

## Ground-State Properties of the Periodic Anderson Model

R. Blankenbecler

*Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305*

J. R. Fulco and W. Gill

*Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106*

and

D. J. Scalapino

*Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106*

(Received 6 October 1986)

The ground-state energy, hybridization matrix element, local moment, and spin-density correlations of a one-dimensional, finite-chain, periodic, symmetric Anderson model are obtained by numerical simulations and compared with perturbation theory and strong-coupling results. We find that the local  $f$ -electron spins are compensated by correlation with other  $f$ -electrons as well as band electrons leading to a nonmagnetic ground state.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Lp, 71.45.Gm

We have studied the ground-state properties of a one-dimensional, symmetric, periodic Anderson model using stochastic Monte Carlo techniques. Traditionally, the numerical study of the ground-state properties of finite quantum-spin chains<sup>1</sup> has provided physical insight into the properties of many-body systems. In addition, such results provided a testing ground for approximate techniques such as the Gutzwiller variational approach<sup>2-5</sup> and large-orbital-degeneracy  $N^{-1}$  expansions.<sup>6,7</sup> Usually, these solutions have been obtained by the exact diagonalization of the Hamiltonian with Lanczos-type procedures. In this spirit, Jullian and Martin<sup>8</sup> have used a Lanczos diagonalization to study periodic-Anderson-model chains. However, the two-orbital periodic Anderson model has sixteen states per site so that the complexity of the problem restricted their work to the exact diagonalization of two- and four-site chains. Here, using Monte Carlo techniques,<sup>9,10</sup> we present results for the ground-state properties of chains which are sufficiently large (sixteen sites) that the bulk limit is sensibly approximated. We analyze these results to determine the effects of the Coulomb interaction on the ground-state energy, hybridization matrix element,  $f$ -site local moment, and magnetic correlations. We find that the ground state exhibits short-range magnetic correlations and that the local  $f$ -electron spin moments are compensated by correlations with other  $f$ -electrons as well as band electrons leading to a nonmagnetic ground state.

The Hamiltonian for the one-dimensional periodic Anderson model can be written as

$$H = \sum_{l\sigma} [-t(d_{l+1\sigma}^\dagger d_{l\sigma} + d_{l\sigma}^\dagger d_{l+1\sigma}) - V(d_{l\sigma}^\dagger f_{l\sigma} + f_{l\sigma}^\dagger d_{l\sigma}) + \epsilon_f n_{l\sigma}^\dagger + \frac{1}{2} U n_{l\sigma}^\dagger n_{l-\sigma}^\dagger]. \quad (1)$$

Here  $d_{l\sigma}^\dagger$  and  $f_{l\sigma}^\dagger$  create Wannier electrons in  $d$ - and  $f$ -like orbitals on site  $l$  with spin  $\sigma$ , and  $n_{l\sigma}^\dagger = f_{l\sigma}^\dagger f_{l\sigma}$ . The  $d$  orbitals overlap via the hopping term  $t$  to form a band. The local  $f$  orbitals with site energy  $\epsilon_f$  are hybridized through  $V$  with the  $d$  orbitals. Two electrons in the same  $f$  orbital experience a Coulomb repulsion  $U$ . In the following we treat the particle-hole symmetric case in which  $\epsilon_f = -U/2$ .

We have used both a modified projector method,<sup>9</sup> in which the operator  $e^{-\beta H}$  is applied to project out the ground state, and a finite-temperature Monte Carlo technique with an exact updating procedure.<sup>10</sup> The projector technique allowed us to achieve large  $\beta$  values ( $\beta \sim 10^2$ ) to check that the ground-state properties were being obtained. The finite-temperature technique allowed us to see the approach to low temperature, and further results obtained from it will be reported elsewhere.<sup>11,12</sup> Here we discuss the ground-state properties.

In the absence of the Coulomb interaction  $U$ , Eq. (1) describes a simple two-band system with band energies

$$E_k^\pm = \epsilon_k/2 \pm [(\epsilon_k/2)^2 + V^2]^{1/2}. \quad (2)$$

Here  $\epsilon_k = -\cos(k)$  for  $t=0.5$ . These bands are separated by a gap of  $2\Delta$  with  $2\Delta = (1+4V^2)^{1/2} - 1$ . In the noninteracting ground state the lower band  $E_k^-$  is entirely filled with spin-up and spin-down electrons giving a ground-state energy per site of

$$E_0(0) = (2/N) \sum_k E_k^-, \quad (3)$$

and the system is in a singlet state with  $\langle M_z^2 \rangle = 0$ , where  $M_z$  is the total  $z$  component of spin. As the Coulomb interaction  $U$  is turned on, we expect the system to remain in a singlet state unless a phase transition were to occur at some critical value of  $U$ . Our numerical results give

no evidence for such a transition. Carrying out perturbation theory<sup>13</sup> to order  $U^2$  one finds that the ground-state energy per site is

$$E_0(U) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_k E_k^- - \frac{U}{4} - \frac{U^2}{N^3} \sum_{pkq} \frac{v_p^2 u_p^2 + v_q^2 u_q^2}{E_{k+q}^+ + E_p^+ - E_k^- - E_{p+q}^-}, \quad (4)$$

with

$$u_p^2 = \frac{1}{2} [1 + \epsilon_p / (\epsilon_p^2 + 4V^2)^{1/2}], \quad v_p^2 = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \epsilon_p / (\epsilon_p^2 + 4V^2)^{1/2}]. \quad (5)$$

Here the expansion parameter is  $U/\Delta$ . In the strong-coupling limit where  $U/\Delta$  is large, one has through  $O(U^{-1})$ ,

$$E_0(U) = -\frac{U}{2} + \frac{2}{N} \sum_k \epsilon_k f(\epsilon_k) - \frac{2V^2}{N} \sum_k \frac{1-f(\epsilon_k)}{U/2 + \epsilon_k}, \quad (6)$$

with  $f(\epsilon_k)$ , the zero-temperature Fermi factor, equal to 1 for  $\epsilon_k < 0$ , 0.5 for  $\epsilon_k = 0$ , and 0 for  $\epsilon_k > 0$ .

Simulations were carried out for a variety of parameters, with fixed  $t=0.5$ . The ground-state energy per site is a smooth function of the number of sites  $N$  and for  $N=16$  the systematic change with size is inside our statistical error. The values of  $E_0(U)/|E_0(0)|$ , for  $V=0.375$ , corresponding to  $\Delta=0.25$ , are plotted versus  $U$  in Fig. 1. The dashed line is the second-order perturbation-theory result, Eq. (4), and the solid line is the strong-coupling expression, Eq. (6). The rms errors are of the order of the size of the points.

In the presence of  $U$ , the effective hybridization is reduced as a result of the Coulomb correlations. A useful measure of this reduction is given by the ratio of  $\langle f_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\sigma} + d_{i\alpha}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} \rangle$  in the interacting ground state to its value

when  $U=0$ ,

$$\langle f_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\sigma} + d_{i\alpha}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} \rangle / \langle f_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\sigma} + d_{i\alpha}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} \rangle_0. \quad (7)$$

This matrix element can be directly obtained from  $E_0$  by use of the Feynman-Hellman relation

$$\langle f_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\sigma} + d_{i\alpha}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \partial E_0 / \partial V. \quad (8)$$

The dashed line in Fig. 2 was obtained by differentiation of the perturbation-theory expression for  $E_0$ , Eq. (4), with respect to  $V$ , while the solid line corresponds to the strong-coupling result

$$\langle f_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\sigma} + d_{i\alpha}^\dagger f_{i\sigma} \rangle = -\frac{2V}{N} \sum_k \frac{1-f(\epsilon_k)}{U/2 + \epsilon_k} \quad (9)$$

obtained from Eq. (6). The points were calculated from the simulation. One clearly sees the decrease in the effective hybridization as  $U$  increases. Since the gap varies as the square of the hybridization matrix element, it has decreased by a factor of 10 for  $U$  of order 3. For the symmetric Anderson model  $\langle n_f^\sigma \rangle$  remains fixed at 0.5, and thus in the particle-hole-symmetric case the renormalization of the hybridization does not arise<sup>2,3</sup> from a change in  $\langle n_f^\sigma \rangle$ .

In addition to altering the hybridization, the suppres-




FIG. 1. The ground-state energy  $E_0(U)/|E_0(0)|$  vs  $U$ . Here  $t=0.5$ ,  $V=0.375$ , and  $\Delta=0.25$ . The dashed curve corresponds to the second-order perturbation-theory result, Eq. (4), and the solid line is the strong-coupling approximation, Eq. (6).




FIG. 2. The hybridization matrix element  $\langle f_{i\sigma}^\dagger d_{i\sigma} + \text{H.c.} \rangle$  normalized to its  $U=0$  value vs  $U$  for the same parameters as in Fig. 1. The dashed curve is the second-order perturbation-theory result, and the solid line is the strong-coupling limit.

sion of charge fluctuations by the Coulomb interaction leads to the formation of local moments on the  $f$  orbitals. A measure of this is the average of the square of the  $f$ -orbital single-site magnetization  $m_z^f(l) = n_{l1}^f - n_{l\bar{1}}^f$ ,

$$\langle m_z^f(l)^2 \rangle = 1 - 2\langle n_{l1}^f n_{l\bar{1}}^f \rangle. \quad (10)$$

For  $U=0$ ,  $\langle n_{l1}^f n_{l\bar{1}}^f \rangle = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $\langle m_z^f(l)^2 \rangle$  is equal to 0.5. For large  $U$ , double occupancy is reduced by the Coulomb repulsion and  $\langle m_z^f(l)^2 \rangle$  approaches 1. Again the derivative of the ground state with respect to  $U$  provides a convenient way of evaluating this. With  $\epsilon_f = -U/2$ ,

$$\langle m_z^f(l)^2 \rangle = -2 \partial E_0 / \partial U. \quad (11)$$

For weak coupling, Eq. (4) gives

$$\langle m_z^f(l)^2 \rangle = 0.5 + \frac{4U}{N^3} \sum_{pkq} \frac{v_p^2 u_{p+q}^2 v_k^2 + q u_k^2}{E_{k+q}^+ + E_p^+ - E_k^- - E_{p+q}^-}, \quad (12)$$

and for strong coupling we have from Eq. (6)

$$\langle m_z^f(l)^2 \rangle = 1 - \frac{2V^2}{N} \sum_k \frac{1 - f(\epsilon_k)}{(U/2 + \epsilon_k)^2}. \quad (13)$$

These are plotted as the dashed and solid curves, respectively, in Fig. 3, which shows  $\langle m_z^f(l)^2 \rangle$  vs  $U$ . The points were obtained from the simulation.

These results clearly show that  $U$  reduces the hybridization and produces local moments on the  $f$  orbitals. In addition, it leads to interactions between these moments and the  $d$  electrons. In order to explore this feature we have calculated various magnetic and charge-density correlation functions. The charge-density correlations show the suppression produced by  $U$ . The magnetic correlation functions  $\langle m_z^f(l) m_z^f(0) \rangle$  and  $\langle m_z^d(l) m_z^d(0) \rangle$  with  $m_z^d(l) = n_{l1}^d - n_{l\bar{1}}^d$  and  $m_z^f(l) = n_{l1}^f - n_{l\bar{1}}^f$ , respectively,




FIG. 3. The square of the  $f$ -orbital single-site magnetization  $\langle (m_z^f)^2 \rangle$  vs  $U$  for the same parameters as in Fig. 1.

were found to exhibit short-range correlations which act to screen the  $f$ -orbital moment. This is clearly seen in Fig. 4, where they are plotted for the first few spacings for  $U/\Delta = 2.0$ .

To see how the magnetic correlations act to screen the  $f$ -site moments leading to a singlet ground state, we consider the total  $z$  component of magnetization

$$M_z = m_z^f(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} m_z^f(l) + \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} m_z^d(l). \quad (14)$$

If the ground-state expectation value of  $M_z$  vanishes, then squaring Eq. (14) and taking its ground-state expectation value leads to a compensation sum rule

$$\langle m_z^f(0)^2 \rangle = - \sum_{l=1}^{N-1} \langle m_z^f(l) m_z^f(0) \rangle - \sum_{l=0}^{N-1} \langle m_z^d(l) m_z^d(0) \rangle. \quad (15)$$

Using the correlation functions shown in Fig. 4 to evaluate the left-hand side of Eq. (15) gives  $0.65 \pm 0.02$ , while  $\langle (m_z^f(0))^2 \rangle = 0.64 \pm 0.02$ . Thus, just as in the single-magnetic-impurity case, the  $f$  moment is compensated by correlations in the surrounding medium.<sup>14</sup> However, as discussed by Nozières,<sup>15</sup> in the periodic Anderson model this compensation does not arise from just the  $d$ -band electrons. Rather, as one sees in Fig. 4, an important part arises from the  $f-f$  magnetic correlations.

In conclusion, simulations of the symmetric, 1D, periodic Anderson model show that the Coulomb interaction leads to a reduction in the  $f-d$  hybridization, local moment formation on the  $f$ -orbitals, and short-range magnetic moment correlations, resulting in a singlet ground state. The ground-state energy as well as the hybridization matrix element  $\langle f_{l\sigma}^\dagger d_{l\sigma} + d_{l\sigma}^\dagger f_{l\sigma} \rangle$  and the mean square local  $f$  moment  $\langle m_z^f(l)^2 \rangle$  smoothly cross




FIG. 4. The  $\langle m_z^f(l) m_z^f(0) \rangle$  and  $\langle m_z^d(l) m_z^d(0) \rangle$  magnetic correlation functions vs site separation  $l$  for  $U/\Delta = 2.0$ .

over from the weak-coupling to the strong-coupling limit. Similar results were found for a variety of parameter values leading us to the conclusion that this type of behavior is a general property of the 1D, symmetric, periodic Anderson model.

We wish to thank Richard Martin, Robert Sugar, Denny Dahl, and David Kung for many helpful comments and discussions. One of us (D.J.S.) thanks B. H. Brandow, P. A. Lee, and T. M. Rice for interesting discussions. Another (R.B.) wishes to acknowledge support by the Department of Energy, Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00515. Another (J.R.F.) wishes to acknowledge support by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY 83-13324. Others (W.G. and D.J.S.) wish to acknowledge support by the Department of Energy Grant No. DE-FG03-85ER45197. One of us (D.J.S.) also wishes to acknowledge support from the Institute for Theoretical Physics under National Science Foundation Grant No. PHY82-17853, supplemented by funds from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

---

<sup>1</sup>J. C. Bonner, *Physics in One Dimension*, edited by J. Ber-

nasconi and T. Schneider, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences Vol. 23 (Springer, Heidelberg, 1981).

<sup>2</sup>B. H. Brandow, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 215 (1986).

<sup>3</sup>T. M. Rice and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 995 (1985).

<sup>4</sup>C. M. Varma, W. Weber, and L. J. Randall, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 1015 (1986).

<sup>5</sup>H. Shiba, to be published.

<sup>6</sup>N. Read, D. M. Newns, and S. Doniach, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 3841 (1984).

<sup>7</sup>A. J. Millis and P. A. Lee, to be published.

<sup>8</sup>R. Jullian and R. M. Martin, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 6173 (1982).

<sup>9</sup>D. Kung, D. Dahl, R. Blankenbecler, R. Deza, and J. R. Fulco, Phys. Rev. B **32**, 2022 (1985).

<sup>10</sup>R. Blankenbecler, D. J. Scalapino, and R. L. Sugar, Phys. Rev. D **24**, 2278 (1981).

<sup>11</sup>W. Gill, R. Blankenbecler, J. Fulco, and D. J. Scalapino, to be published.

<sup>12</sup>Tetsuro Saso and Yutaka Seino have recently reported finite-temperature Monte Carlo simulations of an eight-site periodic Anderson model (to be published).

<sup>13</sup>Higher-order perturbation theory has been carried out by K. Yamada and K. Yosida, in *Proceedings of the Third Taniguchi Symposium, Mount Fuji, Japan, 1980*, edited by T. Moriya (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1981), p. 210.

<sup>14</sup>J. Gubernatis, J. E. Hirsch, and D. J. Scalapino, to be published.

<sup>15</sup>P. Nozières, Ann. Phys. (Paris) **10**, 19 (1985).