

---

**ERRATA**

---

**Early-Universe Thermal Production of Not-So-Invisible Axions.** MICHAEL S. TURNER [Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 2489 (1987)].

The receipt date should be 29 October 1986.

In the second paragraph below Eqs. (6) and (7) it is stated that for  $f/N \lesssim 6 \times 10^6$  GeV the coherently produced axion population should be thermalized. While this statement is probably correct, it is a subtle issue because of the importance of stimulated emission processes. This point will be addressed in detail in a future publication. In any case it does not affect the main conclusions of the paper.

**Ion-Bernstein-Wave Heating and Improved Confinement in the Alcator C Tokamak.** J. D. MOODY, M. PORKOLAB, C. L. FIORE, F. S. MCDERMOTT, Y. TAKASE, J. TERRY, and S. M. WOLFE [Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 298 (1988)].

The last sentence of the second paragraph on page 298 should read, "The loading resistance exhibits a peak when  $\omega/\omega_{cH} \approx 1.95$  near the antenna surface; this corresponds to efficient ion-Bernstein-wave launching."<sup>3</sup>

**Accurate Calculation of Isotropic-Plastic and Isotropic-Nematic Transitions in the Hard-Ellipsoid Fluid.** JOHN F. MARKO [Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 325 (1988)].

The Monte Carlo results of Ref. 7 for the isotropic-nematic coexistence density range were misquoted in Table II as  $\eta=0.585$ ,  $\eta_1=0.619$  for  $b/a=2.75$  and  $\eta=0.574$ ,  $\eta_1=0.609$  for  $b/a=3.00$ , and should be  $\eta=0.561$ ,  $\eta_1=0.570$  for  $b/a=2.75$ , and  $\eta=0.507$ ,  $\eta_1=0.517$  for  $b/a=3.00$ . Thus, the density-functional results in my Letter are in even better agreement with the correct Monte Carlo results. I am grateful to Dr. Sin-Doo Lee of Brandeis University for pointing out this error.