Unexpected Entrance-Channel Effects in the Decay of the Compound Nucleus ¹⁵⁶Er

A. Ruckelshausen, R. D. Fischer, W. Kühn, V. Metag, R. Mühlhans, and R. Novotny II. Physikalisches Institut, Universität Giessen, Giessen, West Germany

T. L. Khoo

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, West Germany, and Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

R. V. F. Janssens

Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439

H. Gröger, D. Habs, H. W. Heyng, R. Repnow, and D. Schwalm

Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg, West Germany, and Physikalisches Institut, Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, West Germany

G. Duchène, R. M. Freeman, B. Haas, and F. Haas

Centre de Recherches Nucléaires, Strasbourg, France

and

S. Hlavac^(a) and R. S. Simon

Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, West Germany (Received 10 February 1986)

Angular momentum distributions for the dominant decay channels of ¹⁵⁶Er compound nuclei have been studied with the Darmstadt-Heidelberg "crystal ball" detector in nearly mass-symmetric (⁶⁴Ni + ⁹²Zr) and asymmetric (¹²C + ¹⁴⁴Sm) entrance channels. Strong differences in the αn yield and 2n/3n cross-section ratios are observed at the same excitation energy (47 MeV) and spin in ¹⁵⁶Er. This effect indicates that there is memory of the entrance channel during the particleevaporation stage of the compound-nucleus decay. The dominant exit channels do not exhibit high-angular-momentum components.

PACS numbers: 25.70.Jj, 25.70.Gh, 27.70.+q

Fusion of heavy ions near the barrier, although studied for many years, still has many open questions and puzzles. One of them is the well-established fact that statistical-model calculations systematically overpredict neutron-emission probabilities from some compound nuclei in the rare-earth region.^{1,2} This feature seems to be more pronounced for mass-symmetric entrance channels. For example, in the fusion of ⁶⁴Ni + ⁹²Zr, neutron emission is suppressed.³ In striking contrast there is excellent agreement between experimental and calculated values of the average number of emitted neutrons, when the same compound nucleus is formed in the mass-asymmetric channel ¹²C + ¹⁴⁴Sm.⁴

In Ref. 3 it was speculated that the reduction of the neutron-evaporation probability may be understood in terms of a reduced effective temperature. The kinetic energy of the interacting ions may be tied up in deformation energy instead of being rapidly converted to heat. Such a situation may occur if the compound nucleus is trapped in a superdeformed minimum during the shape-relaxation process. Moreover, potential-energy-surface calculations⁵ with shell corrections predict the existence of secondary minima with large

deformation for some neutron-deficient rare-earth nuclei, including 156 Er. Direct evidence for superdeformed shapes has indeed recently been reported⁶ for 152 Dy.

An alternative interpretation for the observed neutron suppression in terms of fusion-barrier fluctuations was suggested by Landowne *et al.*⁷ Such fluctuations result in an increased width of the compound-nucleus spin distribution raising the rotational energy and reducing the temperature. Recently, average γ -ray multiplicities⁸ as well as γ fold distributions⁹ have been measured for various entrance channels leading to ¹⁶⁰Er at near-barrier energies and have been interpreted in terms of barrier fluctuations.

A distinction between the two interpretations can be made by measuring the angular momentum distributions for those evaporation residues which show anomalously enhanced yields. Moreover, comparisons should be made for nearly mass-symmetric and massasymmetric entrance channels leading to the same compound nucleus at the same excitation energy. Unfortunately, the angular momentum distributions will be rather different in the two cases. As a consequence, the decay of the compound nucleus formed via different entrance channels has to be compared for individual partial waves. This has become possible with the advent of new $4\pi \gamma$ -ray detection systems such as the Darmstadt-Heidelberg "crystal ball" detector.¹⁰ In this Letter, we report on a study of the systems ⁶⁴Ni + ⁹²Zr and ¹²C + ¹⁴⁴Sm, both leading to the compound nucleus ¹⁵⁶Er at an excitation energy of 47 MeV.

Isotopically enriched targets of 225-µg/cm² ⁹²Zr (95%) and 4-mg/cm²¹⁴⁴Sm (96%) have been irradiated by pulsed 239-MeV ⁶⁴Ni beams and 73.5-MeV ¹²C beams provided by the Heidelberg Tandem/Postaccelerator facility. The fusion products have been stopped in a ²⁰⁸Pb backing evaporated onto the targets. Taking into account the energy loss in the target, both reactions lead to an effective excitation energy of 47 MeV in ¹⁵⁶Er with a spread of 3.4 MeV (⁶⁴Ni beam) and 5.6 MeV (¹²C beam). The γ rays have been detected with the Darmstadt-Heidelberg crystal-ball detector, using 158 NaI modules subtending 97% of the full solid angle. Neutrons have been discriminated from γ rays via time of flight. The evaporation residues have been identified by their characteristic γ transitions observed in a germanium detector. Since the target contained only 1.1% of ⁹⁴Zr effects of target impurities can be neglected.

The distributions of the number of responding crystal-ball detectors ("fold distributions") were deconvoluted using a response function measured in the experimental geometry. Since the fold distributions exhibit widths typically 3 times larger than the experimental resolution, the shape of the γ multiplicity distributions can be accurately determined. To obtain evaporation-residue spin distributions the assumption is made that the γ radiation only consists of stretched quadrupole $(\Delta I = 2)$ and dipole $(\Delta I = 1)$ transitions and of four statistical transitions which are assumed to remove $0.3\hbar$ each. The average spin ΔI_{ns} per nonstatistical photon was determined by fitting the γ -ray angular distributions with a series of Legendre polynomials. The attenuation coefficient $\alpha_2 = 0.75$ reported¹¹ for ¹⁵⁴Er has been used. The multiplicity-tospin conversion is described in detail by Fischer et al.¹² Since most of the residues have isomeric states,¹¹ distributions for individual decay branches populating or bypassing isomers have been summed to obtain the spin distribution for a given residue. For $I > 8\hbar$ the assumption of stretched transitions should be quite reliable and the uncertainty in spin is estimated to be $\pm 10\%$. It should be noted that a relative comparison of the two entrance channels is less sensitive to possible systematic errors in the determination of the absolute spin values.

Figure 1 shows the measured evaporation-residue spin distributions for the various exit channels produced in $^{64}Ni + ^{92}Zr$ [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)] and



FIG. 1. Evaporation-residue angular momentum distributions for ¹⁵⁶Er formed in (a),(b) ⁶⁴Ni + ⁹²Zr and (c),(d) ¹²C + ¹⁴⁴Sm. The arrows indicate the limiting compoundnuclear angular momenta derived from measured evaporation-residue sections (Ref. 4) assuming a sharp-cutoff angular momentum distribution.

 $^{12}C + ^{144}Sm$ [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)]. For reference we have also indicated the limiting angular momentum $l_{1/2}$, determined from the measured evaporationresidue cross section⁴ within the sharp-cutoff approximation. Numerical results from the analysis are listed in Table I. The angular momentum removed by evaporated particles has been obtained from statisticalmodel calculations with the code HIVAP.¹³ For most decay channels, this correction is rather small (Table I). For the Ni-induced reaction, the 1n and αn channel originate to a large part from compound nuclear spins above $l_{1/2}$. In contrast to predictions of calculations including barrier fluctuations, at least 90% of the total yield is concentrated below 42th even allowing for an uncertainty in the absolute spin scale of 10%. As a consequence there is no significant influence of barrier fluctuations on the average particle multiplicities.

TABLE I. Relative yields (normalized to 100%), average multiplicities (including prompt and delayed γ rays), average evaporation residue spins, and calculated angular momentum removed by particles. The statistical error of $\langle M_{\gamma} \rangle$ is negligible. Systematic errors in $\langle I_{\text{ER}} \rangle$ are estimated to $\pm 10\%$. Errors in the relative yield include statistical errors as well as systematic error introduced through corrections for efficiency and decay-time distributions.

Exit channel	⁶⁴ Ni + ⁹² Zr				$^{12}C + ^{144}Sm$			
	Relative yield (%)	$\langle M_{\gamma} \rangle$	$\langle I_{\rm ER} \rangle / \hbar$	$\langle I_{\rm part.} \rangle / \hbar^{\rm a}$	Relative yield (%)	$\langle M_{\gamma} \rangle$	$\langle I_{\rm ER} \rangle / \hbar$	$\langle l_{ m part.} angle / \hbar$ a
1 <i>n</i>	3 ± 1	27.0	43 ± 4	1.1	< 1		• • •	
2 n	24 ± 3	22.2	32 ± 3	1.7	6 ± 1	15.0	21 ± 2	0.9
3 n	31 ± 6	13.6	22 ± 2	2.0	48 ± 10	11.1	18 ± 2	1.5
4 <i>n</i>	7 ± 2	6.7	7 ± 1	0.6	7 ± 1	6.8	7 ± 1	-0.2
p2n	23 ± 5	13.7	24 ± 2	2.3	14 ± 3	11.9	21 ± 2	2.1
αn	3 ± 1	20.3	34 ± 3	4.7	6 ± 1	9.6	15 ± 2	0.4
<u>~2n</u>	9 ± 2	10.8	12 ± 1	0.8	19 ± 4	9.2	10 ± 1	-0.2

^aFrom calculations with HIVAP (Ref. 13).

However, the measured *l* distributions do not necessarily rule out such fluctuations since there is the possibility that the highest partial waves may be depleted by fission.

An unexpected and striking dependence on the entrance-channel mass asymmetry is observed for the charged-particle evaporation channels (Fig. 1): In the ¹²C-induced reaction, 90% of the αn yield originates from partial waves below $20\hbar$. The ⁶⁴Ni-induced reaction does not populate the αn channel in this *l* range at all. Instead, it feeds the high-spin isomers in ¹⁵¹Dy. As a result, the αn yield is concentrated at spin values above $25\hbar$.

Entrance-channel effects are also observed for the neutron-evaporation channels, relevant for the discussion of neutron suppression. At high spin values Fig. 1 shows a strong 2n yield for the ⁶⁴Ni-induced reaction; for the ¹²C-induced reaction the 3n channel predominates over the whole range of spin values. This feature is further illuminated by comparing σ_{2n}/σ_{3n} , the ratio of cross sections corresponding to emission of 2 and 3 neutrons, for the two entrance channels as a function of compound-nucleus spin (Fig. 2). The comparison of the experimental 2n/3n yields is restricted to a limited spin range where the distributions for both reactions have sufficient yield. The spread due to the conversion from γ multiplicity into compound-nucleus spin is less than 5th, deduced from a Monte Carlo simulation of the decay cascade. Thus, there is no significant contribution of high partial waves to lower spins, which would otherwise distort the yield ratio. At low spins in the selected spin range the σ_{2n}/σ_{3n} ratios agree within the errors and are consistent with statistical-model calculations. However, for spins above $24\hbar$ there is a steep rise in this ratio for the ⁶⁴Ni-induced reaction as compared to the ¹²Cinduced reaction.

The independence hypothesis¹⁴ implies a complete loss of memory for all details of compound-nucleus

formation which do not involve the basic conservation laws. Therefore, at given spin and excitation energy the relative intensities of two decay channels (e.g., σ_{2n}/σ_{3n}) should not depend on the entrance-channel mass asymmetry. The striking displacement of the αn spin distribution in the Ni-induced reaction as well as the observed different spin dependence of σ_{2n}/σ_{3n} for the two entrance channels suggests that there is memory of the entrance channel during the particleevaporation stage of the compound-nucleus decay. This unexpected experimental result can be understood within the context of trapping in a superdeformed minimum during compound-nuclear shape re-



FIG. 2. Ratio of 2n/3n yields for ${}^{64}\text{Ni} + {}^{92}\text{Zr}$ and ${}^{12}\text{C} + {}^{144}\text{Sm}$ as a function of compound-nucleus spin. The systematic uncertainty in the absolute spin scale is estimated to be $\pm 10\%$. In a relative comparison of the two entrance channels the cross-section ratios are less sensitive to such errors. Results are compared with a statistical-model calculation [HIVAP (Ref. 13)], which predicts identical ratios for both reaction channels.

laxation. It is only when the compound nucleus is formed from nearly symmetric mass partners that it has a sufficiently large initial deformation to relax subsequently through shapes corresponding to those at a superdeformed minimum. The large deformation energy necessary to excite such states would lead to a significant decrease in temperature and reduce particle multiplicities as observed, if the deformation is trapped until γ emission sets in. The effect increases strongly with rising spin which is in qualitative agreement with results from cranked-shell-model calculations, which predict more pronounced superdeformed minima for higher spins.

The observed effects cannot be accounted for by preequilibrium emission since neutron spectra measured for this system show no evidence for such processes.³ Moreover, the incident energies (6.1 MeV/u for ¹²C and 3.7 MeV/u for ⁶⁴Ni) are well below the known onset of this reaction mechanism.¹⁵ A possible impact of incomplete fusion on the αn channel in the C-induced reaction—although unlikely because of the low incident energy—will be investigated in a future experiment.

In summary, unexpected entrance-channel effects have been observed in the decay of the compound nucleus ¹⁵⁶Er. For the same excitation energy and spin the multiplicities of emitted particles depend on the entrance-channel mass asymmetry. The spin distributions for the dominant evaporation residues do not show high-*l* tails which might otherwise have provided an explanation for the suppression of neutrons in the ⁶⁴Ni + ⁹²Zr reaction. Thus, the original speculation of trapping in a superdeformed minimum³ still qualifies for further examination. Whether the observed entrance-channel effect is indeed related to the nuclear structure of light Er nuclei is presently being investigated in a comparative study of different entrance channels leading to the heavier isotopes $^{160}\text{Er}-^{164}\text{Er}$ where superdeformation is not predicted.

We are grateful to H. Folger and the Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung target laboratory staff for preparing the excellent targets. We would also like to thank E. Jaeschke and the operator staff of the Heidelberg Tandem/Postaccelerator facility for delivering the intense ion beams. One of us (T.L.K.) acknowledges the hospitality of the Max-Planck-Institut für Kernphysik, Heidelberg. This work was supported in part by Gesellschaft für Schwerionenforschung and Deutsches Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, and by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG.38.

^(a)Present address: Institute of Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Bratislava, Czechoslovak Socialist Republic.

- ¹P. M. Stwertka et al., Phys. Lett. 150B, 91 (1985).
- ²C. Cabot et al., Z. Phys. A 322, 393 (1985).
- ³W. Kühn et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 51, 1858 (1983).

⁴R. V. F. Janssens *et al.*, ANL Reports No. 84-24, 1984, and No. 85-22, 1985 (to be published).

⁵S. Åberg, Phys. Scr. **25**, 23 (1982).

- ⁶B. M. Nyakó et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 507 (1984).
- ⁷S. Landowne *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **138B**, 32 (1984).
- ⁸B. Haas et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 398 (1985).
- ⁹P. J. Nolan et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 54, 2211 (1985).

¹⁰V. Metag et al., in Detectors in Heavy-Ion Reactions, edit-

ed by W. von Oertzen, Lecture Notes in Physics Vol. 178 (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983), p. 32.

¹¹F. Beck *et al.*, Z. Phys. A **319**, 119 (1984), and references therein.

¹²R. D. Fischer *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **171**, 33 (1986).

¹³W. Reisdorf, Z. Phys. A **300**, 227 (1981).

- ¹⁴N. Bohr, Nature (London) **137**, 344 (1936).
- ¹⁵A. Gavron et al., Phys. Rev. C 27, 450 (1983).