## A Dependence of the Inclusive Production of Hadrons with High Transverse Momenta

Y. B. Hsiung, <sup>(3)</sup> Y. Sakai, <sup>(6), (a)</sup> J. A. Crittenden, <sup>(3)</sup> M. R. Adams, <sup>(7)</sup> C. N. Brown, <sup>(4)</sup> G. Charpak, <sup>(2)</sup> S. Childress, <sup>(4)</sup> G. Coutrakon, <sup>(7), (b)</sup> D. A. Finley, <sup>(4)</sup> H. D. Glass, <sup>(7)</sup> R. Gray, <sup>(8)</sup> Y. Hemmi, <sup>(6)</sup> J. R. Hubbard, <sup>(1)</sup> A. S. Ito, <sup>(4)</sup> D. E. Jaffe, <sup>(7)</sup> A. M. Jonckheere, <sup>(4)</sup> H. Jöstlein, <sup>(4)</sup> D. M. Kaplan, J. Kirz,<sup>(7)</sup> L. M. Lederman,<sup>(4)</sup> A. Maki,<sup>(5)</sup> Ph. Mangeot,<sup>(1)</sup> R. L. McCarthy,<sup>(7)</sup> K. Miyake, T. Nakamura,  $^{(6)}$  R. Orava,  $^{(4),(d)}$  A. Peisert,  $^{(1),(e)}$  R. E. Plaag,  $^{(8)}$  J. E. Rothberg,  $^{(8)}$  J. P. Rutherfoord, <sup>(8)</sup> F. Sauli, <sup>(2)</sup> S. R. Smith, <sup>(4), (f)</sup> K. Sugano, <sup>(4), (g)</sup> K. Ueno, <sup>(4), (h)</sup> and K. K. Young<sup>(8)</sup>

 $t^{(1)}$ CEN Saclay, Gif-sur-Yvette, France  $^{(2)}CERN$ , Geneva, Switzerland

 $^{(3)}$ Columbia University, New York, New York 10027  $(4)$ Fermilab, Batavia, Illinois 60510  $(KEK, Ibaraki-ken, Japan 305)$  $^{(6)}$ Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan 606  $t^{(7)}$ State University of New York, Stony Brook, New York 11794 <sup>(8)</sup> University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98185 (Received 29 April 1985)

We present new data on the  $\Lambda$  dependence of the inclusive production of high-transversemomentum hadrons, both singles and symmetric pairs. These data qualitatively support the hypothesis that the observed  $\vec{A}$  dependence results from multiple scattering of quarks and gluons within the target nucleus.

PACS numbers: 13.85.Ni

Approximately ten years ago Antreasyan et al., a  $Chicago-Princeton$  collaboration,<sup>1</sup> (CP) found that single-hadron production at large transverse momentum  $(p_T)$  varies as  $A^{\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 1$ . Here A is the number of nucleons (atomic weight) in the target nucleus. Early explanations of this collective effect were unsuccessful, partly because of the strong dependence observed on the quantum numbers of the final hadron. Multiple scattering at the quark level, however, can cause a strong quantum-number dependence,<sup>2</sup> and recent detailed calculations<sup>3</sup> using constituent multiple scattering have proved reasonably successful in reproducing the observed  $A$  dependence of single mesons and symmetric meson pairs. <sup>4</sup>

We present here single-hadron and symmetric-hadron-pair data. Our apparatus (Fig. 1) is a magnetic pair spectrometer<sup>5</sup> using drift chambers and multiwire proportional chambers to track particles over an aperture covering approximately 0.<sup>5</sup> sr near 90' in the protonnucleon center-of-momentum frame. A calorimeter<sup>6</sup> was used to reject background and trigger the experiment on hadrons. A ring-imaging Cherenkov counter $5$ was used to identify these hadrons up to momenta of 200 GeV/c over half of the spectrometer aperture. Data were read out by the Nevis Data Transport Sys $tem<sup>7</sup>$  and recorded on magnetic tape. Cross sections from these data will be presented elsewhere.<sup>8</sup>

We used the  $400$ -GeV/c primary proton beam at an intensity of typically  $5 \times 10^9$  p/s incident on the three targets described in Table I. After traversing the target, noninteracting beam protons and low- $p_T$  secondaries were buried in a dump located in our upstream spectrometer magnet. Positive and negative hadrons

with large  $p_T$  were accepted simultaneously, above and below the beam dump. The upstream magnet deflected the remaining low- $p_T$  charged particles out of the aperture and focused the particles of interest onto our detector. The dump was configured so that neutral particles originating in the target could not exit from the upstream magnet.

The dominant singles rates were caused by lowenergy photons generated presumably by  $\pi^0$ -initiated showers in the coils of the upstream magnet. Resulting chamber rates were high (up to 50 MHz per plane) but, through the use of heavy redundancy, tracks were cleanly reconstructed in the presence of our uncorrelated photon background. Each hadron track was defined by 29 projective measurements (18 wirechamber measurements, 5 scintillation-counter measurements, and 6 calorimeter measurements) in addition to its ring-imaging Cherenkov measurements. Comparison of track momentum (measured with our downstream magnet) to track energy (measured by our calorimeter) indicates that spurious tracks are not present in our data (less than 1% of the sample). This statement is independent of the hadron's  $p_T$ .

The efficiency of each component of our system was measured by observation of the fraction of tracks reconstructed with the component missing. On average, 15.5 of 18 chambers recorded a hit along a given track, whereas our reconstruction algorithm requires a minimum of 12 hits. Our reconstruction efficiency was calculated with use of the measured efficiency of each element in the reconstruction algorithm. This calculation was checked by a Monte Carlo calculation which indicated that correlations among the chamber



FIG. 1. Layout of the experimental apparatus.

inefficiencies are negligible. The reconstruction efficiency remained adequate while the data discussed here were recorded (typically 95% per track). Particle vields have been corrected for reconstruction inefficiency on a run-by-run basis.

We recorded events which exceeded a calorimeterpulse-height threshold. The efficiency of this trigger requirement was monitored as a function of track position and momentum by use of prescaled hadron events triggered with a lower threshold. The energy deposited in horizontal segments of the calorimeter was recorded for each track by analog-to-digital converters. This information was used to calculate the calorimeter trigger efficiency for each event. We have performed the analysis presented here requiring various minimum values of this trigger efficiency. Our results are independent of this minimum value as it ranges from 50% to 95%. Hence we conclude that any target dependence of our trigger efficiency was sufficiently small that it does not affect these results.

The rate of incident protons interacting in the target was monitored by a four-counter telescope oriented at 90° in the laboratory frame with respect to the incident beam. The calibration of the relative number of monitor counts expected per incident proton on each target was carried out with use of a secondary emission monitor to normalize beam profile scans. Uncertainties in this procedure affect all  $\alpha$  measurements presented here by less than  $\pm 0.03$  (limit of error). Since this uncertainty does not affect point-to-point comparisons (it shifts all measurements of  $\alpha$  by the same amount), we do not include it in the error bars shown below. Data were taken in cycles of the three targets, with a run on each target lasting approximately 1 h.

The three targets have slightly different acceptances and resolutions in  $p_T$  because of their differing lengths and multiple scattering properties. We have simulated these differences via Monte Carlo methods. Resulting corrections to  $\alpha$ , included below, are generally smaller than  $0.01$  in magnitude, but become as large as  $0.02$ 

Material	Density $(g/cm^3)$	Length (c <sub>m</sub> )	Height (mm)		Integrated luminosity	
				A	Per nucleon $(10^{39}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> )	Per nucleus $(10^{41}$ cm <sup>-2</sup> )
Be	1.85	10.18	0.996	9.01	0.222	2.46
Cu	8.96	2.578	0.914	63.54	0.317	0.499
W	19.3	1.306	1.059	183.8	0.276	0.150

TABLE I. Targets.



FIG. 2. The power  $\alpha$  of the A dependence vs  $p_T$  for (a)  $\pi^+$ , (b)  $K^+$ , and (c)  $h^+$  (all positive hadrons). The curves are from Ref. 3.

near the edges of our acceptance for the bins shown.

The data from the three targets are consistent with the form yield/(luminosity per nucleus) = const $\times A^{\alpha}$ . In Fig. 2 we show measurements of  $\alpha$  for  $\pi^{+}$  and  $K^{+}$ production, compared to earlier Chicago-Princeton measurements<sup>1</sup> and the calculation of Lev and<br>Petersson.<sup>3</sup> Their constituent-multiple-scattering Their constituent-multiple-scattering (CMS) model does show a rise in  $\alpha$  as  $p_T$  varies from 2 to 4 GeV/c (to an  $\alpha$  value which is affected by regularization of singularities<sup>3</sup>) but does not show the drop suggested by the CP data near  $p_T = 6$  GeV/c. A drop in high  $p_T$  seems difficult to reconcile with a CMS explanation.<sup>9</sup> In Fig. 2(c), in order to bring as much information as possible to bear on this point, we show  $\alpha$ .



FIG. 3. The power  $\alpha$  of the A dependence vs mass for  $h+h^-$ , unlike-sign dihadrons. All data shown were taken with  $400$ -GeV/c protons incident except those from Ref. 13  $(70\text{-}GeV/c)$ .

for all positive<sup>10</sup> single hadrons, comparing our results to those of  $\mathbb{CP}^{11}$  and those of McCarthy et al.<sup>4</sup> Our data show little or no drop in  $\alpha$  near  $p_T = 6$  GeV/c. The error bars represent the total point-to-point rms errors. Note that our systematic normalization uncertainty quoted above and indicated in Fig. 2(c) could improve the agreement between our results and the CP results to the point of marginal consistency but will not change the shape of our  $\alpha$ -vs- $p_T$  curve since we measure the yields from each target at all  $p<sub>T</sub>$  values simultaneously (unlike CP) .

In Fig. 3 we plot  $\alpha$  for  $h+h^-$  pairs (without regard to particle type) versus mass, in comparison to data From Ref. 4, Finley et al.,<sup>12</sup> and a recent experiment by Abramov et  $al$ <sup>13</sup> All data shown, with the exception of those from Ref. 12, are consistent with  $\alpha = 1$  for hadron pairs (summed over net  $p_T$ ). This result is expected within the CMS model<sup>3</sup> and indicates that symmetric pairs (which dominate the present data) result from single hard constituent collisions. A single collision tends to produce a hadron pair with a net  $p_T$  near 0 since the net  $p<sub>T</sub>$  of the pair is limited by the  $p<sub>T</sub>$ 's of



FIG. 4. The power  $\alpha$  of the A dependence vs  $p_{\text{out}}$  for  $h<sup>+</sup> h<sup>-</sup>$ , unlike-sign dihadrons.

the incident constituents.

For fixed mass, the CMS picture<sup>3</sup> expects  $\alpha$  for  $h+h^-$  pairs to rise versus net  $p_T$  as the pair enters the region which can be most easily reached by multiple scattering. The present data are limited in net  $p_T$  by the acceptance of our upstream magnet. Hence we cannot verify the rise versus net  $p_T$  previously observed by McCarthy et al. (who used two separate spectrometers). However, if we define  $p_{\text{out}}$  for a pair as the component of the lower- $p_T$  hadron's momentum perpendicular to both the beam and the higher- $p_T$ hadron's momentum, we see in Fig. 4 that  $\alpha$  does appear to rise as  $p_{\text{out}}$  increases. We expect such a rise<sup>1</sup> within the CMS picture, because of the limited  $p_T$ 's of the incident constituents mentioned above.

In summary, we have made three measurements which qualitatively support the constituent-multiplescattering model of the A dependence of hadron production at high  $p_T$ :  $\alpha$  for single hadrons shows little or no drop near  $p_T = 6$  GeV/c, while  $\alpha$  for pairs is on average consistent with 1 but rises with  $p_{\text{out}}$ .

We gratefully acknowledge the many hours of dedicated work given to this research effort by support staff from our collaborating institutions and Fermilab. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation, the Department of Energy, the Commissariat a l'Energie Atomique, and the U.S.-Japan agreement in High-Energy Physics.

(e)Now at Max-Planck-Institute, D-8 Munchen-40, Federal Republic of Germany.

 $^{(f)}$ Now at Columbia University, New York, N.Y. 10027.

 $({\bf g})$ Now at Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Ill. 60439.

 $(h)$  Now at University of Rochester, Rochester, N.Y. 14627.

<sup>1</sup>D. Antreasyan *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D 19, 764 (1979). References to prior work are given in this paper.

<sup>2</sup>A. Krzywicki et al., Phys. Lett. 85B, 407 (1979).

3M. Lev and B. Petersson, Z. Phys. C 21, 155 (1983).

4R. L. McCarthy et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 213 (1978).

<sup>5</sup>M. Adams et al., Nucl. Instrum. Methods 217, 237 (1983).

<sup>6</sup>Y. Sakai, Mem. Fac. Sci., Kyoto Univ., Ser. Phys., Astrophys. , Geophys. Chem. 36, 401 (1985).

<sup>7</sup>J. A. Crittenden et al., IEEE Trans. Nucl. Sci. 31, 1028 (1984).

**J. A. Crittenden** et al., to be published. See also Y. Sakai, Ph.D. thesis, Kyoto University, 1984 (unpublished); Y. B. Hsiung, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University, 1985 (unpublished); and J. A. Crittenden, Ph.D. thesis, Columbia University, 1985 (unpublished).

<sup>9</sup>A slow decrease in  $\alpha$  is predicted on the basis of the modification of structure functions in nuclei (discovered by the European Muon Collaboration) by T. Ochiai, S. Date, and H. Sumiyoshi, Rikkyo University Report No. RUP-85-4 (to be published).

<sup>10</sup>These data were taken during our test run, during which the primary beam hit our target at an angle with respect to the spectrometer axis, favoring the acceptance of positive hadrons. The statistical precision of our negative hadron data is poor compared to the precision of our positive data.

<sup>11</sup>We use  $\alpha$  values and particle ratios quoted in Ref. 1 to calculate the CP  $\alpha$  values for  $h^+$  shown in Fig. 2(c).

 $12D$ . A. Finley et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 42, 1031 (1979).

 $13V$ . V. Abramov et al., Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 38, 296 (1983) [JETP Lett. 38, 352 (1983)].

<sup>14</sup>A value of  $\alpha < 1$  at  $p_{\text{out}} = 0$ , as favored by our data, is not expected in Ref. 3. This aspect of our data may favor Ref. 9.

<sup>(</sup>a) Now at KEK, Ibaraki-ken, Japan 305.

<sup>(</sup>b) Now at Fermilab, Batavia, Ill. 60510.

<sup>~&#</sup>x27;)Now at Florida State University, Tallahassee, Fla. 32306.

 $^{(d)}$ Now at University of Helsinki, SF-00170, Helsinki-17, Finland.