Nuclear Magnetic Moment of 2o7Tl

R. Neugart

Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Federal Republic of Germany

H. H. Stroke $^(a)$ </sup>

Department of Physics, New York University, New York, New York 10003

and

S. A. Ahmad, $^{(b)}$ H. T. Duong, $^{(c)}$ H. L. Ravn, and K. Wendt CERN, Geneva, Switzerland (Received 23 July 1985)

The magnetic moment $1.876(5)\mu_N$ of 4.77-min ²⁰⁷Tl, the only heavy nucleus with a doubly magic core plus a single $s_{1/2}$ particle or hole, was measured from the hfs by collinear fast-beam laser spectroscopy at ISOLDE (isotope separator at the CERN synchrotron). The result is of theoretical importance as a test case for core polarization since the nuclear structure is relatively simple and the orbital part of the magnetic moment, including strong pion-exchange contribution, is expected to be zero.

PACS numbers: 21.10.Ky, 21.60.Cs, 27.80.+w, 31.30,Gs

Magnetic moments, along with $M1$ transition probabilities, of nuclei with closed shells of protons and neutrons \pm one nucleon provide relatively clean tests for theories of nuclear magnetism. For these nuclei, the effective operator can be written $as¹$

$$
\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\rm eff} = (g_s + \delta g_s) \mathbf{s} + (g_l + \delta g_l) \mathbf{1} + g_p [\mathbf{s} \times \mathbf{Y}^2]^{(1)}.
$$
 (1)

The spin and orbital gyromagnetic ratios g_s and g_l are the free-nucleon values; δg_s and δg_l are caused by both core polarization and meson exchange. The last term, arising from the dipole-dipole interaction, is a rank-one tensor product of the spherical harmonic of order two, Y^2 , and the spin operator. The influence of core polarization has been studied beginning with the work of Blin-Stoyle, Arima, and Horie, $²$ and that of</sup> meson exchange with the work of Miyazawa.³ The latter has been discussed extensively more recently.⁴ The extreme cases of doubly magic nuclei plus a single particle or hole that has either a large or a zero orbital angular momentum can distinguish the separate contributions δg_l and δg_s . This was brought to light in the case of $l = 5$ for ²⁰⁹Bi (single proton in $h_{9/2}$ orbit), where the pion-exchange contribution with $\delta g_l \approx 0.1$ largely explained the experimental magnetic moment 4.11 μ_N , the Schmidt limit contributing 2.62 μ_N , and core polarization⁵ (first-order configuration mixing), $0.79\mu_N$, accounting for most of δg_s . For $l=0$, it is seen from (1) that the orbital-moment contribution vanishes. The tensor term is also zero. 4 For this case Arima' emphasized the necessity of measuring the magnetic moment of 207 Tl, the only known isotope, except ${}^{3}H$ and ${}^{3}He$, which is doubly magic with the one particle or hole in an s ground state. Its moment has been deduced indirectly from the $(\pi 3s_{1/2}^{-1})$

 $\nu 3p_{1/2}^{-1}$)1⁻ \rightarrow 0⁻ transition rate⁶ in ²⁰⁶Tl, and from a decomposition⁷ of the g value of the $5⁻$ level in ²⁰⁶Hg which is predominantly $\pi (3s_{1/2}^{-1} 1h_{11/2}^{-1})$. Here we report a direct measurement from the atomic hfs spectrum.

The major experimental problem for an atomic hfs measurement is production of a sufficient quantity of this 4.77-min radioisotope and preparation of a sample of free atoms suitable for spectroscopy. While this might be done "off-line"⁸ from a decay chain starting at 227 Ac, a more effective way was sought by "online" work at ISOLDE (isotope separator at the CERN synchrocyclotron). The direct spallation yield of thallium isotopes from uranium or thorium targets is $low⁹$ and has its maximum of about 10^6 atoms/s around mass number 190. On the other hand, 207 Tl might be accessible via the decay chain

²¹⁹Fr (21 ms)
$$
\xrightarrow{\alpha}
$$
 ²¹⁵At (0.1 ms)
 $\xrightarrow{\alpha}$ ²¹¹Bi (2.17 min) $\xrightarrow{\alpha (84\%)}$ ²⁰⁷T1 (4.77 min),

provided that the mother products remained within the target during their half-lives. With an estimated ^{219}Fr production of 10^9 atoms/s in the 2- μ A 600-MeV proton bombardment of a $55-g/cm^2$ ThC₂ target, we obtained a 207 Tl flux of about 10^8 atoms/s, which is largely sufficient for measurements with the use of collinear laser fast-beam spectroscopy. 10

We measured the hyperfine spectrum of 207 Tl and of the stable isotopes, ²⁰³Tl and ²⁰⁵Tl (and incidentally¹¹ observed ¹⁹³Tl,¹⁹⁹Tl,¹⁹⁹Tl), in the $6p^2P_{3/2} \rightarrow 7s^2S_{1/2}$ 535.0-nm transition (Fig. 1). We used a Coherent model 599 laser in which the rhodamine-110 dye was

FIG. 1. Atomic levels and hfs in the thallium 535.0-nm line for isotopes with $I = \frac{1}{2}$. F is the total angular momentum quantum number. A and A' denote the magnetic dipole hfs interaction constants in the ${}^{2}S_{1/2}$ and ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ states. The hfs splittings are $\Delta \nu = A$ and $\Delta \nu' = 2A'$. Relative intensities of the components are indicated. The dashed lines represent the centers of gravity of the levels.

pumped by the green rays of an argon-ion laser. The 60-keV thallium-ion beam, which originates in the surface ionization process on hot tungsten in the ion source, is neutralized in a sodium charge-exchange cell, leaving most of the atoms in the metastable ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ state. Following resonant interaction with the laser radiation, exciting the metastable atoms to the ${}^{2}S_{1/2}$ state, the 377.6-nm 7s²S_{1/2}-6p²P_{1/2} fluorescence is detected. Appropriate filters reject the 535.0-nm laser excitation light. Light pipes to the photomultipliers, incorporated in prior experiments,¹² but not usable in the near uv, were removed without substantial loss of collection efficiency. A trace obtained of the hfs of 207 Tl is shown in Fig. 2. From the measurements we obtain the hfs intervals and isotope shifts given in Tables I and II. The uncertainties quoted include ¹ standard deviation of the statistical errors and a sys-

TABLE I. hfs intervals, Δv , in the 535.0-nm transition. Values are in megahertz. Old spectroscopic results are converted by 0.001 cm⁻¹ = 29.9709 MHz for air at 20 °C and 1 atm.

Isotope	$\Delta\nu(^2S_{1/2})$	$\Delta \nu ({}^{2}P_{3/2})$
203	$12172(6)^a$	$524.5(1.5)^a$
	$12222(42)^{b}$	524.0601(2) ^d
	$12180(-15)^c$	
205	$12284(6)^a$	$529.9(1.5)^a$
	$12315(36)^{b}$	530.0766(2) ^d
	$12288(-15)^c$	
207	$14070(7)^a$	$607.5(3.7)^a$

'Laser spectroscopy (present experiment).

bDiffraction grating and Fabry-Perot (see Ref. 13).

'Fabry-Perot (see Ref. 14).

Atomic-beam magnetic resonance (see Ref. 15).

FIG. 2. Recording of the 535.0-nm line in 207 Tl. The F values corresponding to the ones in Fig. 1 for absorption from the P to S states are indicated. hfs separations are in megahertz.

tematic error or $\approx 10^{-4}$ in the voltage calibrations entering into the Doppler shifts. The data also provide a direct confirmation of the nuclear spin $I = \frac{1}{2}$ for 207 Tl.

The magnetic moment, μ , of ²⁰⁷Tl is obtained by reference to the stable-isotope moment and hfs. The differential isotopic effect of the distribution of nuclear magnetization¹⁷ and charge¹⁸ on the hfs (hfs anomaly), given by

$$
\Delta_{1,2} = A_1 g_2 / A_2 g_1 - 1,
$$

(A is the hfs interaction constant, $g = \mu/I \mu_N$, and 1 and 2 indicate the two isotopes), should be small for isotopes with their respective unpaired protons in the same shell-model orbit. For the stable thallium isotopes, we find $\Delta_{205, 203} = -0.06(4) \times 10^{-2}$ in the ${}^{2}S_{1/2}$ state (note that our systematic error cancels in the A-Factor ratio), and from the literature^{15, 19} $\Delta_{205, 203}$
= 0.1626 × 10⁻² in the ²P_{3/2} state. The relatively large anomaly of the ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ state has been ascribed to the admixture of 6s 6p7s into the 6s²6p² $P_{3/2}$ configuration.

Using our measured ratio $A(207)/A(205)$ $=\Delta \nu (207)/\Delta \nu (205) = 1.1454$ for the ²S_{1/2} state and μ (205) = 1.6382134 μ _N, we obtain the magnetic moment μ (207) = 1.876(2) μ _N. The uncertainty represents the errors in the measurement and allows for an expected hfs anomaly of the order $\leq 10^{-3}$. However, as the magnetic moment of 207 Tl deviates significantly

TABLE II. Isotope shifts in the 535.0-nm transition. Values are in megahertz. The larger masses lie at the higher wave numbers.

	Isotope shifts		
Mass number	205	203	
207	$1783(3)^a$	$3538(3)^a$	
205	0	٠	
203	$-1757(2)^{a}$ $-1770(120)^{b}$	0	

^aThis experiment.

See Ref. 16.

from those of the lighter $I = \frac{1}{2}$ isotopes, we have chosen a more conservative estimate of the hfs anomaly effects which is based on the experimental data: The measured ratios between the A factors of the ${}^{2}S_{1/2}$ and ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ states are summarized in Table III. For 203 Tl and ²⁰⁵T1 these ratios differ by 2.3×10^{-3} because of the hfs anomalies which are opposite in sign for the two states. For 207 Tl, the ratio is nearly the same as for ²⁰⁵Tl, but uncertain within 6×10^{-3} , corresponding to the error in the ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ splitting. From the known hfs anomalies for the stable isotopes, about $\frac{1}{3}$ of this uncertainty has to be attributed to the anomaly between ²⁰⁵Tl and ²⁰⁷Tl in the ²S_{1/2} state. Hence we obtain the final result μ (207) = 1.876(5) μ _N. We note the excellent agreement of the values $1.83(18)\mu_N$, deduced from the $1^- \rightarrow 0^-$ Ml transition rate in ²⁰⁶Tl, and 1.80(15) μ ^N for the proton in the 3s orbital, obtained⁷ from perturbed angular distribution measurements in 206 Hg.

We compare first the magnetic moment of 207 Tl with those of the odd-neutron isotopes $195-205$ Tl. They all have $I = \frac{1}{2}$, and their moments increase slowly with neutron number²⁰ from $1.58\mu_N$ to $1.64\mu_N$. The Schmidt-limit value is $2.793\mu_N$. As meson effects are not expected¹ to modify g_s substantially, the jump in the 207 Tl magnetic moment may reflect a significant change in the contribution of configuration mixing:

(i) The first-order contribution of core polarization from the $\nu(p_{3/2}^{-1}p_{1/2})$ 1⁺ excitation mode vanishes for ²⁰⁷Tl because of the filling of the $\nu p_{1/2}$ orbital between 205 Tl and 207 Tl.

(ii) The collective admixtures described, e.g. , by the coupling of single-hole components to the first excited $2⁺$ core states, as seen in the neighboring even lead isotopes, may cause appreciable second-order effects: The main contribution is expected by admixing $(2^+ \otimes \pi d_{3/2}^{-1})\frac{1}{2}^+$ to the $(0^+ \otimes \pi s_{1/2}^{-1})\frac{1}{2}^+$ principal component. This should be about the same for Tl, but considerably smaller for 207 Tl because of the jump of the lowest 2^+ state in ²⁰⁸Pb to 4.1 MeV from the nearly constant ≈ 0.9 MeV for the lighter even-X lead isotopes.

With the rough parameters of Arima and Horie, $²$ we</sup> calculate μ (207) \approx 1.54 μ _N. A more realistic configuration-mixing calculation with nearly vanishing

TABLE III. Ratios $A(^{2}S_{1/2})/A(^{2}P_{3/2})$. Isotopic variations give an indication of hfs anomalies.

Isotope	$A(^{2}S_{1/2})/A(^{2}P_{3/2})$	
203	46.453(23) ^a	
205	$46.348(23)^{a}$	
207	46.32(28)	

^aUsing Ref. 15 for the ${}^{2}P_{3/2}$ hyperfine structure.

correction for one-pion exchange and coupling with vibrational states⁵ gives $2.03\mu_N$. It is pointed out that the remaining second-order corrections from configuration mixing and meson exchange cannot be calculated with great accuracy. However, they largely cancel each other and are neglected. Nevertheless, including an estimation of these corrections^{5, 21} gives 1.80 μ _N, in better agreement with the experimental result. An independent approach, based on the theory of finite Fermi systems and an effective magneticmoment operator,²² gives 1.935 μ_N .

The good agreement between our direct moment measurement and the one deduced⁷ from the g factor of the $5⁻$ state in ²⁰⁶Hg adds weight to the value of the $h_{11/2}$ proton moment obtained²³ from decoupling of the moment in ^{205m}Tl. The combinations of the $h_{11/2}$ and $h_{9/2}$ (from ²⁰⁹Bi) proton moments isolates^{23, 24} the δg_l contribution. Bergström, Kerek, and Ekström proposed to measure the ^{207*m*}Tl $h_{11/2}$ isomer by atomic-beam magnetic resonance. Our experiment has indicated a possible yield of $10⁵$ isomeric nuclei per second from ISOLDE, which would be above the limit of still possible experiments with the laser spectroscopy.

This work was performed with the ISOLDE Collaboration. It was supported in part by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, by the Bundesministerium für Forschung und Technologie, and by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY 8204402. One of us (H.H.S.) acknowledges seminal discussions and preliminary studies with O. Redi. He also wishes to thank B. Jonson, H.-J. Kluge, and R. Klapisch for their hospitality. We are grateful for the discussions and with E. W. Otten and the comments of A. Arima, J. Blomqvist, G. E. Brown, C. Ekström, and H. Haas. We also thank W. Klempt for valuable contributions in the early stages of the experiment, and O. C. Jonsson for his assistance with the isotope production studies.

(')On leave from Laboratoire Aime Cotton, Orsay, France.

 $^{(d)}$ On leave from Institut für Physik, Universität Mainz, Mainz, Federal Republic of Germany.

¹A. Arima, Nuclear Moments and Nuclear Structure, edited by H. Horie and K. Sugimoto, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. Suppl. 34, 205, 589 (1973).

²R. J. Blin-Stoyle, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, Ser. A 66, 1158 (1953); R. J. Blin-Stoyle and M. A. Perks, Proc. Phys. Soc. London, Ser. A 67, 885 (1954); A. Arima and H. Horie, Prog. Theor. Phys. 12, 623 (1954).

3H. Miyazawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 6, 801 (1951).

⁽a) Scientific associate, CERN, Geneva, Switzerland, 1983-85.

 (b) On leave from Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Bombay, India.

4See, e.g., T. Yamazaki, in Mesons in Nuclei, edited by M. Rho and D. H. Wilkinson (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1979), p. 651.

5A. Arima and H. Hyuga, in Ref. 4, pp. 683, 717.

⁶D. J. Donahue, O. Häusser, R. L. Hershberger, R. Lutter, and F. Reiss, Phys. Rev. C 12, 1547 (1975).

7J. A. Becker, J. B. Carlson, R. G. Lanier, L. G. Mann,

G. L. Struble, K. H. Maier, L. Ussery, W. Stoffl, T. Nail,

R. K. Sheline, and J. A. Cizewski, Phys. Rev. C 26, 914 (1982).

Such an approach was taken in preliminary studies at New York University.

⁹T. Bjørnstad, L. C. Carraz, H. Å. Gustafsson, J. Heinemeier, B. Jonson, O. C. Jonsson, V. Lindfors, S. Mattsson, and H. L. Ravn, Nucl. Instrum. Methods 186, 391 (1981).

 10 K.-R. Anton, S. L. Kaufman, W. Klempt, G. Moruzzi, R. Neugart, E. W. Otten, and B. Schinzler, Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 642 (1978).

 11 These other results will be reported in the future.

¹²A. C. Mueller, F. Buchinger, W. Klempt, E. W. Otten, R. Neugart, C. Ekström, and J. Heinemeier, Nucl. Phys. A403, 234 (1983).

¹³C. J. Schuler, M. Çiftan, L. C. Bradley, III, and H. H. Stroke, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 52, 501 (1962).

¹⁴A. I. Odintsov, Opt. Spektrosk. 9, 137 (1960) [Opt. Spectrosc. (USSR) 9, 75 (1960)].

¹⁵G. Gould, Phys. Rev. 101, 1828 (1956).

¹⁶R. J. Hull and H. H. Stroke, J. Opt. Soc. Am. 51, 1203 (1961).

 $17A.$ Bohr and V. F. Weisskopf, Phys. Rev. 77, 94 (1950);

H. H. Stroke, R. J. Blin-Stoyle, and V. Jaccarino, Phys. Rev. 123, 1326 (1961).

 18 J. E. Rosenthal and G. Breit, Phys. Rev. 41, 459 (1932); M. F. Crawford and A. L. Schawlow, Phys. Rev. 76, 1310 (1949); H. J. Rosenberg and H. H. Stroke, Phys. Rev. ^A 5, 1992 (1972).

¹⁹E. B. Baker and L. W. Burd, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 34, 238 (1963).

 $20C$. Ekström, G. Wannberg, and Y. S. Shishodia, Hyperfine Interact. $1, 437$ (1976); C. Bengtsson, C. Ekström, and L. Robertsson, Phys. Scr. 30, 164 (1984).

 21 H. Hyuga, A. Arima, and K. Shimizu, Nucl. Phys. A336, 363 (1980).

 $22R$. Bauer, J. Speth, V. Klemt, P. Ring, E. Werner, and T. Yamazaki, Nucl. Phys. A209, 535 (1973).

²³K. H. Maier, J. A. Becker, J. B. Carlson, R. G. Lanier, L. G. Mann, G. L. Struble, T. Nail, R. K. Sheline, W. Stoffl,

and L. Ussery, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 466 (1982). ²⁴I. Bergström, A. Kerek, and C. Ekström, unpublished.