

Consequences of the Large Real-To-Imaginary Ratio at $\sqrt{s} = 546$ GeV

Elliot Leader^(a)

Physics Department, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973

(Received 15 July 1987; revised manuscript received 31 August 1987)

The remarkably large value of $\rho = \text{Re}f/\text{Im}f$ at $\sqrt{s} = 546$ GeV found recently in an experiment at the CERN $Sp\bar{p}S$ collider is shown to have dramatic consequences.

PACS numbers: 13.85.-t, 11.10.Jj, 12.40.Gg

The first measurement of the ratio ρ of the real part to the imaginary part of the forward $p\bar{p}$ amplitude at CERN $Sp\bar{p}S$ energies ($\sqrt{s} = 546$ GeV) was announced at the recent European Physical Society High-Energy Conference at Uppsala.¹ The value

$$\rho = 0.240 \pm 0.024$$

is twice as big as expected in all standard analyses of asymptotic behavior.

The change in ρ between CERN ISR (Intersecting Storage Ring) energies ($\sqrt{s} = 53$ GeV) and $Sp\bar{p}S$ energies is even more dramatic when translated into the behavior of the real part $r_{p\bar{p}}$ itself, as shown in the following table²:

\sqrt{s} (GeV)	$\sigma_{p\bar{p}}$ (mb)	$r_{p\bar{p}}$ (mb)
53	43.65 ± 0.41	4.41 ± 0.79
546	60 ± 2	14.40 ± 1.44

Thus while $\sigma_{p\bar{p}}$ changes by a factor of 1.36, $r_{p\bar{p}}$ grows by a factor of 3.27.

I analyze below, in a very simple fashion, the consequences of this rapid growth. In the following, all amplitudes are forward, spin-averaged amplitudes and are normalized so that their imaginary parts are given directly by the total cross sections, i.e., I take

$$f_{pp} = r_{pp} + i\sigma_{pp}, \quad (1)$$

$$f_{p\bar{p}} = r_{p\bar{p}} + i\sigma_{p\bar{p}}.$$

For amplitudes normalized in this way the Froissart bound limits asymptotic growth to

$$|f| \lesssim C \ln^2 s, \quad (2)$$

(both imaginary and real parts of f are permitted to grow like $\ln^2 s$) and the natural variable to discuss high-energy behavior turns out to be

$$\eta \equiv (2/\pi) \ln(s/s_0), \quad (3)$$

where s_0 is a scale factor taken equal to 1 (GeV)².

We are interested in the rate of growth of $r_{p\bar{p}}$ with η . A trivial modification of known results^{3,4} allows us to

write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\eta} r_{p\bar{p}} = & \sigma_- + \frac{d^2}{d\eta^2} (\sigma_+ - \frac{1}{3} \sigma_-) \\ & + \frac{1}{3} \frac{d^4}{d\eta^4} (\sigma_+ - \frac{1}{15} \sigma_-) \dots, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_+ \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{pp} + \sigma_{p\bar{p}}), \quad (5)$$

$$\sigma_- \equiv \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{pp} - \sigma_{p\bar{p}}).$$

Consider the various possibilities.

(i) In the standard picture, both σ_+ and σ_- are smooth, slowly varying, and monotonic at these high energies and $\sigma_- \approx 0$. Then we can neglect σ_- in (4), and also higher derivatives than $d^2/d\eta^2$, since the Froissart bound limits the growth of σ_+ to $\leq C\eta^2$. In this case one has $\sigma_+ = \sigma_{p\bar{p}}$ and with use of the measured cross section one fails by a factor of 2 to reproduce the growth of $r_{p\bar{p}}$. Thus the standard picture fails.

(ii) If we insist on having $\sigma_- \approx 0$, then we can generate a sufficiently large rate of change of $r_{p\bar{p}}$ in (4) by giving σ_+ a significant positive fourth derivative. Since σ_+ cannot grow like η^4 indefinitely, this must be a local effect, something like the opening up of a new channel—a phenomenon which, at these energies, would be extraordinary. In this option, the $p\bar{p}$ cross section at the Fermilab Tevatron ($\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV) should be considerably larger than expected from the usual extrapolation, perhaps $\sigma_{p\bar{p}} = 85-95$ mb.

(iii) If we are willing to contemplate $\sigma_- \neq 0$ and *positive* (recall that σ_- is negative up to ISR energies), then an adequate rate of change can be induced with slowly varying monotonic σ_+ and σ_- , and keeping only the second derivative term in (4). A rough calculation suggests that σ_{pp} and $\sigma_{p\bar{p}}$ cross soon after the ISR region and that $\sigma_{pp} - \sigma_{p\bar{p}} \approx 4-10$ mb at $\sqrt{s} = 546$ GeV. At the Tevatron $\sigma_{p\bar{p}}$ should be about 75 mb, roughly as previously expected. The idea that $\sigma_- \not\rightarrow 0$ asymptotically is not new,⁵ and has received some support from a comparison of pp and $p\bar{p}$ elastic scattering at ISR energies.⁶ That σ_- might be *positive* runs somewhat counter to intuition, though it is perfectly permissible, and such a pos-

sibility was indeed studied some time ago.^{4,7}

Whether it be (ii) or (iii) that nature chooses, we seem once again to have a result that suggests that the asymptotic behavior of amplitudes is far from dull. And once more we see the need to be able to compare pp and $p\bar{p}$ at very high energies.

The author thanks Dr. G. Matthiae and Dr. P. Kluit for discussions about the experiment. He is grateful to the High Energy Theory Group of Brookhaven National Laboratory for hospitality. This manuscript has been authored under U. S. Department of Energy Contract No. DE-AC02-76CH00016.

^(a)Permanent address: Birkbeck College, Malet Street, London WC1E 7HX, England.

¹P. Kluit, in Proceedings of the European Physical Society High Energy Physics Conference, Uppsala, Sweden, June 1987 (to be published).

²The value for $\sigma_{p\bar{p}}$ at $\sqrt{s}=546$ differs from the published value, since what is actually measured is $\sigma_{p\bar{p}}(1+\rho_{p\bar{p}}^2)$.

³J. B. Bronzan, G. L. Kane, and U. P. Sukhatme, Phys. Lett. **49B**, 272 (1974).

⁴K. Kang and B. Nicolescu, Phys. Rev. D **11**, 2461 (1975).

⁵L. Lukaszuk and B. Nicolescu, Nuovo Cimento Lett. **8**, 405 (1973).

⁶P. Gauron, E. Leader, and B. Nicolescu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2656 (1985), and **55**, 639 (1985), and University of Paris Report No. IPNO/TH 86-55, 1986 (to be published); In this study the properties of the asymptotically growing crossing-odd amplitude (the odderon amplitude) were largely determined by the growth of the $p\bar{p}$ "shoulder" at $t \approx -1.4 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ and it turned out that σ_- was negative. However, the forward data used played little role in the sign determination. It seems likely that the new result can be accommodated and a detailed analysis is under way.

⁷The "fit-9 with type-2 odderon" of M. M. Block and R. N. Cahn [Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 563 (1985)—see Fig. 17] is precisely of this type.