Kondo Bosons and the Kondo Lattice: Microscopic Basis for the Heavy Fermi Liquid

Assa Auerbach and K. Levin

The James Franck Institute, The University ofChicago, Chicago, Illinois 6Q637 (Received 28 February 1986}

A consistent Fermi-liquid theory of heavy-electron compounds at low temperatures is microscopically derived from the Kondo-lattice model. Interactions between the heavy quasiparticles are mediated by fluctuations of effective valence-conduction hybridization parameters. The computed values of the Wilson ratio, the $T^3 \ln T$ term in the specific heat, and the T^2 coefficient of the lowtemperature resistivity are in agreement with systematic experimental trends.

PACS numbers: 72.15.Qm, 65.40.—f, 75.20.Hr

Heavy-electron systems exhibit a rich variety of low-temperature (T) behavior.^{1,2} In general the low-T state is a Fermi liquid with a highly enhanced density of states. In many cases it may further undergo a transition at lower temperature to superconductivity or magnetic ordering. The following strikingly systematic features in the data are noted: (i) The measured dimensionless Wilson ratio R of linear coefficient of the specific heat $\gamma = \lim_{T \to 0} C_v/T$ and the susceptibility X is close to, but always greater than, unity.² (ii) A large number of systems' exhibit a rapid decrease in C_{ν}/T with increasing T. (iii) The resistivity in relatively clean samples varies as $\rho \approx A T^2$ at low T, where A appears³ to scale with γ^2 .

Mean-field theories, which incorporate the large on-site Coulomb repulsion U between f electrons of the rare-earth ions, have been formulated in several approaches.^{1,4} Among these are the Gutzwiller approximation and the large-N limit of the Kondo lattice (where N is the degeneracy of the fractionally occupied f levels). They lead to a renormalized band-structure scheme which yields the "heavy" quasiparticles and can explain the large enhancements of C_v and X_v as well as the fact that $R \approx 1$. However, the fluctuation corrections to mean-field theory and the quasiparticle dynamical interactions have not yet been systematically determined from a microscopic model. The above observations (i), (ii), and (iii) suggest that such interactions do play an important role in both thermodynamic and transport data.

It is the purpose of the present paper to derive a consistent and systematic Fermi-liquid description of the Kondo lattice with the $1/N$ -Kondo-boson (KB) approach as the microscopic basis. Our goals are threefold: (a) to demonstrate the analytic structure of the corrections of leading order in $1/N$ to the Kondolattice mean-field theory, (b) to compute the Landau parameters and associated vertex functions and relate them to R, X, C_v , and ρ , and (c) to show that our results may explain the experimental observations (i) -(iii), and thus provide insight into some universal features of these systems.

For simplicity we study the Coqblin-Schrieffer lattice (CSL) which is a Kondo limit of the large-U Fano-Anderson lattice, in which the f electrons have vanishingly small charge fluctuations. It was already shown^{5,6} that the same Lagrangean describes the lattice model away from that limit, provided one includes the finite *f*-charge susceptibility χ_c in Eq. (4a).

The partition function is given by $(h = 1)$

$$
Z_{\text{CSL}} = \int D \prod_{i,m} \lambda c^* c f^* f \exp\{-\int_0^\beta d\tau [L(\tau) + i \sum_{i,m} \lambda_i (f_{i,m}^* f_{i,m} - Q_0)]\},
$$

\n
$$
L = \sum_{k,m} c_{km}^* (\partial_\tau + \epsilon_k) c_{km} + f_{km}^* \partial_\tau f_{km} + (J/N) \sum_{i,m,m'} c_{i,m}^* f_{i,m}^* f_{i,m} c_{i,m'}
$$
\n(1)

where $c_{i,m}$ and $f_{i,m}$ are Grassmann variables of an electron at site r_i and magnetic quantum number $m \mid m \mid \leq (N-1)/2$, in the conduction band (of dispersion ϵ_k) and the dispersionless f band, respectively. J is t $|m| \le (N-1)/2$, in the conduction band (of dispersion ϵ_k) and the dispersionless f band, respectively. It is the antiferromagnetic Kondo interaction energy. The integration over $\lambda_i(\tau)$ imposes the local constraint of t charge conservation, $n_f = Q_0$, at all times and sites. Q_0 is kept as a fixed parameter (instead of $Q_0 = 1/N$) in order to define a true N-independent mean-field theory.⁶ The Bose fields $b_i(\tau) = r_i e^{i\theta_i}$ are introduced by a Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation which allows us to integrate out the fermions and evaluate Z_{CSL} by steepest descents.^{5,6} The saddle point $r_q = r_0 \delta_{q,0}$, $i\lambda_q = \epsilon_f \delta_{q,0}$, is given by the variational mean-field equations, where r_0 is the effective hybridization constant and ϵ_f the renormalized f-level renormalized energy. For lack of space, we omit a full discussion of the mean-field equations and their detailed solutions, which have already been presented in the literature.⁴ The mean-field theory, that is, the order $O((1/N)^0)$ of this model, in general corresponds to a renormalized band structure given by

ized band structure given by
\n
$$
E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + \epsilon_{f}) \pm \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - \epsilon_{f}) \right]^2 + r_0^2 \right\}^{1/2} \equiv \epsilon_{f} \pm r_0 \cot \theta (\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}})^{\pm 1},
$$
\n(2)

1986 The American Physical Society 877

which defines the function $\theta(\epsilon)$. We choose our units single-impurity analysis of Read and Newns⁵ and introof energy so that the bare, single-spin, conduction- duce a time-dependent local gauge transformation electron density of states (or inverse bandwidth) ρ_0 in which acts simultaneously on the Bose field b_i and the a unit-cell volume satisfies $1/\rho_0(\mu_0) = 1$, where μ_0 is fields f_i , and removes the redundant pha a unit-cell volume satisfies $1/\rho_0(\mu_0) = 1$, where μ_0 is fields f_i , and removes the redundant phase of the Bose defined in terms of the total number of electrons per fields $\theta_i(\tau)$. The Read-Newns transformation e defined in terms of the total number of electrons per fields $\theta_i(\tau)$. The Read-Newns transformation elegantunit cell, $N_e = \int_0^{\mu_0} d\epsilon \rho_0(\epsilon)$. The paramagnetic state ly bypasses the familiar complications of infrared possesses an exponentially large (in $1/J$) value of the divergences associated with unphysical Goldstone borenormalized density of states ρ at the Fermi level μ which lies in the lower band, $\mu = E_k^- (\mu_0)$. We choose boson propagator $D_{n'}$, where the index $r = 1$ corre-
to define the dimensionless Kondo lattice temperature sponds to the fluctuations of the local KB amplitude to define the dimensionless Kondo lattice temperature sponds to the fluctuations of the local T_K as the inverse of the mass enhancement factor, r_g , and $r = 2$ to the constraint field λ_g . T_K as the inverse of the mass enhancement factor, r_g , and $r = 2$ to the constraint field λ_g $\rho(\mu)$ ⁻¹ = T_{K} = sin² $\theta(\mu_0)$ << 1. To go beyond mean-field theory we follow the

sons. This leads to a 2×2 -matrix description of the

Performing the Gaussian integration of the fields r and λ , we find the free energy to be given by

$$
F = -(1/\beta) \ln Z = NS^{0} + (1/2\beta) \sum_{q} \text{Tr} \ln \det D(q) + O(1/N), \tag{3}
$$

where $S_0 = -(2\beta)^{-1} \sum_{k,\alpha}$ Trln G_k^{α} and $G = (ik_0 - E_k^{\alpha})^{-1}$ are the single-spin mean-field free energy and Green's function, respectively. Here k and q denote the usual Fermi and Bose four-vector Fourier components, respective ly. $D_{r,r}(q)$ is the KB propagator, given by the random-phase-approximation (RPA) sum of bubble diagrams, such that

$$
D(q) = -\left(1/N\right)\left[\Pi(q) + \Pi^0\right]^{-1}, \quad \Pi^0 = 2\begin{bmatrix} 1/J & \sqrt{\hat{x}}_c \\ \sqrt{\hat{x}}_c & 0 \end{bmatrix},\tag{4a}
$$

where Π^0 is the "unscreened vertex" in which $\hat{\chi}_c$ is set equal to zero for the CSL, and the bubble diagram Π is given by

$$
\Pi_{r,r'} = -\beta^{-1} \sum_{k, \alpha\alpha'= \pm} C_r^{\alpha\alpha'}(\theta_k, \theta_{k+q}) C_r^{\alpha'\alpha}(\theta_{k+q}, \theta_k) G_k^{\alpha} G_{k+q}^{\alpha'}.
$$
\n(4b)

The C 's are the quasiparticle-boson vertices arising from the orthogonal transformation from the (c, f) basis to the $(+, -)$ bands:

$$
C_1^{--} = \sin(\theta_k + \theta_{k+q}), \quad C_1^{-+} = \cos(\theta_k + \theta_{k+q}), \quad C_1^{++} = C_1^{--},
$$

$$
C_2^{--} = i\cos\theta_k \cos\theta_{k+q}, \quad C_2^{-+} = -i\cos\theta_k \sin\theta_{k+q}, \quad C_2^{++} = i\sin\theta_k \sin\theta_{k+q}.
$$
 (4c)

The functions in Π contain interband and intraband terms, the latter being very similar in their low-(q, ω) behavior to the familiar Lindhard functions or polarization insertions in the electron gas. It can also be verified that $\lim_{\mathbf{q}, \omega \to 0} \det(-D)$ and $\lim_{\mathbf{q}, \omega \to 0} \text{Tr}(-D)$ are positive, which ensures the stability of the mean-field solution.⁷ The explicit factor of $1/N$ in Eq. (4a) provides us with the small parameter of the RPA or Gaussian approxi mation, since all the corrections either involve higher powers of D , or do not contain the maximal number of internal bubbles which reduces their contribution by factors of $1/N$.

We can now derive the quasiparticle self-energy Σ and vertex function Γ to leading order in 1/N by functional differentiating Z_{CSL} with respect to source currents.⁸ The quasiparticles interact via the exchange of a single Kondo-boson propagator (see Fig. 1). This propagator can be physically interpreted as an effective hybridization fluctuation which is strongly screened by the quasiparticle density response II. The effective-mass correction is given by the usual $T=0$ expression expanded for small $\Sigma \propto 1/N$:

$$
\frac{\delta m}{m} = -\frac{d}{dE_{\mathbf{k}}^-} \sum_{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}', \alpha} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\pi} D_{\mathbf{r}'}^{\text{im}}(\mathbf{q}, \omega) C_{\mathbf{r}}^{-\alpha}(\theta_{\mathbf{k}}, \theta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}) C_{\mathbf{r}'}^{\alpha-}(\theta_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}, \theta_{\mathbf{k}})
$$
\n
$$
\times \left[\frac{1 + \Theta(\mu - E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha})}{E_{\mathbf{k}}^- - E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha} - \omega} - \frac{\Theta(\mu - E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha})}{E_{\mathbf{k}}^- - E_{\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{q}}^{\alpha} + \omega} \right]_{E_{\mathbf{k}}^- = \mu}, \quad (5)
$$

where $D^{im} = \lim_{\eta \to 0} [D(\omega + i\eta) - D(\omega - i\eta)]$. Γ contains two contributions to the leading order in 1/N:

$$
\Gamma^{\alpha\beta;\alpha'\beta'}(km,k+q,m;k'm',k'-q'm')
$$

=
$$
\sum_{r,r'} C_r^{\alpha\beta}(\theta_k, \theta_{k+q}) C_r^{\alpha'\beta'}(\theta_{k'}, \theta_{k'-q}) D_{r,r'}(q) (\equiv \Gamma^{\text{dir}})
$$

$$
-\delta_{m,m'} \sum_{r,r'} C_r^{\alpha\alpha'}(\theta_k, \theta_{k'-q}) C_r^{\beta\beta'}(\theta_{k+q}, \theta_{k'}) D_{r,r'}(k'-k-q) (\equiv -\Gamma^{\text{exch}}) + O(1/N^2).
$$
 (6)

We can obtain the Landau scattering amplitudes $\{A_i^{s,a}\}\$ following the usual microscopic prescription^{9, 10}: One considers the $\omega \to 0$ limit of Γ evaluated on the Fermi surface, i.e., $E_k = E_{k'} = \mu$, and projects it onto Legendre polynomials, P_l , such that

$$
A_{l}^{\epsilon} = T_{K}^{-1} N \delta_{l,0} \lim_{|\mathbf{q}|, |\omega| \leq |\mathbf{q}|} \Gamma^{\text{dir}} + A_{l}^{\alpha}, \quad A_{l}^{\alpha} = -T_{K}^{-1} \frac{2l+1}{2k_{F}^{2}} \int_{0}^{2k_{F}} d\kappa \Gamma^{\text{exch}}(\kappa,0) P_{l} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa^{2}}{2k_{F}^{2}}\right) \kappa,
$$
\n⁽⁷⁾

where k_F is the Fermi wave vector $(\epsilon_F = \mu_0)$, and, as Γ defined earlier, T_{K}^{-1} is the Fermi-level density of states. Equation (6) guarantees that the forward scattering sum rule is automatically satisfied. To leading order in $1/N$ there are no renormalization factors or other corrections to Eqs. (7). It should be stressed that in this Fermi-liquid theory the "bare" particles are the heavy mean-field quasiparticles, and thus $\delta m/m$ in Eq. (5) is *not* large. Using Eqs. (6) and (7) we computed the $\{A^{s,a}\}\$ numerically. We found that $D(q, 0)$ is slowly varying, and higher moments decrease rapidly with l. Considerable simplification arises when a parabolic band structure is used for $\epsilon(\mathbf{k}) \propto |\mathbf{k}|^2$ since angular integrations may then be done analytically, leaving us with just a one-dimensional numerical integration. Here we neglect umklapp processes. For this case the $I=0, 1$ parameters are (up to correction of order $T_{\rm K}$) Here we neglect umklapp processes.
 $l = 0, 1$ parameters are (up to correction)
 $\frac{l}{N} + \frac{0.08}{N} \frac{Q_0}{\mu_0}, \quad A_0 = 1.000 + A_0^2$.

$$
A_0^2 = \frac{-1.000}{N} + \frac{0.08}{N} \frac{Q_0}{\mu_0}, \quad A_0^2 = 1.000 + A_0^2,
$$

$$
A_1^2 = A_1^2 = \frac{-0.12}{N} \frac{Q_0}{\mu_0}.
$$
 (8)

In the Landau theory of an $SU(N)$ -invariant Fermi liquid, the phenomenological parameter A_{0}^{α} is related to the Wilson ratio by $R = [g^{-2}4\pi^2/(N^2-1)]\chi/\gamma$ $= 1 - A_0^2$. Here, $K_B = 1$ and g is the electron magnetic moment. With allowance for some uncertainty in the effective moments, the result $0 \le R - 1 < 1$ appears to be consistent with the experimentally observed systematic trend² noted earlier.

A direct differentiation of the free energy in Eq. (3)

FIG. 1. The self-energy Σ and vertex function Γ [Eq. (6)] to leading order in $1/N$, which involve a single Kondo-boson (wavy line) exchange.

with respect to temperature and magnetic field yields X and C_v . The mean-field contributions are $\chi^0 = g^2 N(N^2-1)/12T_K$ and $C_v^0 = \pi^2 NT/3T_K = \gamma^0 T$. The $1/N$ corrections arise from the term $Tr \ln \det D$. After some algebra it follows that the susceptibility and specific heat are renormalized such that $X = X^{0}[1+\delta m/m - A_{0}^{2} + O(1/N^{2})]$, and similarly $\gamma = \gamma^{0}[1+\delta m/m + O(1/N^{2})]$. These are known $=\gamma^0[1+\delta m/m+O(1/N^2)]$. These are known Fermi-liquid identities related to Ward identities of spin and charge conservation. Their direct verification lends further support to our assignment of Landau parameters in Eq. (7). At this point it is important to note that this theory is not Galilean invariant (because of hybridization between bands of largely different curvature or "masses"). Therefore the Galilean relation $1 + \delta m/m = (1 - A_1^3/3)^{-1}$ is incorrect as could be checked against Eq. (5).

In addition to the correction to γ , there exists a specific-heat correction ΔC_v analogous to the paramagnon $T^3 \ln T$ contribution in liquid ³He. Our analysis follows Engelsberg and co-workers and
Riedel¹¹, where D_{μ} , replaces the RPA susceptibility that mediates the spin fluctuations. We find

$$
\Delta C_{\nu} = 0.85(T/\overline{T}_{\text{K}})^{3} \ln(T/\overline{T}_{\text{K}}) + O(T^{3}),
$$

\n
$$
\overline{T}_{\text{K}} = Q_{0} T_{\text{K}}.
$$
\n(9)

It is important to note that this behavior has been experimentally observed¹ in, e.g., UPt₃ with $\overline{T}_{K} \approx 20-30$ K , and it may also be the source of the rapid temperature dependence of C_vT observed in a wide class of heavyfermion systems.¹ By analogy to liquid 3 He, it has been previously attrributed in UPt₃ to paramagnons. However, the degree of independent evidence for an incipient ferromagnetic instability in $UPt₃$ remains controversial.¹² The origin of the $T^3 \ln T$ behavior in this KB theory is the nonanalytic low- $(|{\bf q}|,\omega)$ behavior of Π via the ratio $\omega/|q|$. The presence of such a term is not surprising, since it is a general property of theories with an RPA-like boson mediating the interactions. On the other hand, it should be stressed that unlike the paramagnon mechanism, it does not derive solely from the spin-fluctuation channel, as seen by the rela live magnitudes of A_0^a and A_0^s . The contributions to C_v from higher powers of temperature are much harder to calculate since the temperature dependence of the variational parameters r_0 , ϵ_f , and μ must also be considered in the $T³$ order and above.

Using this approach we are also able to estimate the T^2 coefficient of the low-temperature resistivity $\rho = AT^2$. We follow the analogous paramagnon calcula- $= AT^2$. We follow the analogous paramagnon calculation,¹³ and determine A by evaluating $\partial D^{im}/\partial \omega$, at $\omega = 0$. The result is

$$
\rho = \rho_{\text{max}} (T/\lambda \overline{T}_{\text{K}})^2 + O(T^3), \qquad (10)
$$

where $\rho_{\text{max}} = h/e^2 k_F N^2 = 100-300 \mu \Omega$ cm and where λ is a factor of order unity. This result is qualitatively consistent with the remarkable universal scaling³ of A and γ^2 . It should be emphasized that Q_0 emerges as a key parameter which determines the $l > 0$ Landau paran eters, and the coherence energy scale T_K which appears in both C_v/T and ρ [Eqs. (9)–(10)]. Our analysis¹² of recent pressure-dependent data on UPt_3 , as well as universal trends throughout the heavy-fermion materials, lends experimental support to the existence of this universal coherence scale \overline{T}_{K} .

Although in many heavy-fermion systems N is not really large $(=2)$, the present $1/N$ expansion is a systematic description of the quasiparticle interactions. It has many satisfying features including the following: (1) There is dependence on a minimal set of predetermined microscopic parameters. These are the bare band structure and the Kondo lattice temperature T_K . (2) Translational invariance is ab initio built into the theory. This is in contrast to "interacting impurities" approaches where more sophisticated resummation schemes are needed to recover coherence effects. (3) It yields the full vertex function [Eq. (6)]. Realistic band structure, umklapp processes, and phonon interactions¹⁴ must be also considered in any further application to real materials.

The possibility that Kondo-boson-mediated pairing drives the superconductivity in some of the heavyfermion compounds may be the most interesting extension of this theory. The $1=2$ Landau parameters in Eq. (7) were found to be attractive. A simplistic deduction^{10,15} of the transition temperature and order-parameter symmetry from the Landau ($\omega=0$) limit of the vertex function would yield d -wave pairing. However, it might not be applicable as it is in ³He, since it assumes that the frequency cutoff scale in Γ is much smaller than the characteristic variations in the electronic energies. In the KB theory both relevant frequency scales appear to be of order $T_{\mathbf{k}}$. The detailed spectral behavior of the full vertex function is clearly important and may ultimately provide a better understanding of this important issue.⁸

We thank P. Coleman, G. Crabtree, R. Dunlap, G. S. Grest, G. Mazenko, P. Schlottmann, and S. Shenker for useful discussions. This work was supported by National Science Foundation Grant No. DMR-84-20187 and Materials Research Laboratory Grant No. NSF-DMR-82-6892. One of us (K.L.) also thanks Argonne National Laboratory for their support and hospitality.

Note added.—Recently we received ^a preprint from A. Millis and P. A. Lee which applied a similar technique to the Anderson lattice model using, however, the Cartesian Kondo-boson coordinates.

¹For an experimental review, see G. R. Stewart, Rev. Mod. Phys. 56, 755 (1984).

 2 For a theoretical review, see P. A. Lee, T. M. Rice, J. W. Serene, L. J. Sham, and J. W. Wilkins, Commun. Condens. Matter Phys. 12, 98 (1986), and references therein. Figure 1 displays the γ vs χ data.

3K. Kadowaki and S. B. Woods, Solid State Commun. (to be published).

4N. Read, D. M. Newns, and S. Doniach, Phys. Rev. 8 30, 3841 (1984); T. M. Rice and K. Ueda, Phys. Rev. Lett. 55, 995 (1985); B. H. Brandow, Phys. Rev. B 33, 215 (1986). For early work on the Kondo lattice, see S. Doniach, Physica (Amsterdam) 91B, 231 (1977); R. Jullien et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 3\$, 1500 (1977).

5N. Read and D. M. Newns, J. Phys. C 16, 3273 (1983); N. Read, J. Phys. C 1\$, 2651 (1985).

6P. Coleman, Phys. Rev. B 29, 3035 (1985); Theory of Heavy Fermions and Valence Fluctuations, edited by T. Kasuya and T. Saso, Springer Series in Solid State Sciences Vol. 62 (Springer-Veriag, New York, 1985).

7Earlier concerns to the contrary raised by T. V. Ramakrishnan, J. Phys. C 19, L1 (1986), were later retracted (P. Coleman, University of California, Santa Barbara Report No. ITP-86-21, 1986) (unpublished).

SA. Auerbach and K. Levin, unpublished.

9A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, Methods of Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics (Dover, New York, 1975).

¹⁰K. Levin and O. T. Valls, Phys. Rev. B **20**, 105 (1979).

¹¹S. Doniach and S. Engelsberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17, 750 (1966); W. F. Brinkman and S. Engelsberg, Phys. Rev. 169, 417 (1968);E. Riedel, Z. Phys. 210, 403 (1968).

 $¹²A$. Auerbach and K. Levin, Phys. Rev. B (to be pub-</sup> lished). See also J. J. M. Franse et al., to be published.

 $13N$. d'Ambrumenil and P. Fulde, in *Theory of Heavy Fer*mions and Valence Fluctuations, edited by T. Kasuya and T. Saso, Springer Series in Solid State Sciences Vol. 62 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985).

¹⁴R. Jullien, M. T. Beal-Monod, and B. Coqblin, Phys. Rev. B 9, 1441 (1974).

¹⁵B. R. Patton and A. Zaringhalam, Phys. Lett. 55A, 95 (1975).