86
$b$	-5.72	2.22	-3.48
$c$	4.13	3.83	7.97

Some comments on the results of Mazzucato *et al.*<sup>1</sup> are now in order. Given their fitted multipoles, we get the coefficient  $C$  to be always *negative* for  $E_{\gamma}$  between 146.5 and 169.2 MeV, consistent with zero, in contrast to values given in their Table I. Note that the coefficient  $C$  is negative, for  $E_{1+} = 0$ , if  $c > -2b$ , which is the case for multipoles extracted in Ref. 1, satisfying the relation  $c \approx -4b$ ,  $b$  being negative. Also, fitting multipoles to their  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$ s, we end up with  $b$  in  $M_{1-}$  significantly different from  $(-2.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-3}$ , ours being close to  $-7 \times 10^{-3}$ . This is only meant to illustrate the apparent discrepancy between the values of  $M_{1-}$  and the coefficient  $C$  of Mazzucato *et al.*,<sup>1</sup> particularly close to threshold. However, such problems do not exist with their  $E_{0+}$  and  $M_{1+}$  values. Thus, serious disagreement between LET and experiment for  $E_{0+}$  still persists, and calls for further experimental and theoretical work.

We thank Professor G. Adams for discussions, and Dr. N. de Botton and Dr. E. Mazzucato for clarifying communications on the Saclay work. This research is supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.

R. Davidson and Nimai C. Mukhopadhyay  
Physics Department  
Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute  
Troy, New York 12180

Received 13 August 1987  
PACS numbers: 13.60.Le

<sup>1</sup>E. Mazzucato *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 3144 (1986).

<sup>2</sup>See, for example, G. Furlan *et al.*, Nuovo Cimento **62**, 519 (1969).

<sup>3</sup>M. G. Olsson *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **17**, 2938 (1978), and references therein.

<sup>4</sup>R. Davidson, N. C. Mukhopadhyay, and R. Wittman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 804 (1986). Couplings and parameters in the calculation reported here are from a recent analysis of the photoproduction multipoles, to be published elsewhere.

<sup>5</sup>G. Fäldt, Nucl. Phys. A **333**, 357 (1980).