Direct Observation of Electronic Anisotropy in Single-Crystal Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O_{7-x}

T. R. Dinger, T. K. Worthington, W. J. Gallagher, and R. L. Sandstrom IBM Thomas J. Watson Research Center, Yorktown Heights, New York 10598 (Received 14 May 1987)

We report direct observation of the anisotropic electronic behavior of the high-temperature superconductor $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$. Critical-field and critical-current measurements performed on single crystals show anisotropies of 10 and greater. Critical supercurrent densities in favorable directions in single crystals are 3×10^6 A/cm² in low fields at 4.5 K and remain above 10^6 A/cm² to beyond 40 kG.

PACS numbers: 74.70.Ya, 74.60.Ec

Feverish activity on high- T_c superconductivity in Cu-0-based perovskite-type materials has followed the breakthrough discovery of superconductivity at \sim 35 K in $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ by Bednorz and Müller.¹ A highlight of this activity was the attainment of superconductivity at \sim 95 K, first achieved by Wu *et al.*² in mixed-phase compositions of Y-Ba-Cu-O, and the later isolation of $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ as the superconducting phase.³⁻⁵ The commonality of Cu-O layers to both the K_2N iF₄ structure of $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ superconductors and the layered perovskite Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O_{7-x} compounds suggests strongly that these two-dimensional layers are tied to the attainment of high transition temperatures. Indeed, Hidaka et $al⁶$ recently reported upper-critical-field anisotropies of 5 in single crystals $La_{2-x}Ba_xCuO_4$ and thus confirmed the anisotropic nature of the superconductivity in the \approx 35-40-K based superconductors. In this Letter we report the observation of even larger anisotropies in lower critical field and in the critical current density of single \approx 35-40-K based superconductors. In this Letter we report the observation of even larger anisotropies in lower
critical field and in the critical current density of single
crystals of Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O_{7-x}. Furthermore, supercurrent densities $(\approx 3 \times 10^6 \text{ A/cm}^2)$ in favorable directions at 4.5 K, and that the large supercurrentcarrying capability can persist in modest fields.

Single crystals of $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ were grown by a technique similar to that used by Iwazumi et $al.$ ⁷ for $La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄$. A sintered powder containing three phases, $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$, CuO, and BaCuO₂, and having a nominal composition in the molar ratio (0.125 Y_2O_3 :(0.61 BaO):(1.00 CuO), was formed into a 1.27cm-diameter pellet and fired in a slightly reducing atmosphere at 975° C for 12 h. During the 975° C soak, an oxidizing atmosphere was introduced to promote growth of the Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O_{7-x} crystallites already present in the particle compact. This technique routinely produced highly faceted crystals with dimensions of approximately 200 μ m (Fig. 1) with occasional crystals approaching 0.5 mm in size. 8 Precession-camera x-ray results (Fig. 1) using Mo radiation $(\lambda = 0.71069 \text{ Å})$ showed the crystals to be of high quality with unit-cell dimensions of $a = 3.88$ Å and $b = 3.84$ Å given by the $a^* \times b^*$ net in Fig. 1. Rotation of the crystal by 90° gave the $a^* \times c^*$ net of Fig. 1 showing the three-iayer modulation of the structure in [001] with $c = 11.63$ Å. The degree of orthorhombism of the single crystals based on the precession-camera photograph was $a/b = 1.01$ which is close to the 1.016 measured by Beyers et al .⁹ Twinning of the crystals was not observed either optically or in the single-crystal diffraction experiment although transmission electron microscopy of similar crystals showed large amounts of twinning after the mechanical grinding used in the specimen preparation.¹⁰ This result suggests that the crystals are unstable to mechanical stress and deform through the twinning process in order to minimize their strain energy. Such behavior has been previously noted and well documented in other ceramics such as $BaTiO₃$ and $ZrO₂$. 11,12

We characterized our crystals by performing extensive magnetic measurements using a SHE 905 magnetometer equipped with a 40-kG superconducting solenoid. As grown, our crystals typically displayed superconducting diamagnetic transitions in the 40-50-K region. Annealing in flowing oxygen for extended periods at 450 to 500 °C raised the transition temperatures to \simeq 85 K. Extensive measurements were performed on two 85-K crystals. One had dimensions \approx 400 × 370 × 120 μ m³; the other had dimensions $\approx 300 \times 300 \times 180 \mu m^3$. The larger crystal was the same one used in point-contact tunneling studies that indicated essentially no difrerence in the superconducting energy gap as determined from tunneling into different orientations of the crystal from a probe tip dug \approx 1000 Å into the crystal surface.¹³

We first investigated the low-field magnetization of our crystals with the field applied both parallel to and perpendicular to the Cu-0 planes. The zero-field-cooled diamagnetism in several crystals in low fields (20 G) was essentially 100% after correction for demagnetizing factors. The Meissner flux expulsion expressed as a fraction of the diamagnetic shielding was small, varying from \approx 4% in the parallel orientation to \approx 17% in the perpendicular orientation. The 100% diamagnetic shielding is as expected; the low Meissner effect is somewhat surprising, although not without precedent. For example, small Meissner fractions in single crystals were observed some ime ago in single crystals of $TaS_2(pyridine)_{1/2}$, ¹⁴ and more recently they were observed in single crystals of $La_{1.85}Sr_{0.15}CuO₄.⁹$ Incomplete Meissner effects are generally associated with inhomogeneities in superconduct-

(a)

FIG. 1. Secondary-electron image and [001] and [010] zone-axis precession-camera photographs of a $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ single crystal after extraction from the crystal mass. The [010] pattern shows the three-layer stacking of the perovskite blocks along [001j.

ing materials. In doped materials, such as $La_{2-x}Sr_{x}$ - $CuO₄$, concentration variations are a likely source of inhomogeneities. In $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ the inhomogeneities might be variations in the oxygen-defect ordering. Our crystals, with T_c slightly below the 92-95-K maximum achieved for $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$, likely possess some imperfections in the defect ordering. We are not yet sure of the significance of the difference in Meissner fractions between the parallel and perpendicular orientations.

Studies of magnetization hysteresis as an applied field is swept allow the determination of the lower critical field and provide a noncontact way of determining critical density from the magnetic moment resulting from induced screening currents. Antonova, Medvedev, and Shebalin¹⁵ used such measurements to study the critical field and critical current anisotropy in $NbSe₂$ and we found the technique to work well for $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$.

Figure 2 shows magnetic hysteresis loops at 4.5 K for the larger crystal mounted with the Cu-0 planes perpendicular to the field lines [Fig. $2(a)$] and parallel to the field lines $[Fig. 2(b)]$. The differences in the scale and in the shape of the magnetization for the two orientations i are very striking. The lower critical fields, H_{c1}^{\perp} and H_{c1}^{\parallel} , for crystals oriented such that the applied field is perpendicular and parallel to the Cu-0 planes, respectively, can be determined from the point in the initial part of each loop at which the departure from linearity begins. The departures occur at fields of 4 kG for the perpendicular orientation and at 600 G for the parallel orientation. Corrected for the demagnetizing factors we get $H_{c1}^{\perp} = 8$ kG and H_{c1}^{\parallel} = 800 G, giving a ratio of 10. This large lower- critical-field anisotropy was cross checked by measurements on a second crystal. In this case we repeatedly cycled the low-field magnetization curve to find the lowest field at which the magnetization was not reversible. This procedure yielded values of $H_{c1}^{\perp} = 5.2$ kG and $H_{c1}^{\parallel} \lesssim 530$ G for this crystal, again giving a ratio of 10. The difference in the magnitudes of the hysteresis in

the two loops in Fig. 2 is even larger. In the perpendicular orientation the gradual departure of the magnetization curve from perfect diamagnetism indicates that there is strong pinning. In contrast, the sharp break at H_{c1}^{\parallel} in the parallel orientation is indicative of weak pinning. According to the critical-state model of Bean 16 applied to a disk-shaped sample in an applied field H_a much in excess of the magnetization, the magnitude of the critical current density is related to the magnetization by the simple relation¹⁷ $J_c = 30M/r$, where M is the magnetization in electromagnetic units per cubic centimeter, r is the disk radius in centimeters, and J_c is the critical current density in amperes per square centimeter. We use this relationship and approximate r as the geometric mean of half of the sample dimensions perpendicular to the field. From the peaks in the 4.5-K magnetization curves, we estimate the maximum critical current densities in the two directions as $J_c^{\perp} = 2.9 \times 10^6$ A/cm²

FIG. 2. Magnetization hysteresis loops at 4.5 K for a single crystal of Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O_{7-x} with the Cu-O planes oriented (a) perpendicular to the applied magnetic field and (b) parallel to the applied field.

and $J_c^{\parallel} = 4.2 \times 10^5$ A/cm². (Note that our nomenclature is such that J_c^{\parallel} is the critical current inferred from fields applied parallel to the Cu-0 planes such that the induced screening currents actually How perpendicular to the planes and J_c^{\perp} is the critical current determined from currents induced along the direction of the planes by fields applied perpendicular to the planes.) In Fig. 3 we show the field and temperature dependence of the critical current density as determined from the hysteresis curves in Fig. 2 and similar curves taken at higher temperatures. From this plot one can see that the falloff of critical current density with applied field is not too severe.
At 40 kG, $J_c^{\perp} = 1.7 \times 10^6$ A/cm² and $J_c^{\parallel} = 9.2 \times 10^4$ A/ $cm²$. The falloff with temperature is much more rapid. By 40 K in zero field, the perpendicular critical current density $J_c^{\perp} = 1.3 \times 10^5$ A/cm² and by 60 K this has fallen to $J_c^{\perp} = 4.2 \times 10^4$ A/cm². The critical-current anisotropy increases considerably at higher temperatures and fields.

Measurements taken on a second crystal at 4.5 K confirmed the same general anisotropic critical-current behavior, giving approximately three times greater anisotropy at zero field $(J_c^{\perp} = 3.2 \times 10^6 \text{ A/cm}^2$ and J_c^{\parallel} $=1.6\times10^{5}$ A/cm²) and still larger critical-current anisotropies in larger fields. For instance, at 20 kG, J_c^{\perp} = 2.2 × 10⁶ A/cm² and J_c^{\parallel} = 3.2 × 10⁴ A/cm², for a ratio of 70. We observed in the course of these measurements that the hysteresis curves were extremely sensitive to slight misalignments from the parallel orientation. An estimated 5° misalignment of the crystal reduced the in-

FIG. 3. Critical current densities deduced from magnetization hysteresis at various temperatures as a function of magnetic field applied either parallel or perpendicular to the Cu-0 planes.

ferred critical-current anisotropy at 20 kG by more than an order of magnitude. It is possible that the smaller anisotropy observed in the first crystal was due to some slight misalignment, but we cannot rule out the possibility that it was a less perfect crystal.

Above H_{c1} we observed a slow time-dependent change in the magnetization similar to that observed in $\text{La}_{2-x} \text{Ba}_x \text{CuO}_4$ by Müller, Takashige, and Bednorz.¹⁸ The magnetization was seen to change by as much as 20% and the time dependence was consistent with logarithmic behavior for times longer than 1000 min. For practical reasons, the magnetization points reported in this paper were typically taken a few minutes after establishing the field.

These critical-current and lower- critical-field measurements prove that the $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ superconductor is strongly anisotropic with the good conducting directions being along the Cu-0 planes. Refined structural analyses¹⁹ show that there are one-dimensional $Cu-O$ chains in the Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O₇_{-x} structure as well as twodimensional Cu-O planes. Band-structure calculations²⁰ indicate that both one-dimensional and two-dimensional features may be making contributions to the hightransition-temperature superconductivity. However, it seems unlikely to us that the large supercurrents that we have observed at low temperatures could be carried by the one-dimensional chains.

From studies of intercalation superconductors, much is known both experimentally and theoretically about the behavior of anisotropic layered superconductors. Theoretically, such superconductors can be described either by a Ginzburg-Landau theory with an anisotropic κ^{21} or as an array of Josephson-coupled layers²² (which can be reduced in some limits to an anisotropic Ginzburg-Landau description). Consistent with our findings for $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$, this class of superconductors is known to possess anisotropic critical fields with H_{c1}^{\perp} much larger than H_{c1}^{\parallel} and differences in magnetization hysteresis loops and inferred critical-current densities. Though we have not studied the upper critical fields in our $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ crystals, they can be expected to behave like those in other anisotropic layered superconductors, in which case H_{c2}^{\parallel} is much larger than H_{c2}^{\perp} . Such behavior in Y₁Ba₂Cu₃O₇-_x would explain some disparate aspects of the early data on this material. In particular, measurements of the resistive transitions in high magnetic fields have indicated drastic broadening of the transition as field is applied.^{2,23} In a ceramic composite of $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$, some grains oriented with their layers perpendicular to the field would possess modest critical fields. Other grains with their layers oriented parallel to the field would have enormous critical fields. The resulting resistive transition, as field is applied, would broaden in a manner consistent with the early observations. Pinning between weakly Josephson-coupled layers would be very weak resulting in small depinning critical currents perpendicular to the layers. The critical currents so far observed for ceramic materials have been in all cases small. To our knowledge the $\approx 3 \times 10^{6}$ -A/cm² values for critical current density in the direction of the Cu-0 planes in our crystals at 4.5 K are the largest values yet seen in the $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ materials. In general, pinning in perfect crystals is not expected to be strong, and so it is reasonable to expect that still higher critical current densities can be achieved. Indeed, recent results with epitaxial films, 24 with the epitaxy such that the large supercurrents flow along the direction of the Cu-0 planes, have demonstrated larger high-temperature values of critical current density than ours, although the drop off with increasing field is more rapid.

In summary, our measurements on single crystals of $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ demonstrate conclusively that its superconductivity is strongly anisotropic. At low temperatures in fields out to 40 kG, critical currents along the direction of the Cu-0 planes were observed to be in excess of 10^6 A/cm². The large supercurrent-carrying capability and the anisotropy will be important aspects of most application considerations.

This work was supported in part by the U.S. Office of Naval Research Contract No. N00014-85-C-0361. We thank S. J. LaPlaca for single-crystal x-ray results, H. R. Lilienthal, T. R. McGuire, S. S. P. Parkin, and K. P. Roche for experimental assistance in the magneticsusceptibility measurements. We acknowledge informative discussions with R. Schwall, A. Davidson, R. L. Greene, F. Hotzberg, T. N. Jackson, A. W. Kleinsasser, A. P. Malozemoff, M. W. Shafer, T. M. Shaw, and A. R. Williams.

 $2M$. K. Wu, J. R. Ashburn, C. J. Torng, P. H. Hor, R. L. Meng, L. Gao, Z. J. Huang, Y. Q. Wang, and C. W. Chu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 908 (1987).

3R. J. Cava, B. Batlogg, R. B. van Dover, D. W. Murphy, S. Sunshine, T. Siegrist, J. P. Remeika, E. A. Rietman, S. Zahurak, and G. P. Espinosa, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1676 (1987).

4P. M. Grant, R. B. Beyers, E. M. Engler, G. Lim, S. S. P. Parkin, M. L. Ramirez, V. Y. Lee, A. Nazzal, J. E. Vazquez, and R. J. Savoy, Phys. Rev. B 35, 7242 (1987),

5W. J. Gallagher, R. L. Sandstrom, T. R. Dinger, T. M. Shaw, and D. A. Chance, Solid State Commun. 63, 147 (1987).

6Y. Hidaka, Y. Enomoto, M. Suzuki, M. Oda, and T. Murakami, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 26, L377 (1987).

7T. Iwazumi, R. Yoshizaki, H. Sadawa, H. Uwe, T. Sakudo, and E. Matsuura, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. 26, L386 (1987).

Alternative growth techniques are now giving significantly bigger crystals; cf. D. Kaiser, F. Holtzberg, and B. A. Scott $(un published).$

9R. B. Beyers, G. Lim, E. M. Engler, R. J. Savoy, T. M. Shaw, T. R. Dinger, W. J. Gallagher, and R. L. Sandstrom, Appl. Phys. Lett. (to be published).

 $\rm ^{0}T.$ M. Shaw, unpublished

¹¹T. Malis and H. Gleitner, J. Appl. Phys. 47, 5195 (1976).

 $2A.$ G. Evans and A. H. Heuer, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 63, 241-248 (1980).

³J. R. Kirtley, R. T. Collins, Z. Schlesinger, W. J. Gallagher, R. L. Sandstrom, T. R. Dinger, and D. A. Chance, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).

¹⁴D. E. Prober, M. R. Beasley, and R. E. Schwall, Phys. Rev. B 15, 5245 (1977).

 ${}^{5}E$. A. Antonova, S. A. Medvedev, and I. Yu. Shebalin, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 57, 329 (1969) [Sov. Phys. JETP 30, 181 (1970)].

¹⁶C. P. Bean, Phys. Rev. Lett. **8**, 250 (1962).

⁷This relation is approximate. It neglects the correction to the internal field in the superconductor caused by the current in the superconductor. This difference is small at high fields; at low fields we use average of the magnitudes of the magnetization at a given applied field. Corrections beyond this are inconsequential to our results.

¹⁸K. A. Müller, M. Takashige, and J. G. Bednorz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 1143 (1987).

¹⁹Structural refinements showing the vacancy ordering have been reported by a number of groups. See for example, F. Beech, S. Miraglia, A. Santoro, and R. S. Roth, Phys. Rev. B (to be published).

²⁰L. F. Mattheiss and D. R. Hamann, Solid State Commun. (to be published).

 ^{21}R . C. Morris, R. V. Coleman, and R. Bhandari, Phys. Rev. B 8, 895 (1972).

 $22W$. E. Lawrence and S. Doniach, in *Proceedings of the* Twelfth International Conference on Low Temperature Phys ics, edited by E. Kanda (Academic, Kyoto, 1971), p. 361.

²³T. P. Orlando, K. A. Delin, S. Foner, E. J. McNiff, Jr., J. M. Tarascon, L. H. Greene, W. R. McKinnon, and G. W. Hull, Phys. Rev. B 35, 7249 (1987).

²⁴P. Chaudhari, R. H. Koch, R. B. Laibowitz, T. McGuire, and R. Gambino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58, 2684 (1987) (this issue).

¹J. G. Bednorz and K. A. Müller, Z. Phys. B 64, 189 (1986).

 (a)

FIG. 1. Secondary-electron image and [001] and [010] zone-axis precession-camera photographs of a $Y_1Ba_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ single crystal after extraction from the crystal mass. The [010] pattern shows the three-layer stacking of the perovskite blocks along [001].