

---

**ERRATA**

---

**One-Dimensional Classical Many-Body System Having a Normal Thermal Conductivity.** GIULIO CASATI, JOSEPH FORD, FRANCO VIVALDI, and WILLIAM M. VISSCHER [Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 1861 (1984)].

Subsequent to the publication of our paper, we learned of the related paper by F. Mokross and H. Buttner, J. Phys. C **16**, 4539 (1983). Readers interested in the thermal conductivity of one-dimensional models may find it illuminating to compare these papers.

**Consistent Interpretation of the Secondary-Reaction Experiments in W Targets and Prospects for Production of Superheavy Elements in Ordinary Heavy-Ion Reactions.** A. MARINOV, S. ESHHAR, J. L. WEIL, and D. KOLB [Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 2209 (1984)].

In the bottom part of the first column of page 2209 the sentence should read, "In the following we will show that the results obtained in the superheavy-element region can be consistently interpreted if one assumes that, as in the actinide region, the formation of neutron-deficient isotopes is not *impossible*."

In the middle of the first column of page 2210 the sentence should read, "It seems more likely, therefore, that the two 65-MeV fragments are connected to the others at around 108 MeV (average of 100 and 116 meV) and 83 MeV."

**Demonstration of a Semipermeable Optical Piston.** H. G. C. WERIJ, J. P. WOERDMAN, J. J. M. BEENAKKER, and I. KUŠČER [Phys. Rev. Lett. **52**, 2237 (1984)].

Reference 12 was misprinted: The value of the cross section was omitted. The correct footnote reads, "We estimate that the saturated Na  $D_2$  absorption cross section at  $\nu = \nu'$  and  $I_L = 3 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$  is  $\sim 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2$ , taking into account Doppler broadening, collisional broadening, and population partitioning over the  $^2S(F=1, 2)$  levels."