## Hunting for the Intermediate-Mass Higgs Boson in a Hadron Collider

John F. Gunion

Physics Department, University of California, Davis, California 95616

and

Pat Kalyniak<sup>(a)</sup> and M. Soldate Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305

and

## Peter Galison Physics Department, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305 (Received 17 September 1984)

We examine the feasibility of identifying in a hadron machine the standard, neutral Higgs boson, produced in association with a W, when the mass of the Higgs is between approximately 100 GeV and  $2m_W$ . The production cross section is calculated with quasirealistic cuts imposed under the assumption that the Higgs decays into  $t\bar{t}$ . Possible backgrounds arising from the continuum production of  $t\bar{t}$ ,  $t\bar{b}$ , or  $\bar{t}b$  accompanied by a W are computed as well.

## PACS numbers: 14.80.Gt, 13.85.Qk

The search for the standard model Higgs boson is one of the most important efforts of present and future accelerators. A Higgs boson with mass  $m_{\rm H} \leq 100$  $GeV/c^2$  will be identifiable at SLC, LEP I, or LEP II through production in association with a virtual or onshell  $Z^0$  or in the radiative decays of toponium.<sup>1</sup> (The 100-GeV/ $c^2$  mass limit is determined solely by the center-of-mass energy that will be available at these machines.) Heavier Higgs bosons will be produced at detectable rates first at planned hadron facilities such as the superconducting super collider (SSC).<sup>1</sup> Unfortunately, direct production in hadron collisions of the Higgs (via gluon<sup>2</sup> or W pair<sup>3</sup> fusion) followed by its decay is identifiable only when  $m_{\rm H} > 2m_W$ ; in this case its primary decay modes are into  $W^+ W^-$  and  $Z^0 Z^0$ intermediate vector boson pairs. Continuum  $W^+ W^$ and  $Z^0Z^0$  pair production is sufficiently small that a modest pair resolution will suppress this background adequately.<sup>4</sup> Below  $m_{\rm H} = 2m_W$  but above  $m_{\rm H} = 2m_t$  the Higgs boson decays almost exclusively into t t pairs. In this intermediate mass range the QCD continuum production cross section is so large that any feasible t tpair mass resolution is not sufficient to make possible the identification of the Higgs. For  $m_t = 40 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ , one finds

$$\sigma(pp \to [H^0 \to t \ \overline{t}]X)$$
  
$$\sim 10^{-2} [d\sigma(pp \to t \ \overline{t}X)/dM^2] \Delta M^2$$

where  $\Delta M^2 \sim 0.1 m_{\rm H}^2$ . *M* is the *t*  $\bar{t}$  pair mass. Thus, it is of considerable importance to find a production mode for a Higgs boson with mass in the range 100 GeV/ $c^2 \leq m_{\rm H} \leq 2m_W$  for which continuum backgrounds are manageable and event rates are adequate.

In this Letter we show that hadronic production of an intermediate-mass Higgs in association with a trigger  $W^+$  or  $W^-$ , followed by Higgs decay to a  $t \bar{t}$  pair,<sup>5</sup>

$$pp \to H^0 W^{\pm} X, \tag{1}$$

provides a potentially viable detection mode for this otherwise problematic mass range. We demonstrate that the background reactions

and

$$pp \rightarrow (W^+ \bar{t} b) X \text{ or } (W^- t \bar{b}) X,$$
 (3)

where the  $b(\bar{b})$  in the latter reaction is misidentified as a  $t(\bar{t})$ , are sufficiently small that 10% to 20% resolution in  $M_{t\bar{t}}^2$  and 1/100 b/t jet discrimination will provide a clear Higgs signal. We have not considered in detail the production of  $H^0$  in association with a  $Z^0$  because the irreducible continuum background of  $Z^0 t\bar{t}$ arising from gluon-gluon scattering will certainly be overwhelming.

The basic cross section for reaction (1), including appropriate cuts, is of order 1 pb, equivalent to  $10^4$ events for a standard  $L = 10^{40}/\text{cm}^2$  y. Triggering on the W via leptonic decay modes into e,  $\mu$ , or  $\tau$  yields over  $10^3$  events, with the assumption of leptonic detection efficiencies over 50%. If any of the t's or b's decays semileptonically, there will be two undetected energetic  $\nu$ 's in the final state, the first arising from the decay of the  $W^{\pm}$ . We can have at most one undetected energetic  $\nu$  and still fix accurately the invariant mass of the two-jet system by the use of transverse momentum conservation. Thus, it is necessary to identify the t's and b's only through their purely hadronic decays. With the numbers given above we find that efficiencies of 40% for the identification of top jets in which the t, and subsequently the b, decay non-leptonically leaves a signal of about fifty events.

The matrix elements for the process  $pp \rightarrow H^0 W^{\pm} X$ have appeared in the literature.<sup>4</sup> Addition of the  $t\bar{t}$ decay matrix element as required to describe process (1) [Fig. 1(a)] is straightforward. For  $\Delta M_{t\bar{t}}^2 >> 2 \times m_{\rm H} \Gamma_{\rm H}$  the on-shell  $H^0$  pole approximation is adequate and interference between the signal and continuum backgrounds can be neglected. The matrix element for process (2) [Fig. 1(b)] was calculated both by hand and with the symbolic manipulation program REDUCE. That of process (3), Fig. 1(c), was arrived at



FIG. 1. Examples of Feynman diagrams calculated for (a) process (1); (b) process (2); and (c) process (3).

with two independent REDUCE programs. The length of the matrix element expressions for process (2) and especially for process (3) requires that we relegate them to a later paper. It should be noted that for process (3) we only computed the gluon-gluon-initiated contributions. These overwhelmingly dominate the  $q\bar{q}$ -initiated contributions at  $\sqrt{s} \approx 80$  TeV, because the gg luminosity function is so much larger than that for  $q\bar{q}$ .<sup>4</sup>

Our cross sections were obtained by phase-space integration of the matrix elements in two independent ways: (1) by direct integration with the adaptive numerical integration routine SHEP, and (2) by a standard Monte Carlo algorithm. To achieve rapid convergence, we found it convenient to express the threeparticle final-state phase space in terms of the overall center-of-mass variables  $y_W$  (the W rapidity) and  $y_H$ (the  $t \bar{t}, t\bar{b}$ , or  $\bar{t}b$  pair rapidity), the two decay angles of the t, tb, or tb pair in the "Higgs" rest frame, and either the inverse square of the W transverse momentum (in the shep routine) or  $\tau^{-1} = s/\hat{s}$  (in the Monte Carlo routine); here  $\hat{s} = x_1 x_2 s$  is the subprocess energy. The Monte Carlo routine was further checked by comparing the full phase-space integration result with the total  $W H^0$  cross section given in Ref. 4. We employed the EHLQ distribution functions (NSET = 2)throughout<sup>4</sup>;  $m_t = 40 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ .

We present a selection of results in Figs. 2 through 4. In Fig. 2 we show the cross sections for the processes (1), (2), and (3) in the  $W^+$  case as a function of the  $t \bar{t}$  (or  $b\bar{t}$ ) mass,  $m_{\rm H}$ . For all processes we have integrated over the rapidity range  $-2 < y_W < 2$ and  $-2 < y_{\rm H} < 2$ , and over  $p_T^W > 40$  GeV/c. For the background reactions we present

 $(d\sigma/dM^2)\Delta M^2$ 

at  $M^2 = m_{\rm H}^2$  with  $\Delta M^2 = 0.1 m_{\rm H}^2$  (to repeat, *M* is the  $t \bar{t}$  or  $b\bar{t}$  jet pair mass) where  $d\sigma/dM^2$  is the background



FIG. 2. The total cross sections for the  $W^+$  case of processes (1), (2), and (3) divided by 100 at  $\sqrt{s} = 40$  TeV as a function of Higgs (i.e.,  $t \bar{t}$  or  $t\bar{b}$ ) mass, represented by the solid, dashed, and dotted curves, respectively.  $\Delta M^2 = 0.1 m_{\rm H}^2$ .



FIG. 3. The differential distribution in  $E_{top}$  for the  $W^+$  case of processes (1), (2), and (3) divided by 100 at  $\sqrt{s} = 40$  TeV with  $m_{\rm H} = 130$  GeV/ $c^2$ , represented by the solid, dashed, and dotted curves respectively.  $\Delta M^2 = 0.1 m_{\rm H}^2$ .

cross section integrated over the above  $y_W$ ,  $y_H$ , and  $p_T^W$ configuration. In Table I we list these cross sections for values of  $\sqrt{s}$  from 10 to 100 TeV for  $m_{\rm H} = 130$ GeV/ $c^2$ . Lower machine energies, such as that projected for the large hadron collider, make the event rate marginal, but do not significantly alter the signalto-background ratio. We show, in Figs. 3 and 4, two distributions of experimental importance: (a) the differential distribution for the top quark energy,  $E_{top}$ , and (b) the differential cross section in  $p_T^W$ . In all the above the cross sections are for the  $W^+$  case; those in the  $W^-$  case are essentially identical. For both figures we fix  $M = m_{\rm H} = 130$  GeV/ $c^2$  and  $\sqrt{s} = 40$  TeV. The latter distribution illustrates that imposing a higher  $p_T^W$ cut enhances the signal-to-background ratio but decreases the event rate. The optimum  $p_T^W$  cut will depend on specifics of the detector and machine environment.

The results for the cross section including cuts are encouraging. The background process (2) of  $W + t\bar{t}$ continuum pair produced through an intermediate gluon can definitely be made smaller than the Higgs signal given moderately good resolution in M. If it proves to be desirable to trigger on the associated Wthrough its leptonic decay it would be necessary to identify the top jets through their purely hadronic de-



FIG. 4. The differential distribution in  $p_T^W$  for the  $W^+$  case of processes (1), (2), and (3) divided by 100 at  $\sqrt{s} = 40$  TeV with  $m_{\rm H} = 130$  GeV/ $c^2$ , represented by the solid, dashed, and dotted curves respectively.  $\Delta M^2 = 0.1 m_{\rm A}^2$ .

cays without the loss of any energetic neutrinos in the flavor decay chain. Otherwise the W and  $t\bar{t}$  masses could not be reconstructed. Furthermore, top-bottom jet discrimination must be made at the level of 1%, with at least moderate top detection efficiency; in this way the  $W^+b\bar{t}$  (or  $W^-t\bar{b}$ ) misidentification background would be adequately suppressed without too great a loss of event rate. While preliminary studies at Snowmass<sup>6</sup> 1984 indicate that achieving the required mass resolution and discrimination power will be difficult, further, more detailed studies are warranted.

We thank K. Lane as one of the two original collaborators, who had too many other matters to attend to. Three of the authors (P.K., M.S., and P.G.) thank M. Chanowitz for first bringing this signature to their attention. One of us (P.K.) benefitted from conversations with Pierre Zakarauskas, John Ng, and Ross Bates on the Monte Carlo method. One of us (M.S.) thanks R. K. Ellis and F. Gilman for helpful remarks. We learned while our calculations were in progress that similar work has been carried out by Kunszt.<sup>7</sup> One of us (P.K.) would like to thank Professor S. D. Drell for hospitality at the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center and acknowledges the support of a Postdoctoral Fellowship from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada. This work was supported

TABLE I. Cross sections in picobarns as a function of  $\sqrt{s}$  for  $m_{\rm H} = 130 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  and  $m_t = 40 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ , including the cuts specified in the text. The cross sections for associated production of a  $W^-$  are roughly equal to those for  $W^+$ .

$\sqrt{s}$ (TeV)	$\sigma(pp \to H^0 + W^+)$ $\mapsto_{t \bar{t}} (pb)$	$\sigma(pp \to g + W^+) \qquad \qquad$	$\sigma(pp \to \bar{t}p + W^+)$ (pb)
20	0.38	0.14	11
40	0.60	0.22	31.5
60	0.76	0.29	48.0
100	1.1	0.40	100

in part by the U.S. Department of Energy through Contracts No. DE-AC03-76SF00515 and No. DE-AT03-76ER70191 and by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

Note added.—Soon after completion of this work, Cox and Gilman<sup>8</sup> investigated the effects of detector resolution and lost particles (both neutrinos from the *t*-decay sequence and hadrons outside of detector cuts) upon the mass resolution in the *t*-*t* channel. Their work shows that the 10% resolution in mass squared required for a clear signal is very difficult to achieve with the cuts specified in the text. Because of the importance of the intermediate-mass Higgs search we hope that other cuts in  $p_T^{\min}$ ,  $E_{top}$ , ... will be explored.

<sup>(a)</sup>Present address: Physics Department, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5B6, Canada.

<sup>1</sup>Proceedings of the 1982 DPF Summer Study on Elementary Particle Physics and Future Facilities, Snowmass, Colorado, 1982, edited by R. Donaldson, R. Gustafson, and F. E. Paige (Fermilab, Batavia, Illinois, 1983), and references therein.

<sup>2</sup>H. M. Georgi, S. L. Glashow, M. E. Machacek, and D. V. Nanopoulos, Phys. Rev. Lett. **40**, 692 (1978).

<sup>3</sup>R. N. Cahn and Sally Dawson, Phys. Lett. **136B**, 196 (1984), and **138B**, 464(E), (1984).

<sup>4</sup>E. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, K. Lane, and C. Quigg, Fermilab Report No. FERMILAB-PUB-84/17-T, 1984 (unpublished).

<sup>5</sup>S. L. Glashow, D. V. Nanopoulos, and A. Yildiz, Phys. Rev. D 18, 1724 (1978).

<sup>6</sup>See the reports from the "Standard Model" and "Heavy Flavor Identification" working groups, in Proceedings of the Division of Particles and Fields Summary Study, Snowmass, Colorado, 23 June-13 July 1984 (unpublished).

<sup>7</sup>Z. Kunszt, Bern University Report No. BUTP84/10-BERN, 1984 (unpublished).

<sup>8</sup>B. Cox and F. J. Gilman, SLAC Report No. SLAC-PUB-3507 (unpublished).