Superdeformed Band in ¹³⁵Nd

E. M. Beck, F. S. Stephens, J. C. Bacelar, M. A. Deleplanque, R. M. Diamond, J. E. Draper,^(a)

C. Duyar, ^(a), and R. J. McDonald

Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720

(Received 12 January 1987)

A superdeformed band ($\beta \approx 0.4-0.5$) was found in ¹³⁵Nd, the first one in an odd-mass nucleus. It consists of thirteen transitions with an average moment of inertia $\mathcal{J}^{(2)} \approx 59\hbar^2$ MeV⁻¹, and gathers at its bottom ~10% of the reaction events leading to ¹³⁵Nd. Of the three known superdeformed bands, it is the most strongly populated and the one observed to the lowest spins, and it is the first in which the transitions depopulating the band have been seen. It has a moment of inertia very similar to that of the superdeformed band in ¹³²Ce. The less-deformed part of the decay scheme of ¹³⁵Nd is also given.

PACS numbers: 21.10.Re, 27.60.+j

A nucleus may take on a variety of shapes, and understanding their origin has been the subject of extensive studies. One of the most interesting "curiosities" is nuclei with very large deformations. Prolate shapes with a 2:1 ratio of principal axes ("superdeformed shapes") were first found in fission isomers in the Pu-Am region some twenty years ago.¹ In this paper, the definition of superdeformation is generalized to refer to the larger prolate deformation when there is a second deformed well in the potential-energy surface (but not necessarily with 2:1 axes). Calculations have predicted that superdeformed shapes might also be found in rare-earth nuclei at high angular momenta.²⁻⁵ Indeed, unresolved features as well as discrete bands originating from superdeformed shapes were recently found^{6,7} in ¹⁵²Dy and in ¹³²Ce.⁸ A detailed spectroscopy of the superdeformed bands is just beginning, and several questions remain to be answered by experimental studies. For example, do other nuclei also develop low-lying superdeformed bands at high spins, or is the second minimum in the potentialenergy surface restricted to a specific number of neutrons and protons? How does the population of these bands depend on the (HI, xn) reaction through which the excited nucleus was formed? Is the feeding out of these bands statistical, as suggested by Ref. 6? How large are the pairing effects in the superdeformed bands? In this Letter we present evidence for another superdeformed band, in 135 Nd, the first such band in an odd-N nucleus and the first in which the transitions out of the band have been observed, together with the level scheme of the less-deformed states of the nucleus.

The nucleus ¹³⁵Nd was produced by the reaction ${}^{100}Mo({}^{40}Ar, 5n)$ at 173- and 177-MeV bombarding energy. The beam was provided by the LBL 88-in cyclotron, and two stacked thin foils (0.5 mg/cm² each) were used as a target. The gains of the 21 Compton-suppressed Ge detectors from the HERA array⁹ were matched on line to compensate for the Doppler shifts of the γ rays. The different angles of the detectors provided angular correlation information, and spin and parity assignments were

made by the method described by Stephens *et al.*¹⁰ A total of $\sim 7 \times 10^8$ three- and higher-fold events was recorded, of which approximately 25% came from the 5*n* channel.

Standard coincidence techniques were used to extract



FIG. 1. Level scheme of 135 Nd as obtained from the present work. Transition energies are given in kiloelectronvolts.



FIG. 2. Moments of inertia (in units of $\hbar^2 \text{ MeV}^{-1}$) of the bands in ¹³⁵Nd. Closed squares (closed circles) mark the $\mathcal{J}^{(1)}$ of the negative- (positive-) parity bands of negative signature (open symbols represent the other signature), and triangles (asterisks) the $\mathcal{J}^{(1)}$ ($\mathcal{J}^{(2)}$) of the superdeformed band.

the less-deformed part of the level scheme of the nucleus, Fig. 1. Only a few states were known previously¹¹ in ¹³⁵Nd. We extended the ground-state $\Delta I = 1$ structure with the odd $h_{11/2}$ neutron beyond the first backbend up to spin $\frac{47}{2}$, and found a second $\Delta I = 1$ structure which we followed up to spin $\frac{39}{2}$. The transitions connecting this band to the yrast band behave like pure stretched dipoles; that feature and the abscence of any possible crossover transitions establish the parity to be positive. Most of the cascade transitions in the positive- and negative-parity bands have angular correlations which are rather close to the value expected for stretched dipoles, although they may have small quadrupole admixtures. These cascade transitions carry the major part of the intensity, whereas the quadrupole crossovers are quite weak in general, except for the lowest-spin states of negative parity and all the highest-spin states. This behavior can be qualitatively understood from the configuration of the bands. The first backbend in the negative-parity bands (sharp increase in the moment of inertia in Fig. 2) is due to proton alignment $(h_{11/2})$, which will enhance the M1 component. The strong cascade transitions and the absence of this backbend (cf. Fig. 2) suggest that the positive-parity bands are based on the $h_{11/2}$ neutron plus a pair of protons, one of which is also $h_{11/2}$. The other proton could occupy either a $g_{7/2}$ or a $d_{5/2}$ orbital. This configuration could be lowered in energy by the admixture of octupole phonons. The lower part of this scheme is basically in agreement with parallel work.¹²

In addition, however, we observed a series of γ rays with an average energy spacing of 67.5 keV, leading to



FIG. 3. Superdeformed band in ¹³⁵Nd labeled by transition energies. Lines belonging to the less-deformed states are marked by number signs, (possible) linking transitions by 1's. The double-gated background-subtracted spectrum is a sum of clean-gate combinations, which affects the relative intensities.

the superdeformed band depicted in Fig. 1. At its bottom, where the lowest in-band transitions gather approximately 10% of the reaction events leading to ¹³⁵Nd, this band branches out in many pathways, each carrying less than (1-2)% intensity. This behavior indicates already that the structure of this band is very different from that of the other low-lying bands in the nucleus, since it does not decay preferentially to a particular state (with most similar configuration). To obtain a very clean spectrum of this band, the triples data were sorted in a special way. Two gates were required, one on an in-band transition, the other either in-band or on a low-lying state seen in coincidence with the band in single-gated spectra. Figure 3 shows a background-subtracted spectrum of the third γ ray in coincidence with these double gates where we have summed the clean-gate combinations. All the lines in this double-coincidence spectrum come from ¹³⁵Nd and are related to the superdeformed band. We are reasonably confident of one depopulation path (the 620-1184-keV sequence feeding the $\frac{19}{2}$ - state), which at the same time requires energy differences from the lowest band members to other states that match several lines left over in the clean spectrum of Fig. 3. Angular correlations indicate that the in-band transitions are stretched quadrupoles and that the 620-keV line is most likely a stretched quadrupole. We do not have good angular correlation values for the 1184-keV transition. However, the spins given in Fig. 1 are favored, because, if the 1184 keV were a stretched quadrupole also, the 767-keV line would have to be an E3 or M2. If the 1184-keV transition were unstretched, the spins would be lowered by, at most, one unit. With the given spin assignments, the superdeformed states become yrast at spin $\frac{45}{2}$. It is around this spin that the intensities of the superdeformed band and the negative-parity states become comparable. One would *a priori* expect roughly equal intensities where the two bands cross. Table I lists the intensities of the γ rays of the superdeformed band and the depopulating transitions, some of which could not be placed in the scheme.

The moment of inertia of the superdeformed band is very different from that of the other bands in the nucleus ¹³⁵Nd, as illustrated in Fig. 2. Not only is it considerably larger, but also much smoother. The three-quasiparticle bands reach kinetic moments of inertia $(\mathcal{J}^{(1)})$ around $40\hbar^2$ MeV⁻¹, whereas the superdeformed band reaches a value of $55\hbar^2$ MeV⁻¹, and has a dynamic moment of inertia $(\mathcal{I}^{(2)})$ around $59\hbar^2$ MeV⁻¹, also shown in Fig. 2. Calculating the deformation from the moment of inertia is always uncertain, since the result depends strongly on the mass flow pattern inside the nucleus, which is not known. Quadrupole moments have less ambiguity in determining the deformation. Lifetime measurements in the superdeformed band of ¹³²Ce indicate⁸ a deformation of $\beta \approx 0.5$. Since the moments of inertia in the superdeformed bands are very similar in ¹³⁵Nd and ¹³²Ce, we assume that their deformations are also similar. The dynamic moment of inertia shows two maxima over the range of the superdeformed band. The first one occurs at the lowest band member. Possibly an alignment takes place at that frequency which energetically favors the superdeformed shape. That might explain why the band cannot be followed to lower spins. The second maximum, which occurs around spin $\frac{49}{2}$, might be indicative of a weak alignment. However, calculations¹³ predict an alignment only at considerably higher spins. We do not observe the other-signature partner of the superdeformed band, which suggests that the odd neutron occupies a unique-parity orbital with low Ω , where the signature splitting is large. The two superdeformed bands in ¹³⁵Nd and ¹³²Ce seem much

TABLE I. Intensities of superdeformed in-band and depopulating transitions in percent of reaction events leading to 135 Nd.

E_{γ} (keV)	Intensity (%)	E _γ (keV)	Intensity (%)
545.9	8.3(8)	620.3	1.0(3)
602.7	10.5(10)	1184	1.2(4)
676.5	8.0(8)	529.4	2.0(6)
749.2	7.5(8)	549.6	1.8(6)
817.4	6.5(7)	(616)	
882.5	6.6(7)	(767)	<1
946.6	5.5(7)	(949)	
1011.1	4.2(6)	(282.6)	
1078.2	3.3(6)	(514)	not placed
1145.9	2.5(6)	(566)	•
1215.6	1.6(5)		
1287.5	0.9(4)		
(1362)	<1		

more similar to each other than to the one in ¹⁵²Dy, which is more deformed.^{6,14} Also the bands become yrast at about the same spin, even though the one in ¹³⁵Nd lies about 1.5 MeV lower in excitation energy. Whether the superdeformed minimum in ¹³⁵Nd really lies lower in the potential-energy surface is not very clear, because one has to correct for pairing, liquid drop, and rotational energies, the last of which depends strongly on the spin assignments.

The discrete superdeformed band reaches the intensity level of 1% (about the limit of detection) at transition energies around 1.3 MeV, but there is evidence for superdeformed shapes in the continuum up to 1.6 MeV. A series of cuts perpendicular to the diagonal in the twodimensional array $E_{\gamma 1} - E_{\gamma 2}$ is shown in Fig. 4. These spectra are dominated by the 4n and 5n products of the reaction (^{136}Nd and ^{135}Nd). At lower spins (E_{γ} below 1.2 MeV), continuous ridges 136 keV apart ($\mathcal{J}^{(2)}$ $\approx 59\hbar^2$ MeV⁻¹) are seen, which contain more intensity than the observed discrete band. Even the second and third ridges, as marked in Fig. 4, are quite strong. Above 1.3 MeV, where the discrete superdeformed band fades out, ridges are still present 144 keV apart $(\mathcal{J}^{(2)} \approx 56\hbar^2 \text{ MeV}^{-1})$. In addition, much weaker ridges from a less-deformed structure can be seen. Thus, there must be many unresolved transitions from states which have the same moment of inertia as the discrete (cold) superdeformed band. The presence of higher ridges means that there are comparatively long strings of in-band transitions, and consequently a slow cooling to



FIG. 4. Cuts perpendicular to the diagonal in the symmetrized $E_{\gamma 1}$ - $E_{\gamma 2}$ matrix at 4 keV/channel; top, 1–1.2 MeV; middle, 1.2—1.4 MeV; bottom, 1.4–1.6 MeV. The matrix was unfolded and the uncorrelated γ rays were subtracted by the method described in Ref. 15. The arrows indicate the positions of the ridges.

the yrast line. From 1.3 MeV up to the highest γ -ray energies where ridges can be seen, their separation does not change appreciably. This is a quite different behavior from the discrete superdeformed band, whose dynamic moment of inertia drops by 25% in ¹³²Ce over the same range.⁸ In the discrete bands this drop in $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ can be understood, since the angular momentum of the high-*j* orbitals becomes mostly aligned, and thus contributes less to the collective moment of inertia.¹⁶ The states giving rise to the continuous structure must, in contrast, involve various additional high-*j* orbitals in order to maintain the $\mathcal{I}^{(2)}$ value.

In conclusion, we have observed the first superdeformed band in an odd-mass nucleus, ¹³⁵Nd, and the second one in the lighter rare-earth region. Of all observed superdeformed bands, this one goes to the lowest spins and is populated the strongest, which makes it possible for us to connect it to the rest of the level scheme. Its deformation corresponds most likely to $\beta \approx 0.4-0.5$. The two superdeformed bands in ¹³⁵Nd and ¹³²Ce seem to have very similar moments of inertia. Continuous ridges are found in the data, which indicate that most of the continuum is also emitted by superdeformed states. Systematics will tell us more about the origin and the configuration of these very deformed bands.

We would like to thank M. K. Lee and R. A. Belshe for help with the electronics and computer software, and Dr. W. Swiatecki for enlightening discussions. One of us (E.M.B.) is indebted to the Hanns-Seidel Foundation for a grant. This work was supported by the Director, Office of Energy Research, Division of Nuclear Physics of the Office of High Energy and Nuclear Physics of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

^(a)Permanent address: University of California, Davis, CA 95616.

¹S. M. Polikanov *et al.*, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **42**, 1464 (1962) [Sov. Phys. JETP **15**, 1016 (1962)].

²S. Cohen *et al.*, in *Proceedings of the Third Conference on Reactions between Complex Nuclei*, edited by A. Ghiorso, R. M. Diamond, and H. E. Conzett (Univ. of California Press, Berkeley, 1963), p. 325.

³I. Ragnarsson et al., Nucl. Phys. A347, 287 (1980).

⁴T. Bengtsson et al., Phys. Scr. 24, 200 (1981).

⁵J. Dudek and W. Nazarewicz, Phys. Rev. C **31**, 298 (1985).

⁶P. J. Twin et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 811 (1986).

⁷M. J. A. deVoigt *et al.*, to be published.

⁸P. J. Nolan *et al.*, J. Phys. G **11**, L17 (1985); A. J. Kirwan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **58**, 467 (1987).

⁹R. M. Diamond, in *Instrumentation for Heavy-Ion Nuclear Research*, edited by D. Shapira (Harwood Academic, New York, 1985), p. 259.

¹⁰F. S. Stephens et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **54**, 2584 (1985).

¹¹J. Gizon et al., Nucl. Phys. A222, 557 (1974).

¹²W. F. Piel *et al.*, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **31**, 836 (1986); D. Fossan, private communication.

¹³T. Bengtsson, to be published.

¹⁴P. J. Twin, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. **31**, 1224(T) (1986).

¹⁵O. Anderson et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 43, 687 (1979).

¹⁶M. A. Deleplanque et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 45, 172 (1980).