## Observation of a Narrow $K\overline{K}$ State in $J/\psi$ Radiative Decays

R. M. Baltrusaitis, J. J. Becker, G. T. Blaylock, T. Bolton, J. S. Brown, K. O. Bunnell, T. H. Burnett,
R. E. Cassell, D. Coffman, V. Cook, D. H. Coward, H. Cui, S. Dado, C. Del Papa, D. E. Dorfan,
G. P. Dubois, A. L. Duncan, G. Eigen, K. F. Einsweiler, B. I. Eisenstein, R. Fabrizio, D. Favart,
G. Gladding, F. Grancagnolo, A. D. Guy, R. P. Hamilton, J. Hauser, C. A. Heusch, D. G. Hitlin,
L. Köpke, W. S. Lockman, U. Mallik, C. G. Matthews, P. M. Mockett, L. Moss, R. F. Mozley,
A. Nappi, B. Nemati, A. Odian, R. Partridge, J. Perrier, S. A. Plaetzer, J. D. Richman, J. Roehrig,
J. J. Russell, H. F. W. Sadrozinski, M. Scarlatella, T. L. Schalk, R. H. Schindler, A. Seiden,
C. Simopoulos, J. C. Sleeman, A. L. Spadafora, I. E. Stockdale, J. J. Thaler, B. Tripsas, W. Toki,
Y. Unno, F. Villa, S. Wasserbaech, A. Wattenberg, A. J. Weinstein, N. Wermes, H. J. Willutzki,
D. Wisinski, W. J. Wisniewski, G. Wolf, R. Xu, and Y. Zhu

(The Mark III Collaboration)

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125 University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064 University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, Illinois 61801 Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, California 94305 University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

(Received 7 October 1985)

Evidence is presented for a narrow state, called  $\xi$ , in the decay modes  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \xi$ ,  $\xi \rightarrow K^+ K^$ and  $\xi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$ . In the  $K^+ K^-$  mode, the  $\xi$  has a mass of 2.230 ±0.006 ±0.014 GeV/ $c^2$ , a width of  $\Gamma = 0.026 \pm 0.017 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ , a product branching ratio of  $(4.2 \pm 1.7 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$ , and a statistical significance of  $\sim 4.5$  standard deviations. In the  $K_S^0 K_S^0$  mode, it has a mass of 2.232 ±0.007 ±0.007 GeV/ $c^2$ , a width of  $\Gamma = 0.018 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.010$  GeV/ $c^2$ , a product branching ratio of  $(3.1 \pm 1.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}$ , and a statistical significance of  $\sim 3.6$  standard deviations. Limits on  $\xi$  decay to other final states are presented.

PACS numbers: 14.40.Cs, 13.20.Gd, 13.25.+m

In an ongoing study of  $5.8 \times 10^6 J/\psi$  decays, the Mark III experiment at the SLAC  $e^+e^-$  storage ring experiment at SPEAR has observed a new narrow state in the radiative decays to  $K^+K^-$  and  $K_S^0K_S^0$ . Limits on the branching ratios of this state into six other final states have also been determined.

The Mark III is a general-purpose solenoidal magnetic spectrometer<sup>1</sup> installed at SPEAR. The detector features relevant to the analysis reported in this paper are the following: a central cylindrical drift chamber consisting of 34 wire planes in a 0.4-T magnetic field which provides a momentum resolution of  $\delta p/p = 1.5\%(1+p^2)^{1/2}$ , where p is in GeV/c; a set of 48 axial time-of-flight (TOF) counters covering 0.80 of  $4\pi$  sr, which have a resolution of 191 ps; and a 12-radiation-length gas-sampling calorimeter, located within the magnet coil and covering 0.95 of  $4\pi$  sr, which has a resolution of  $\delta E/E = 17\%/\sqrt{E}$ , where E is in gigaelectronvolts. The detector performance varied over the separate data sets<sup>2</sup> which comprise the full sample.

The  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$  events are selected by the requirement of two oppositely charged tracks, each having TOF information, and one or more detected photons. In order to distinguish between pions and kaons, the sum of the measured flight times of the two charged tracks is required to be at least 100 ps greater than the mean of the times predicted for kaon and pion hypotheses:  $\frac{1}{2} \times (t_{\pi^+} + t_{K^+} + t_{\pi^-} + t_{K^-})$ . This requirement discriminates against backgrounds from  $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^- +$  neutrals and  $J/\psi \rightarrow e^+e^- +$  neutrals, while selecting events of the type  $J/\psi$  $\rightarrow K^+K^- +$  neutrals.

Radiative  $e^+e^-$  events are suppressed by the removal of candidates in which each charged track has at least 1 GeV of shower-counter energy. Backgrounds from  $J/\psi \rightarrow \rho \pi$  and  $K^{*\pm}(892)K^{\mp}$  are suppressed by the kinematic fitting of events that have more than one photon by the hypotheses  $J/\psi \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-\gamma\gamma$  and  $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\gamma\gamma$ . Those events whose fitted  $\gamma\gamma$  invariant mass is within 50 MeV/ $c^2$  of the  $\pi^0$  mass, and have a fit  $\chi^2$  probability of  $P(\chi^2) > 0.02$ , are rejected. The remaining events are fitted by the hypothesis  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+K^-$  with use of the highest-energy photon and are required to have  $P(\chi^2) > 0.02$ . This procedure improves the  $K^+K^-$  mass resolution by a factor of 3 at 2.2 GeV/ $c^2$  and provides additional background rejection.

The resulting  $K^+K^-$  mass distribution is shown in Fig. 1(a). The f'(1525) and  $\theta(1690)$  states are visible, as is a new narrow structure near 2.2 GeV/ $c^2$ , which we call  $\xi(2230)$ . An important background above 2 GeV/ $c^2$  arises from  $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+K^-\pi^0$  in which the  $\pi^0$  decays asymmetrically and one photon is not



FIG. 1.  $K\overline{K}$  invariant-mass distribution for the full sample of  $5.8 \times 10^6 J/\psi$  for (a) the  $K^+K^-$  final state and for (b) the  $K_S^0K_S^0$  final state, where the four-pion background is shown crosshatched. Fits to the 1.9–2.6-GeV/ $c^2$  mass region are displayed in the insets.

detected. Such events cannot be distinguished kinematically from  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K^+ K^-$  signal events. The  $J/\psi \rightarrow K^+ K^- \pi^0$  final state, which is dominated by the  $K^* {}^{\pm} K^{\mp}$  intermediate state, produces a smooth distribution of events throughout the 1.9-2.6-Gev/ $c^2$ mass region. The Dalitz plot is shown in Fig. 2(a), where diagonal bands corresponding to the f' and  $\theta$  are visible. Two  $K^*{}^{\pm}$  bands are evident and  $e^+e^-\gamma$ events appear at the boundary.

In order to check that the  $\xi$  signal events do not arise from  $K^* {}^{\pm}K^{\mp}$  or  $e^+e^-\gamma$  backgrounds, events having 0.7  $(\text{GeV}/c^2)^2 < M_{K\gamma}^2 < 0.9 \ (\text{GeV}/c^2)^2$  or



FIG. 2. Dalitz plots for (a) the  $K^+K^-$  channel and for (b) the  $K_S^0K_S^0$  channel.

 $|\cos(\theta_{K\gamma})| > 0.99$ , in the center-of-mass frame of the charged-particle pair, have been removed. Each of these cuts reduces the number of signal events by 12%; the significance of the signal does not vary appreciably. Since these criteria bias the acceptance against higher-spin states, they have not been applied in the determination of the  $\xi$  parameters. As a further check, the  $\xi$  is observed in the  $K^+K^-$  mass distribution of the first data set, <sup>3</sup> Fig. 3(a), and it is confirmed in the second data set, Fig. 3(b), with comparable statistical significance.

For the combined data set, an unbinned maximumlikelihood fit is performed in the 1.9-2.6-GeV/ $c^2$  mass region to extract the mass and the width of the  $\xi$ . This fit includes a smooth background plus a Breit-Wigner resonance convoluted with a Gaussian resolution function. The mass resolution as determined by Monte Carlo simulation is 10 MeV/ $c^2$ . The results of the fit, which is displayed in the inset in Fig. 1(a), are

$$m(\xi) = 2.230 \pm 0.006 \pm 0.014 \text{ GeV}/c^2,$$
  

$$\Gamma(\xi) = 0.026 \pm 0.017 \text{ GeV}/c^2,$$

where the first error is statistical and the second systematic. The systematic error includes an uncertainty due to the background shape as well as a contribution



FIG. 3.  $K^+K^-$  invariant-mass distributions for (a) the  $2.7 \times 10^6 J/\psi$  sample and for (b) the  $3.1 \times 10^6$  sample.

for unresolved discrepancies in the mass scale.<sup>4</sup> The number of events in the signal, as determined by the



FIG. 4. The negative of the logarithm of the likelihood values obtained from the fitting procedure described in the text plotted as a function of the branching ratios for the decays  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \xi$ ,  $\xi \rightarrow K^+ K^-$  (solid curve) and  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \xi$ ,  $\xi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0$  (dashed curve). The curves are normalized to give negative-log-likelihood values of zero for the most probable values of the branching ratios. The significances obtained from the negative-log-likelihood values at zero branching ratios are 3.6 and 4.5 s.d. for the  $K_S^0 K_S^0$  and  $K^+ K^-$  channels, respectively.

fit, is 93. The significance of the signal, determined by comparing the likelihood for the fit described above with that obtained for a fit containing no signal, is found to be 4.5 standard deviations (s.d.). Other choices of background parametrization lead to fits in which the statistical significance of the  $\xi$  signal varies from 3.9 to 5.8 s.d. A detection efficiency<sup>5</sup> of 0.38 is determined by Monte Carlo simulation. This efficiency varies smoothly by less than 20% in the 1–3-GeV/ $c^2$  mass region. The resulting product branching ratio is

$$B(J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \xi)B(\xi \rightarrow K^+K^-) = (4.2^{+1.7}_{-1.4} \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-5}$$

where the first error is statistical and the second is the systematic error due to the fit procedure, event selection criteria, and flux determination. The likelihood function used in determining the branching ratio is displayed in Fig. 4.

The  $K_S^0 K_S^0$  final state, examined in the  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$  channel, contains little background since the direct decays  $J/\psi \rightarrow K_S^0 K_S^0 \pi^0$  and  $K_S^0 K_S^0$  are forbidden by C parity. The  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$  events are selected by kinematic fits with the hypothesis  $J/\psi \rightarrow \gamma \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-$ . Pion momenta are calculated at the  $K_S^0$  decay vertex. Events with  $P(\chi^2) > 0.01$  and

$$(m_{\pi_1^+\pi_1^-} - 0.497 \text{ GeV}/c^2)^2 + (m_{\pi_2^+\pi_2^-} - 0.497 \text{ GeV}/c^2)^2 < (0.020 \text{ GeV}/c^2)^2$$

are retained. The resulting mass distribution is shown in Fig. 1(b); the Dalitz plot is shown in Fig. 2(b). The  $K_S^0 K_S^0$  mass distribution again shows evidence for the f' and the  $\theta$ , as well as the  $\xi$  which appears above a broad structure. The non- $K_S^0 K_S^0$  background is estimated by selection of events with

$$(0.028 \text{ GeV}/c^2)^2 < (m_{\pi_1^+\pi_1^-} - 0.497 \text{ GeV}/c^2)^2 + (m_{\pi_2^+\pi_2^-} - 0.497 \text{ GeV}/c^2)^2 < (0.035 \text{ GeV}/c^2)^2,$$

a region in the  $(m_{\pi_1^+\pi_1^-}, m_{\pi_2^+\pi_2^-})$  plane that has an area equal to that of the signal region. This background is shown crosshatched in Fig. 1(b); there are fourteen background events in the 1.9-2.6-GeV/c<sup>2</sup> mass region. The  $K_s^0$  mass resolution, 4.8 MeV/c<sup>2</sup>, and the observed  $K_s^0$  decay-length distribution are well reproduced by the Monte Carlo simulation. A fit is performed to the 1.9-2.6-GeV/c<sup>2</sup> mass region by use of a smooth background plus a Breit-Wigner resonance convoluted with a Gaussian resolution function with the Monte Carlo mass resolu-

TABLE I. Limits on the product branching ratios of the  $\xi$  to other final states. The full data sample is used to obtain the  $\mu^+\mu^-$  limit. All other limits are based on a sample of  $2.7 \times 10^6$  produced  $J/\psi$ . All limits are set with the use of  $\Gamma_{\xi} = 0.015 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  except for the  $\mu^+\mu^-$ , where a width of zero is used. The limits are 90% C.L.

Final state	$B(J/\psi \to \gamma \xi)B(\xi \to X)$
${\xi \to \mu^+ \mu^-}$	< 5×10 <sup>-6</sup>
$\xi \rightarrow \pi \pi$	$< 2 \times 10^{-5}$
$\xi \rightarrow K^* K$	$< 2.5 \times 10^{-4}$
$\xi \to K^* \overline{K}^*$	$< 3 \times 10^{-4}$
$\xi \rightarrow \eta \eta$	$< 7 \times 10^{-5}$
$\xi - p\overline{p}$	$< 2 \times 10^{-5}$

tion of 10 MeV/ $c^2$ . The fit yields the following parameters for the  $\xi$ :

$$m(\xi) = 2.232 \pm 0.007 \pm 0.007 \text{ GeV}/c^2,$$
  

$$\Gamma(\xi) = 0.018^{+0.023}_{-0.015} \pm 0.010 \text{ GeV}/c^2.$$

The number of events in the signal, as determined by the fit, is 23. The statistical significance of this fit is 3.6 s.d. For other background parametrizations the significance varies from 3.0 to 4.7 s.d. The fit is displayed in the inset in Fig. 1(b). The  $\gamma K_S^0 K_S^0$  detection efficiency<sup>5</sup> is 0.13, yielding the product branching ratio

$$B(J/\psi \to \gamma\xi)B(\xi \to K_S^0 K_S^0) = (3.1^{+1.6}_{-1.6} \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-5}.$$

The likelihood function used in determining the branching ratio is shown in Fig. 4.

Searches for additional decay modes of the  $\xi$  have been performed.<sup>3</sup> No significant signals are seen. The results are summarized in Table I, where corrections for unobserved decay modes are made under the assumption that the isospin of the  $\xi$  is zero.

In summary, we have observed a new narrow state, the  $\xi$ , in two decay modes  $K^+K^-$  and  $K_S^0K_S^0$ . The ratio of branching fractions for these modes, 1.3  $\pm 0.8 \pm 0.4$ , is consistent with the value 2 expected for an isoscalar meson. The allowed quantum numbers for this neutral state are  $J^{PC} = (\text{even})^{++}$ . Limits have been set on six additional decay modes. Production limits for the  $\xi$  in Y decays have also been set at the Cornell Electron Storage Ring.<sup>6</sup> Theoretical interpretations of the  $\xi$ , based on preliminary versions of the data reported here,<sup>3</sup> include its possible identification as a Higgs boson,<sup>7</sup> a glueball or hybrid state,<sup>8</sup> and a high-spin  $q\bar{q}$  meson,<sup>9</sup> among others.<sup>10</sup>

We wish to acknowledge the contributions of the SPEAR staff to the success of the Mark III program. Two of us (N.W. and G.E.) wish to thank the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for support. This work was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation and the U.S. Department of Energy under Contracts No. DE-AC03-76SF00515, No. DE-AC02-76ER01195, No. DE-AC03-81ER40050, and No. DE-AM03-76SF00034.

<sup>1</sup>D. Bernstein *et al.*, Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A **226**, 301 (1984).

<sup>2</sup>The data were acquired in sets of  $2.7 \times 10^6$  and  $3.1 \times 10^6$ produced  $J/\psi$ . The TOF resolution on hadrons is 191 ps for the first data set. The resolution is 222 ps for the second data set. The decrease in TOF resolution is due to counter degradation and to an increase in the beam bunch length. These resolutions provide  $2\sigma K \cdot \pi$  separation up to 1.2 and 1.1 Gev/c, respectively. The outermost three planes of the drift chamber were inoperative for the final  $2.3 \times 10^6 J/\psi$ collected, degrading the momentum resolution for highmomentum tracks by 25% for this portion of the second data set. For a detailed description of the  $J/\psi$  flux determination see R. M. Baltrusaitis *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 566 (1985).

<sup>3</sup>K. F. Einsweiler, Ph.D thesis, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center Report No. SLAC-272, 1984 (unpublished).

<sup>4</sup>The systematic errors in the mass and the width due to uncertainties in the background shape are 7 and 14 MeV/ $c^2$ , respectively. The discrepancy in the mass between the data sets is inferred from  $J/\psi \rightarrow p\bar{p}$  and  $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-$ . The uncertainties in mass and width due to this difference are 7 and 3 MeV/ $c^2$ , respectively.

<sup>5</sup>The detection efficiency for both  $K^+K^-$  and  $K_S^0K_S^0$  is insensitive to the production and decay parameters of the parent state. Three models were tested: spin 0, and two spin-2 models in which the measured f' helicity amplitude ratios (Ref. 3) (x = 0.65, y = 0.17) and the  $\theta$  helicity ratios (x = -1.07, y = -1.09) were used. The relative acceptances differed by less than 3%.

<sup>6</sup>S. Behrends *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **137B**, 277 (1984); S. Yousseff *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **139B**, 332 (1984).

<sup>7</sup>H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, Phys. Lett. **135B**, 196 (1984); R. S. Willey, Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 585 (1984); R. M. Barnett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **30**, 1529 (1984).

<sup>8</sup>M. Chanowitz and S. R. Sharpe, Phys. Lett. **132B**, 413 (1983); B. F. L. Ward, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 2849 (1985).

<sup>9</sup>S. Godfrey *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **141B**, 439 (1984).

<sup>10</sup>M. Shatz, Phys. Lett **138B**, 209 (1984); S. Pakvasa *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **145B**, 134 (1984); S. Pakvasa *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **31**, 2378 (1985).