## E 2/M 1 Ratio of $\gamma N \rightarrow \Delta(1232)$ Transitions in a Relativistic Three-Quark Model

Jadwiga Bieńkowska and Zbigniew Dziembowski

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Warsaw University, PL-00-681 Warszawa, Poland

and

Hans J. Weber

Department of Physics and Jesse W. Beams Laboratory, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22901 (Received 6 November 1986; revised manuscript received 22 June 1987)

The E2/M1 ratio of electromagnetic  $N(939) \rightarrow \Delta(1232)$  transitions is calculated in a relativistic three-quark model for the nucleon and  $\Delta(1232)$ . Relativistic kinematics in the three-quark N and  $\Delta$  wave functions yields an E2/M1 contribution of about -0.2% without any tensor forces in the dynamics.

PACS numbers: 13.60.Rj, 12.40.Aa

The ratio of electric quadrupole to magnetic dipole amplitudes in  $\gamma N \leftrightarrow \Delta(1232)$  transitions,  $R = \langle \Delta | E2 | N \rangle / \langle \Delta | M1 | N \rangle$ , is known as a sensitive test of quark models; R = 0 for spherically symmetric nonrelativistic N and  $\Delta$  states.<sup>1</sup> The tensor component of the hyperfine interaction<sup>2</sup> between quarks yields R = -0.3% to -0.4% obtained from the current operator and R = -0.7% from the charge density,<sup>3</sup> which is known to be more reliable. In the Skyrme model,<sup>4</sup> R is predicted to be relatively large (about -5%).

A recent reanalysis<sup>5</sup> of pion photoproduction data with Olsson's unitary  $Ansatz^6$  for the resonant amplitude gives an E2/M1 ratio  $R = (-1.5 \pm 0.2)\%$ , and again revised to substantially smaller values -0.3% to -0.6%; another<sup>7</sup> based on Noelle's unitary K-matrix Ansatz,<sup>8</sup> which does not rely on Olsson's  $\Delta$ -dominance assumption, yields E2/M1 values between  $(-0.50 \pm 0.14)\%$ and  $(-1.3 \pm 0.1)\%$ , while Grushin *et al.*<sup>9</sup> report R $= (-1.83 \pm 0.22)\%$ . The one-pion-exchange potential between quarks at long distances contributes<sup>3</sup> about -0.4% to R.

In this Letter, we calculate the E2/M1 ratio in a relativistic model,<sup>10</sup> in which the nucleon and  $\Delta(1232)$  are taken to be composite systems of three-valence quarks with constituent mass  $m_u = m_d = m_q \approx 330 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ . The model does not include the component of the hyperfine interaction or the one-pion-exchange potential. Nonetheless, we show that nonspherical components required in the N and  $\Delta$  wave functions by relativistic invariance alone yield a small, but negligible, contribution to the E2/M1 ratio between -0.1% and -0.2% for an acceptable range of parameters, viz.,  $300 \leq m_q \leq 350 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ and internal momentum scale (harmonic-oscillator constant)  $280 \leq \alpha \leq 360 \text{ MeV}/c^2$ .

We follow Ref. 10 and use Dirac's light-cone formalism, which provides a consistent relativistic many-body theory in momentum space in terms of a Fock-state basis defined at equal light-cone time  $x^+ = t + z$ , rather than the conventional equal-time wave function of the instant form. The three-quark nucleon (N) and  $\Delta(1232)$  wave functions (with internal quantum numbers  $\sigma_i$ ) are taken to be simple relativistic generalizations of the nonrelativistic constituent quark model assuming that the N and  $\Delta$  states are dominated by a three-valence-quark configuration with constituent quark mass  $m_u = m_d = m_q = 330$ MeV/ $c^2$ . In view of the relativistic motion of these light quarks, the totally symmetric N and  $\Delta$  momentum distributions are taken as a common relativistic Gaussian<sup>11</sup>

$$\phi(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) = N \exp(-M_{3}^{2}/6\alpha^{2})$$
$$= N \exp\left[-\frac{1}{6\alpha^{2}} \sum_{j=1}^{3} (\mathbf{k}_{\perp j}^{2} + m_{q}^{2})/x_{j}\right], \quad (1)$$

where  $M_3^2$  is the covariant three-body mass squared. The size parameter  $\alpha$  is determined by the proton quark core charge radius, magnetic moments, and its axial form factor.<sup>10</sup>

To determine the relativistic spin-wave functions of the nucleon and  $\Delta(1232)$ , we need some approximation to deal with the problem of the angular momentum  $\mathbf{J}^2$  in light-cone dynamics, where only  $J_z$  is well defined. For the small E 2 transition amplitude from N to  $\Delta$ , we want to avoid contributions from spurious spin- $\frac{3}{2}$  components in the nucleon and spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  in the  $\Delta$  wave function. To this end, we construct the spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $-\frac{3}{2}$  wave functions in terms of the conventional Clebsch-Gordan prescription for free quarks, but with the total energy of the free quarks taken to be the N or  $\Delta$  mass, respectively, i.e.,  $\sum_{j=1}^{3} p_{j}^{\mu} \rightarrow P_{N/\Delta}^{\mu}$ . For free spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  constituents the oneparticle instant (equal time) and light-cone (equal  $x^+$ ) states are related by the so-called Melosh transformation.<sup>12</sup> Using this relation, we obtain the following model for the symmetric and Lorentz-invariant light-cone wave functions

$$\psi_{\uparrow}^{N/\Delta}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp},\lambda) = \phi(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp})\chi_{\uparrow}^{N/\Delta}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp},\lambda) \left(\prod_{j=1}^{3} x_{j}\right)^{-1/2}, \quad (2)$$

(4)

(5)

where

$$\chi_{\uparrow}^{N}(\hat{1},\hat{2},\hat{3}) = J_{\uparrow}^{N}(13,2) + J_{\uparrow}^{N}(23,1), \qquad \chi_{\uparrow}^{A}(\hat{1},\hat{2},\hat{3}) = J_{\uparrow}^{A}(12,3) + J_{\uparrow}^{A}(23,1) + J_{\uparrow}^{A}(31,2), \qquad (3)$$
with

$$J_{\uparrow}^{N}(12,3) = \bar{u}_{\lambda_{2}}(m_{N} + \gamma \cdot P) \gamma_{5} v_{\lambda_{1}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_{3}} u_{\uparrow}^{N}, \qquad J_{\uparrow}^{\Delta}(12,3) = \bar{u}_{\lambda_{2}} \left[ \gamma^{\mu} + i \sigma^{\mu \nu} \frac{P_{\nu}}{m_{\Delta}} \right] v_{\lambda_{1}} \bar{u}_{\lambda_{3}} u_{\mu\uparrow}^{\Delta}.$$

Their normalizations are given by

$$2\int dx \, d^2 k_{\perp} \, | \, \phi(x, \mathbf{k}_{\perp}) \, | \, {}^{2} \sum_{\lambda's} \, | \, \chi^{N/\Delta}(\hat{1}, \hat{2}, \hat{3}) \, | \, {}^{2} = 1$$

The  $u_{\lambda}$  in Eq. (4) are the standard light-cone spinors,<sup>13</sup> and  $\hat{1}, \hat{2}, \hat{3}$  denote collective momentum-helicity indices  $(x_j, \mathbf{k}_{\perp j}, \lambda_j)$  for j = 1, 2, 3. Further details on the wave functions are given elsewhere.<sup>10,14</sup> The N and  $\Delta$  states (2) are written in the so-called *uds* basis, where only the u (and d) quark antisymmetrization is carried out explicitly. The wave functions in Eqs. (2)–(4) are obvious-

ly symmetric under the exchange of the first two quarks and symmetrized in the third quark.

The electromagnetic  $N \rightarrow \Delta$  amplitude is defined<sup>15</sup> in terms of the transition form factors for the charge  $G_c^*$ , magnetic dipole  $G_M^*$ , and quadrupole  $G_E^*$ , respectively. In Fig. 1 the diagrams are shown that contribute to the electromagnetic  $N \rightarrow \Delta$  transition current

$$\Gamma_{\lambda}^{+} = \langle \Delta(p+q,\lambda) \mid \frac{j^{+}(0)}{p^{+}} \mid N(p,\lambda-1) \rangle = \sum \int dx \, d^2k_{\perp} \psi_{\lambda}^{\dagger \Delta}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp}',\lambda') \frac{\overline{u}_{m}}{(k_{m}^{+})^{1/2}} \gamma^{+} Q_{m} \frac{u_{m}}{(k_{m}^{+})^{1/2}} \psi_{\lambda-1}^{N}(x,\mathbf{k}_{\perp},\lambda), \quad (6)$$

where  $Q_m$  is the charge of the struck quark with the final momentum  $\mathbf{k}'_{\perp m} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp m} + (1 - x_m)\mathbf{q}_{\perp}$ , while the spectator quarks have final momentum  $\mathbf{k}'_{\perp i} = \mathbf{k}_{\perp i} - x_i \mathbf{q}_{\perp}$  for  $i \neq m$ . If the light-cone coordinates are chosen<sup>16</sup> as  $p^{\mu} = (p^+, M^2/p^+, \mathbf{0}_{\perp})$  for the nucleon moving along the z axis and  $q^{\mu} = (0, 2pq/p^+, \mathbf{q}_{\perp})$  for the photon, the helicity matrix elements of the current  $j^+ = j^0 + j^3$  have the simple form

$$\Gamma_{3/2}^{+} = \frac{3q_L}{2\sqrt{2}m_N} (G_M^* + G_E^*),$$

$$\Gamma_{1/2}^{+} = \frac{3q_L}{2\sqrt{2}m_N} (G_M^* - 3G_E^*),$$
(7)

where  $q_L = q_1 - iq_2$  is used for the transverse momentum



FIG. 1. Calculation of electromagnetic current matrix element for three-valence-quark state contribution.

transfer. Hence<sup>17</sup>

$$G_{M}^{*}(0) = \frac{m_{N}}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_{L}} \left( \sqrt{3} \Gamma_{3/2}^{+} + \Gamma_{1/2}^{+} \right) \Big|_{q=0},$$
(8)

$$G_E^*(0) = \frac{m_N}{\sqrt{6}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q_L} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \Gamma_{3/2}^+ - \Gamma_{1/2}^+ \right) \bigg|_{q=0},$$
(9)

and the E2/M1 ratio becomes

$$R = G_E^*(0) / G_M^*(0). \tag{10}$$

We have performed our calculations for the ranges of parameters suggested by earlier work on the electromagnetic and weak properties of the nucleon in the relativistic quark model,<sup>10</sup> viz.,  $\alpha \in [280,360]$  MeV and  $m_q \in [300,350]$  MeV. Our E2/M1 ratio depends sensitively on the quark mass  $m_q$  and the momentum scale  $\alpha$ . We show in Table I our results. They show that relativistic effects from nonspherical components in the threequark nucleon and  $\Delta(1232)$  wave functions lead to mixed orbital configurations which in nonrelativistic quark models originate only from (tensor) interactions. The small Dirac components responsible for this are required by relativistic invariance and lead to small, but nonnegligible, E2/M1 contributions of the same sign as those from the color hyperfine and pion tensor potentials.

TABLE I. E 2/M 1 ratio for  $N^{\gamma} \rightarrow \Delta$  in percent.

α (MeV)	$M_q ({\rm MeV}/c^2)$			
	250	300	350	400
280	-0.156	-0.132	-0.113	-0.096
320	-0.190	-0.162	-0.139	-0.120
360	-0.225	-0.193	-0.166	0.144

In conclusion, then, our results suggest that relativistic kinematics in hadron wave functions can play an important role in some properties of the hadron spectrum.

The work of one of us (H.J.W.) was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation and the work of another of us (Z.D.) was supported in part by the Research Program CPBP-01.03. One of us (Z.D.) is indebted to Lech Mankiewicz for useful discussions.

<sup>1</sup>C. Becchi and G. Morpurgo, Phys. Lett. **17**, 352 (1965); G. Morpurgo, in *Theory and Phenomenology in Particle Physics*, edited by A. Zichichi (Academic, New York, 1969), p. 107.

<sup>2</sup>N. Isgur, G. Karl, and R. Koniuk, Phys. Rev. D **25**, 2394 (1982); S. S. Gershtein and G. V. Dzhikiya, Yad. Fiz. **34**, 1566 (1981) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **34**, 870 (1981)]; J. Dey and M. Dey, Phys. Lett. **138B**, 200 (1984).

 ${}^{3}$ M. Weyrauch and H. J. Weber, Phys. Lett. B 171, 13 (1986); H. J. Weber, in Proceedings of the International Workshop on Quarks, Gluons, and Hadronic Matter, edited by R. Viollier, Cape Town, 1987 (World Scientific, Singapore, to be published).

<sup>4</sup>G. S. Adkins, C. R. Nappi, and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. **B228**, 552 (1983).

 ${}^{5}R.$  Davidson, N. Mukhopadhyay, and R. Wittman, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 804 (1986); and contribution h-58 to the Eleventh International Conference on Nuclei and Particles,

Kyoto, Japan, 1987 (to be published).

<sup>6</sup>M. G. Olsson, Phys. Rev. D 13, 2502 (1976).

<sup>7</sup>A. S. Omelaenko and P. V. Sorokin, Yad. Fiz. **38**, 668 (1983) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **38**, 398 (1983)].

<sup>8</sup>P. Noelle, Prog. Theor. Phys. **60**, 778 (1978).

<sup>9</sup>W. F. Grushin *et al.*, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **39**, 407 (1984) [JETP Lett. **39**, 491 (1984)].

<sup>10</sup>Z. Dziembowski, "Relativistic model of nucleon and pion structure: static properties and EM soft form factors" (to be published); Z. Dziembowski and L. Mankiewicz, in Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy, Hadron '87, edited by K. Takamatsu (KEK, Tsukuba, Japan, to be published).

<sup>11</sup>S. J. Brodsky, T. Huang, and G. P. Lepage, in *Quarks and Nuclear Forces*, edited by D. Fries and B. Zeitnitz, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics Vol. 100 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1982), p. 81.

<sup>12</sup>L. A. Kondratyuk and M. V. Terentyev, Yad. Fiz. **31**, 1087 (1980) [Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **31**, 561 (1980)].

<sup>13</sup>G. P. Lepage and S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D 22, 2157 (1980).

<sup>14</sup>H. J. Weber, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) (to be published). The relativistic  $\Delta$ -wave function is discussed by Z. Dziembowski and H. J. Weber (to be published).

<sup>15</sup>H. F. Jones and M. D. Scadron, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **81**, 1 (1973).

<sup>16</sup>S. D. Drell and T. M. Yan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **24**, 181 (1970). <sup>17</sup>Our conventions agree with those of Dey and Dey, Ref. 2, and Gershtein and Dzhikiya, Ref. 2, and Ref. 3, but differs from Isgur, Karl, and Koniuk, Ref. 2 by a factor of  $-\frac{1}{3}$ .