Light-Scattering Study of Bond Orientational Order in a Tilted Hexatic Liquid-Crystal Film

S. Sprunt and J. D. Litster

Department of Physics and Center for Materials Science and Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139 (Received 31 July 1987)

We report a light-scattering study of the development of bond-orientational (BO) order in a thick, monodomain, freely suspended film. Our static results are consistent with a three-dimensional Landau free energy, and show an approximately linear increase of the BO elastic constant through the ordered phase. Our dynamic results show a hydrodynamic mode, which exhibits critical slowing down at the liquid to BO phase transition, and a nonhydrodynamic mode which is associated with the BO phase.

PACS numbers: 64.70.Md, 61.30.Gd

In recent years, the discovery of a phase of matter intermediate between a liquid and a positionally ordered solid has greatly expanded our understanding of melting.^{1,2} The phase we refer to exhibits long-range bondorientational (BO) correlations along directions which become the crystal axes when the lattice crystallizes. The first definitive observations of a BO phase were made with x rays on the smectic-B phase of a liquid crystal.² Only quite recently, however, have quantitative measurements of BO order been performed on singledomain smectic samples.^{3,4} In such samples the molecular long axis (director) is tilted with respect to the layer normal, and coupling between the molecular tilt and the BO order parameter induces monodomain BO order.³ X-ray scattering experiments³ exploit the effect of this coupling to measure quantitatively the BO order parameter. In addition, light scattering has been used on a very thin smectic film⁴ to show a strong director-BO coupling, leading to a nonhydrodynamic mode in the bond-tilt field fluctuations.

The present work reports a quasielastic light-scattering study of the liquid (S_C) to BO ordered (S_I) phase transition in a thick monodomain film. We establish the validity of a simple free energy for the transition by quantitative analysis of the q^2 dependence of the scattering, as well as by confirming the predicted nonhydrodynamic mode. In the liquid phase of thick films, we observe layer-coupling effects in both the amplitude and relaxation time of the director-field fluctuations, and measure a dramatic critical slowing down of the hydrodynamic fluctuations at the transition. Additionally, we find that the temperature dependence of the BO elasticity in the S_I phase scales like the square of the positional correlation length in a hexatic layer.

Our sample was a several-micron-thick film of racemic 4-(2-methylbutylphenyl)-4'-(octyloxy)-1,1'-biphenyl-4-carboxylate (8OSI) pulled across a 6.4-mm hole in a 0.51-mm-thick stainless-steel sample holder. The film was maintained in a clean N₂ atmosphere at ≈ 100 Torr inside a two-stage optical oven with 1-mK short-term temperature stability. The tilt field was aligned by cooling from the S_A to the S_C phase $(T_{AC} \approx 133 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ in a ≈ 1 kOe magnetic field. Tilt alignment was carefully monitored for the duration of the experiment, and the tilt mosaic in the S_C phase was estimated optically to be $< 2^{\circ}$. The film was illuminated with $\simeq 50 \text{ mW}$ of 5145-Å laser light. The experiment measured the intensity and the autocorrelation function of the light scattered by director fluctuations at a series of temperatures through the $S_C \rightarrow S_I$ transition. At each temperature, q^2 in the plane of the layers and along the tilt direction was scanned between 7×10^7 and 3×10^9 cm⁻²; this geometry corresponds to the in-plane director bend mode.⁵ Transition-temperature drift was estimated to be < 0.2 mK/h over a 5-d experiment.

To calculate the light scattering, we begin with a simple free-energy density F which includes both the tilt order parameter $\Phi \equiv \Phi_0 e^{i\phi}$ and the BO order parameter $\psi \equiv \psi_0 e^{\delta i\theta}$. Here Φ_0 is the angle the director makes with the layer normal, and ϕ describes the orientation of the in-plane director component. The phase θ in ψ represents fluctuations in the sixfold in-plane bond orientation about a reference axis. For our experimental geometry, F can be written⁶ as

$$F = \frac{1}{2} a_1 \Phi_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} c_1 \Phi_0^4 + \dots + \frac{1}{2} a_6 \psi_0^2 + \frac{1}{4} c_6 \psi_0^4 + h \Phi_0^6 \psi_0 + \dots + \frac{1}{2} K_A (\nabla_\perp \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K'_A (\partial_z \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K (\nabla_\perp \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K' (\partial_z \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K (\nabla_\perp \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K' (\partial_z \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K (\nabla_\perp \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K' (\partial_z \phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} K (\nabla_\perp \phi$$

Here $\nabla_{\perp} \equiv \hat{\mathbf{x}} \partial_x + \hat{\mathbf{y}} \partial_y$ for in-plane coordinates $x, y; \hat{\mathbf{z}}$ lies along the layer normal. The elastic constants K, K' measure respectively the elasticity of in-plane bend distortions and out-of-plane twist distortions of ϕ . K_A, K'_A correspond to similar distortions of θ . K' and K'_A are thus effective layer coupling constants. The terms in h represent a conjugate field to the BO order arising from the molecular tilt.¹

© 1987 The American Physical Society

To obtain the fluctuations in ϕ which scatter light, we diagonalize (1) by defining normal modes $\Delta = \theta - \phi$ and $\rho = \theta + b\phi$.¹ After projecting out the ϕ component of each mode, we obtain

$$\frac{\langle \delta \phi^2(q_{\perp}) \rangle}{k_{\rm B}T} = \frac{1}{(K+K_A)q_{\perp}^2} + \frac{1}{(K+K_A)q_{\perp}^2 + (K'+K'_A)\pi^2/t^2} + \frac{1}{H(1+K/K_A)^2 + K(1+K/K_A)q_{\perp}^2}$$
$$\equiv \frac{1}{Aq_{\perp}^2} + \frac{1}{C+Aq_{\perp}^2} + \frac{1}{B+Dq_{\perp}^2},$$
(2)

where $H \equiv 36\hbar \Phi_0^6 \psi_0$. We have taken $q_z = \pi/t$ (t = film thickness) as the dominant contribution from twist fluctuations,⁷ which, because of the finite thickness of the film, $t = 5\lambda$, we expect to see even for a scattering geometry that selects only pure in-plane fluctuations. (In our experiment, q_2^2 was always < 15% of the minimum q_{\perp}^2 .) In (2), the first two terms come from the ρ mode and the third from the Δ mode.

We first compare the q_{\perp}^2 dependence of our intensity data with (2), and the temperature dependence of the associated parameters with their expected behavior. In the S_C phase ($T > T_{CI}$, where $T_{CI} = S_C \rightarrow S_I$ transition temperature), we expect for small BO elasticity ($K_A \rightarrow 0$) $B \sim HK^2/K_A^2$, and $D \sim K^2/K_A$, so that the last term in (2) is negligible compared to the second term. Hence,

$$\frac{\langle \delta \phi^2(q_\perp) \rangle}{k_{\rm B}T} \simeq \frac{1}{Aq_\perp^2} + \frac{1}{C' + B' q_\perp^2} \tag{3}$$



FIG. 1. Top: Inverse intensity vs q_{\perp}^2 fitted by (3) in the S_C phase (bottom curve) and by (2) (dotted line) and (3) (solid line) in the S_I phase (top curves). Bottom: The ratios A/B' and (inset) A/C' vs temperature. See (3) and accompanying text.

for the S_C phase, with $C' \simeq C$ and $B' \simeq A$. The top panel of Fig. 1 shows a typical fit of (3) to data for the scattered intensity in the S_C phase ($T \simeq 105 \,^{\circ}$ C); we find that (3) describes the q_{\perp}^2 dependence of the scattering well throughout the S_C phase. The magnitude of C is consistent with a sample thickness $t \simeq 1 \, \mu$ m and typical values for K'. The bottom panel shows that in the liquid phase

$$A/C' \simeq A/C \sim (K+K_A)/(K'+K_A') \sim K/K'$$

is approximately constant and $A \simeq B'$, as expected.

For the S_I phase, returning to (2), we note that for large K_A , K'_A , and ψ_0^2 , $A \sim K_A$, $C \sim K'_A$, and $D \sim K$. We also expect⁸ $B \sim \Phi_0^6 \psi_0 \sim \psi_0^2$. Since three of these parameters should increase for $T \leq T_{CI}$, we might expect $B + Dq_{\perp}^2$ and $C + Aq_{\perp}^2$ to be highly correlated in the S_I phase. In fact, (2) and (3) fit our data equally well near T_{CI} and for $T < T_{CI}$. The top panel Fig. 1 shows S_I intensity data ($T \approx 73.2 \,^{\circ}$ C) fitted by (2) and by (3). In the bottom panel, we show A/C' and A/B' for the S_I phase obtained from fits by (3), which gives the most reliable parameters. To understand these ratios, we examine the limiting behavior of the full result (2). At low q_{\perp}^2 , we find $\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \approx (Aq_{\perp}^2)^{-1} + 1/C'$ with

$$C' = CB[C+B]^{-1} \sim K'_A \psi_0^2 (K'_A + \psi_0^2)^{-1}$$

Thus, for $K'_A \sim K_A$, $A/C' \sim \psi_0^{-2}(K'_A + \psi_0^2)$ should be approximately independent of *T*, as shown for the *S_I* phase in Fig. 1. At high q_{\perp}^2 , (2) gives $\langle \delta \phi^2 \rangle \simeq (Aq_{\perp}^2)^{-1} + (B'q_{\perp}^2)^{-1}$ with $B' = AD[A+D]^{-1}$, so that A/B'



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of $K + K_A$ (open circles) scaled to data for ξ_h^2 (solid circles) obtained by Brock *et al.* (Ref. 3), on the assumption that $K + K_A = K + C\xi_h^2$.

 $\sim 1 + A/D \sim K_A/K$ for large K_A . Thus A/B' should increase sharply in the S_I phase, as Fig. 1 demonstrates.

We now discuss the temperature dependence of $K + K_A$ obtained from the parameter A in fits by (3). We have considered both $K_A \sim \psi_0^2$ and $K_A \sim \xi_h^2$, where ξ_h is the in-layer positional correlation length along the molecular tilt direction.⁹ The latter prediction holds rigorously in 2D,⁹ but may hold in 3D if the finite thickness of the film suppresses the formation of lattice dislocation loops cutting through the layers. In particular, if in 3D the dislocations extend across layers in isolated lines whose length is fixed by the film thickness, the dislocation energy would still scale with ξ_h like ξ_h^2 .

Figure 2 shows our data for $K+K_A$ scaled to x-ray scattering data³ for ξ_h^2 obtained for a thick film of the same liquid crystal. We note a strong qualitative correspondence and an approximately linear behavior in the S_I phase, in sharp contrast to the x-ray data³ for ψ_0^2 . In 2D ξ_h is expected¹⁰ to diverge dramatically at the hexatic-to-solid transition $(T - T_{IJ})$; neither the x-ray nor light-scattering results show this divergence in 3D. However, neither experiment obtained data for $T - T_{IJ} \lesssim 0.3$ °C. We note that $K+K_A$ reported here changes by a factor $\sim 10^2$ through the S_I phase, in good agreement with the x-ray data for ξ_h^2 and with the result for $K+K_A$ obtained in very thin films.⁴

We now turn to the dynamics of the director fluctua-



FIG. 3. Top: Γ_{ρ} (see text) vs q_{\perp}^2 fitted by a line in the S_C phase (top curve) and S_I phase (bottom curve). Bottom: Temperature dependence of the fitted slope and intercept.

tions. For the three components of Eq. (2), we expect¹¹ three overdamped modes, characterized by linewidths

$$\Gamma_{\rho}^{1} = \frac{(Kq_{\perp}^{2} + K'\pi^{2}/t^{2})^{2}}{\eta_{\rho}(K + K_{A})q_{\perp}^{2} + \eta_{\rho}'(K' + K_{A}')\pi^{2}/t^{2}}$$

and $\Gamma_{\rho}^{0} = K^{2} q_{\perp}^{2} [\eta_{\rho}(K+K_{A})]^{-1}$ for the ρ modes with $q_{z} = \pi/t$ and $q_{2} = 0$, respectively, and

$$\Gamma_{\Delta} = [H + KK_A(K + K_A)^{-1}q_{\perp}^2]\eta_{\Delta}^{-1}$$

for the $\Delta(q_z=0)$ mode. The transport coefficients associated with the three modes are η_{ρ} , η'_{ρ} , and η_{Δ} ; in general, we expect $\eta_{\rho} \neq \eta'_{\rho}$.

In the S_C phase, on the basis of the scattered intensity analysis above, the linewidths should be those of the ρ mode. Moreover, from the forms of Γ_{ρ}^{0} and Γ_{ρ}^{1} , we expect very similar time scales for the corresponding correlation function decays except when $q_{\perp}^2 \lesssim \pi^2/t^2$. We show this to be the case in Fig. 3. The top panel shows the q_{\perp}^2 dependence of the ρ mode in the S_C phase $(T \simeq 105 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$ and the S_I phase $(T \simeq 73.2 \,^{\circ}\text{C})$. For the range of q_{\perp}^2 we could study, we could not reliably separate Γ_{ρ}^{0} and Γ_{ρ}^{1} in either phase; instead, we extracted a single decay Γ_{ρ} using a cumulant analysis of the correlator data. The evidence for multiple linewidths then comes from the larger variance in Γ_{ρ} obtained for $q_{\perp}^2 \lesssim \pi^2/t^2$, and from the fact that Γ_{ρ} does not go to zero as q_{\perp}^2 for $q_{\perp} \rightarrow 0$ in the S_C phase, as shown in the top panel of Fig. 3. We observe that this does not occur in the S_I phase; in fact, the intercept disappears at $T \sim T_{CI}$. Thus, for $T \lesssim T_{CI}$, $\Gamma_{\rho}^{0} = \Gamma_{\rho}^{1}$ and the ρ mode is hydrodynamic within the accuracy of our experiment. We conjecture that $\eta'_{\rho}/\eta_{\rho} \rightarrow 0$ near T_{CI} .

The bottom panel of Fig. 3 shows the temperature dependence of the slope and intercept obtained from fits



FIG. 4. Temperature and q_{\perp}^2 dependence of the Δ mode. Inset: q_{\perp}^2 dependence of Γ_{Δ} at $T = 72.466 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \ll T_{CI}$. The highest q_{\perp}^2 value and the next four lower values in the inset correspond to the circles, squares, inverted triangles, and crosses, respectively.

of $\Gamma_{\rho}(q_{\perp}^2)$ by a line for the linear region of Γ_{ρ} . The slope is given by

$$\lim_{q_{\perp}\to\infty}\Gamma_{\rho}^{0}q_{\perp}^{-2} = \lim_{q_{\perp}\to\infty}\Gamma_{\rho}^{1}q_{\perp}^{-2} = K^{2}[\eta_{\rho}(K+K_{A})]^{-1}$$

and the intercept by

$$\lim_{q_{\perp}\to 0}\Gamma_{\rho}^{1} = (K'\pi/t)^{2}[\eta_{\rho}'(K'+K_{A}')]^{-1}.$$

The slope shows a critical slowing down of the ρ -mode fluctuations, as predicted for increasing K_A (and possibly η_{ρ}) as $T \rightarrow T_{CI}^+$. The intercept shows a continuous decrease (to 0) as $T \rightarrow T_{CI}^+$, as expected, except for a jump at $T - T_{CI} \approx 5 \,^{\circ}$ C. We have found this anomaly in other thick film samples, but do not yet have adequate data to understand it.

We now discuss the Δ -mode dynamics. Since the intensity of the $\Delta(q_z = 0)$ mode is very weak for $T > T_{CI}$, we expect Γ_{Δ} to be difficult to measure in the S_C phase. In fact, we could not detect Δ -mode dynamics for $T > T_{CI}$. In the S_I phase, however, we expect observable intensity, and $\Gamma_{\Delta} \rightarrow (H + Kq_{\perp}^2)\eta_{\Delta}^{-1} \simeq H\eta_{\Delta}^{-1}$ for large ψ_0^2 . Indeed, the Δ mode appears as a fast component in the S_I -phase scattering and is shown in Fig. 4. For $T \ll T_{CI}$ we see that Γ_{Δ} is independent of q_{\perp}^2 within experimental error, as expected. We note that Γ_{Δ} increases about sevenfold with increasing T over the range studied. Finally, we have not observed in thick films evidence of a hexatic-to-hexatic structural transition reported in very thin films.⁴

To summarize, we have demonstrated that a simple free energy explains the main static and dynamic features of the light scattered from director fluctuations in a three-dimensional film at the $S_C \rightarrow S_I$ phase transition. When the film thickness is comparable to the wavelength of light, scattering from the lowest-order twist mode produces observable nonhydrodynamic effects in the S_C phase. In the S_I phase, nonhydrodynamic behavior arises from the beating of the in-plane director against the BO order directions. Finally, even for films of several microns thickness, K_A behaves qualitatively like the square of the correlation length in a BO layer. More complete measurements as well as further theoretical work are needed to understand fully the temperature dependence of the static and dynamic parameters associated with the development of BO order.

This research was supported by the National Science Foundation-Materials Research Laboratories program under Grant No. DMR-8418718. The 8OSI used in this work was generously provided by Jason Liang of Tektronix, Inc. We thank J. D. Brock for valuable discussions.

¹R. J. Birgeneau and J. D. Litster, J. Phys. (Paris), Lett. 39, 1399 (1978); B. I. Halperin and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 41, 121 (1978); D. R. Nelson and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 19, 2457 (1978); D. R. Nelson and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 21, 5312 (1980).

 2 R. Pindak, D. E. Moncton, S. C. Davey, and J. W. Goodby, Phys. Rev. Lett. **46**, 1135 (1981); S. C. Davey, J. Budai, J. W. Goodby, R. Pindak, and D. E. Moncton, Phys. Rev. Lett. **53**, 2129 (1984); J. Budai, R. Pindak, S. C. Davey, and J. W. Goodby, J. Phys. (Paris), Lett. **45**, L1053 (1984).

³J. D. Brock, A. Aharony, R. J. Birgeneau, K. W. Evans-Lutterodt, J. D. Litster, P. M. Horn, G. B. Stephenson, and A. R. Tajbakhsh, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 98 (1986). Optical observation of defect structures has also demonstrated BO order in a S_I phase. See S. B. Dierker, R. Pindak, and R. B. Meyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1819 (1986).

⁴S. B. Dierker and R. Pindak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 1002 (1987).

⁵See, for example, Charles Y. Young, Ronald Pindak, Noel A. Clark, and Robert B. Meyer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 773 (1978).

⁶R. Bruinsma and D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B 23, 402 (1981).

⁷A simple calculation shows that 94% of the scattered intensity comes from the $q_z = 0$ components of the ρ and Δ modes and the lowest-order $(q_z = \pi/t)$ twist component of the ρ mode.

⁸Minimizing Eq. (1) in ψ_0 , we can replace $h\Phi_0^8$ with $a_6\psi_0$ to lowest order.

⁹S. Ostlund and B. I. Halperin, Phys. Rev. B 23, 335 (1981).

 10 J. M. Kosterlitz and D. J. Thouless, J. Phys. C 6, 1181 (1973).

¹¹The simple approach used here to calculate the direct mode dynamics is illustrated in R. Schaetzing, Ph.D. thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1980 (unpublished). See also P. G. de Gennes, *The Physics of Liquid Crystals* (Clarendon, Oxford, 1974), Chap. 5, and references therein.